

# **Evolutionary Computing in Telecommunications**

# A likely EC success story

Peter Kampstra

August 2005



BMI Paper Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam Supervisors: Rob van der Mei Gusz Eiben

### Foreword

In the final phase of my study Business Mathematics and Informatics a BMI thesis is obligatory. Not knowing exactly what I wanted, I contacted Rob van der Mei and Gusz Eiben for a subject. The subject 'Evolutionary Telecommunications' was interesting and should lead to novel results. However, from a time perspective it became a disaster....

During my study I have always been able to get good grades while not doing to much work. This thesis however, was totally not time efficient, and makes the single exception to this statement. The initial idea was to make an overview of the field. The main problem seemed to be finding enough references and scientific articles. During this research I found a thesis by Marc C. Sinclair that already contained more than 160 references to articles about 'evolutionary telecommunications' till 1998. I used this as a basis to find more than 400 references, which created a new problem. How could I read all these references? It would be a shame to throw them away.

Eventually I managed to solve the problem by speeding things up a little (discussing multiple articles at once, etc.). This is one of the reasons that the thesis contains multiple styles, but it had the advantage that no animals have been hurt during the making of this thesis.

For their help with this thesis, I would like to thank Marc C. Sinclair for his work in this area and my supervisors Rob van der Mei and Gusz Eiben.

Anyway, have pleasure reading this thesis! (Or just use it as a reference...)

Peter Kampstra

### Summary

The world of telecommunications is booming, the telecommunication infrastructures are becoming more complex, and consequently, telecom operators need to deal with more complex problems to be solved. Evolutionary algorithms form a class of problem solvers that can effectively treat large and complex optimization problems, even in (1) the presence of constraints, (2) noise and (3) dynamic environments.

This thesis describes the use of evolutionary algorithms in the field of telecommunications to tackle these problems. It contains references to over 400 scientific papers in this field. Problems described are things like node location, topology design, routing and restoration, call admission, wavelength allocation, frequency assignment and dimensioning (capacity assignment). Some other, emerging problems seen are for ad hoc networks, node configuration, automated protocol & hardware design, satellites, distributed databases & distributed computing. Also, some possible future directions are described.

It appears that hybrid evolutionary computing is very well suited for solving combinatorial optimization problems in this field. Almost in every reported case, hybrid evolutionary algorithms (memetic algorithms) score best, compared to problem-specific heuristics, simulated annealing, tabu search, etc. An estimated operation cost reduction of 5-15% can be made by using evolutionary computing.

### Contents

Summary       3         Contents       4         1. Introduction       6         1.1. Introduction       6         1.2. Further content       7         2. Evolutionary computing explained       8         2.1. The basics of evolutionary computing.       8         2.2. Example: Antenna placement       9         2.3. When to use EC       10         2.4. Multiple objectives       10         2.5. Alternatives to Evolutionary Computing       11         2.5.2. Simulated Annealing       11         2.5.3. Other nature-inspired algorithms       11         2.5.4. Others       11         2.5.4. Others       12         3.1. Different kinds of networks       12         3.1.1. Optical networks       12         3.1.1. Optical networks       12         3.1.2. Computer networks       12         3.1.3. Computer networks       12         3.1.4. General networks       12         3.3.1. Node Location       13         3.3.2. Topology design       14         3.3.3. Trees       16         3.4. Routing, restoration and call admission       23         3.3.5. Wavelength Allocation       23         3.3.6. Frequency a	Foreword	2
Contents       4         1. Introduction       6         1.1. Introduction       6         1.2. Further content.       7         2. Evolutionary computing explained       8         2.1. The basics of evolutionary computing       8         2.2. Example: Antenna placement       9         2.3. When to use EC       10         2.4. Multiple objectives       10         2.5. Alternatives to Evolutionary Computing       11         2.5.2. Simulated Annealing       11         2.5.3. Other nature-inspired algorithms       11         2.5.4. Others       11         2.5.5.4. Governmental gorithms       11         2.5.6. Memetic algorithms       11         2.5.7. Simulated Annealing       11         2.5.8. Other sature-inspired algorithms       11         2.5.9. Other sature-inspired algorithms       11         2.5.1. Different kinds of networks       12         3.1. Different work design       12         3.1. Optical networks       12         3.1.1. Optical networks       12         3.1.2. Radio network design       12         3.3.1. Node Location       13         3.3.2. Topology design       14         3.3.3.1. Node Location	Summary	3
1. Introduction       6         1.1. Introduction       6         1.2. Further content       7         2. Evalutionary computing explained       8         2.1. The basics of evolutionary computing       8         2.2. Example: Antenna placement       9         2.3. When to use EC       10         2.4. Multiple objectives       10         2.5. Alternatives to Evolutionary Computing       11         2.5.1. Tabu Search       11         2.5.2. Simulated Annealing       11         2.5.3. Other nature-inspired algorithms       11         2.5.4. Others       11         2.5.5.4. Communication network design       12         3.1. Different kinds of networks       12         3.1.1. Optical networks       12         3.1.2. Radio networks       12         3.1.3. Computer networks       12         3.1.4. General networks       12         3.1.5. Objectain tetys in network design       13         3.3.2. Topology design       13         3.3.3. Trees       16         3.3.4. Routing, restoration and call admission       18         3.3.5. Wavelength Allocation       22         3.3.7. Dimensioning       23         4. Other telecommunication p	Contents	4
1.1.       Introduction       6         1.2.       Further content.       7         2.       Evolutionary computing explained       8         2.1.       The basics of evolutionary computing       8         2.1.       The basics of evolutionary computing       8         2.2.       Example: Antenna placement       9         2.3.       When to use EC       10         2.4.       Multiple objectives       10         2.5.       Alternatives to Evolutionary Computing       11         2.5.2.       Simulated Annealing       11         2.5.3.       Other nature-inspired algorithms       11         2.6.       Memetic algorithms       11         2.6.       Memetic algorithms       11         3.1.       Other nature-inspired algorithms       12         3.1.       Different kinds of networks       12         3.1.1.       Optical networks       12         3.1.2.       Radio networks       12         3.1.3.       Computer network design       12         3.1.4.       General networks       12         3.3.1.       Node Location       13         3.3.2.       Topology design       14         3.	1. Introduction	6
1.2. Further content.       7         2. Evolutionary computing explained       8         2.1. The basics of evolutionary computing       8         2.2. Example: Antenna placement       9         2.3. When to use EC       10         2.4. Multiple objectives       10         2.5. Alternatives to Evolutionary Computing       11         2.5.1. Tabu Search       11         2.5.2. Simulated Annealing       11         2.5.3. Other nature-inspired algorithms       11         2.6. Menetic algorithms       11         2.6. Menetic algorithms       12         3.1. Different kinds of networks       12         3.1.1. Optical networks       12         3.1.2. Radio networks       12         3.1.3. Computer networks       12         3.1.4. General networks       12         3.3.1. Node Location       13         3.3.2. Topology design       14         3.3.3. Trees       16         3.3.4. Routing, restoration and call admission       18         3.3.5. Wavelength Allocation       22         3.3.7. Dimensioning       23         3.3.7. Dimensioning       25         4. Other selection/Least cost routing       25         4.1. Node configuration &	1.1. Introduction	6
2. Evolutionary computing explained       8         2.1. The basics of evolutionary computing       8         2.2. Example: Antenna placement       9         2.3. When to use EC       10         2.4. Multiple objectives       10         2.5. Alternatives to Evolutionary Computing       11         2.5.1. Tabu Search       11         2.5.2. Simulated Annealing       11         2.5.3. Other nature-inspired algorithms       11         2.6. Memetic algorithms       11         2.6. Memetic algorithms       11         2.6. Memetic algorithms       12         3.1. Different kinds of networks       12         3.1.1. Optical networks       12         3.1.2. Radio networks       12         3.1.3. Computer networks       12         3.1.4. General networks       12         3.2. Different steps in network design       13         3.3.1. Node Location       13         3.3.1. Node Location       13         3.3.1. Node Location       13         3.3.3. Articles on network design       12         3.3.4. Routing, restoration and call admission       16         3.3.4. Routing, restoration and call admission       18         3.3.5. Wavelength Allocation       23	1.2. Further content	7
2.       Evolutionary computing explained       8         2.1.       The basics of evolutionary computing       8         2.2.       Example: Antenna placement       9         2.3.       When to use EC       10         2.4.       Multiple objectives       10         2.5.       Alternatives to Evolutionary Computing       11         2.5.1.       Tabu Search       11         2.5.2.       Simulated Annealing       11         2.5.3.       Other nature-inspired algorithms       11         2.5.4.       Others       11         2.6.       Memetic algorithms       11         3.6.       Memetic algorithms       12         3.1.       Different kinds of networks       12         3.1.1.       Optical networks       12         3.1.2.       Radio networks       12         3.1.3.       Computer networks       12         3.1.4.       General network design       12         3.3.1.       Node Location       13         3.3.2.       Topology design       14         3.3.3.       Treequency assignment       22         3.3.4.       Routing, restoration and call admission       18         3.3.5. <td></td> <td>0</td>		0
2.1.       The basics of evolutionary computing.       8         2.2.       Example: Antenna placement       9         2.3.       When to use EC       10         2.4.       Multiple objectives       10         2.5.       Alternatives to Evolutionary Computing.       11         2.5.1.       Tabu Search       11         2.5.2.       Simulated Annealing       11         2.5.3.       Other nature-inspired algorithms.       11         2.5.4.       Others.       11         2.5.4.       Others.       11         2.6.       Memetic algorithms       11         2.6.       Memetic algorithms       12         3.1.       Different kinds of networks       12         3.1.1.       Optical networks       12         3.1.2.       Radio networks       12         3.1.3.       Computer network design       12         3.1.4.       General network design       13         3.3.1.       Node Location       13         3.3.2.       Topology design       14         3.3.3.1.       Node Location       13         3.3.4.       Routing, restoration and call admission       16         3.3.4.       Rout	2. Evolutionary computing explained	8
2.2.       Example: Antenna placement.       9         2.3.       When to use EC       10         2.4.       Multiple objectives       10         2.5.       Alternatives to Evolutionary Computing.       11         2.5.1.       Tabu Search       11         2.5.2.       Simulated Annealing       11         2.5.3.       Other nature-inspired algorithms.       11         2.5.4.       Others.       11         2.6.       Memetic algorithms       11         2.6.       Memetic algorithms       11         3.1.       Different kinds of network design       12         3.1.1.       Optiferant kinds of networks       12         3.1.2.       Radio networks       12         3.1.3.       Computer networks       12         3.1.4.       General network design       12         3.3.1.       Node Location       13         3.3.2.       Topology design       14         3.3.3.       Trees       16         3.3.4.       Routing, restoration and call admission       18         3.3.5.       Wavelength Allocation       21         3.3.6.       Frequency assignment       22         3.3.7.       D	2.1. The basics of evolutionary computing	8
2.3.       When to use EC.       10         2.4.       Multiple objectives       10         2.5.       Alternatives to Evolutionary Computing.       11         2.5.1.       Tabu Scarch       11         2.5.2.       Simulated Annealing       11         2.5.3.       Other nature-inspired algorithms       11         2.5.4.       Others.       11         2.6.       Memetic algorithms       11         3.6.       Memetic algorithms       12         3.1.       Different kinds of networks       12         3.1.1.       Optical networks       12         3.1.2.       Radio networks       12         3.1.3.       Computer networks       12         3.1.4.       General networks       12         3.3.1.       Node Location       13         3.3.1.       Node Location       13         3.3.1.       Node Location       13         3.3.2.       Topology design       14         3.3.3.1.       Node Location       18         3.3.4.       Routing, restoration and call admission       18         3.3.5.       Wavelength Allocation       21         3.3.7.       Dimensioning       25 <td>2.2. Example: Antenna placement</td> <td>9</td>	2.2. Example: Antenna placement	9
2.4.       Multiple objectives       10         2.5.       Alternatives to Evolutionary Computing.       11         2.5.1.       Tabu Search.       11         2.5.2.       Simulated Annealing       11         2.5.3.       Other nature-inspired algorithms.       11         2.5.4.       Others.       11         2.6.       Memetic algorithms       11         3.6.       Meteric algorithms.       12         3.1.       Different kinds of networks       12         3.1.1.       Optical networks       12         3.1.2.       Radio networks       12         3.1.3.       Computer networks       12         3.1.4.       General networks       12         3.2.       Different steps in network design       13         3.3.1.       Node Location       13         3.3.3.       Trees       16         3.3.4.       Routing, restoration and call admission       18         3.3.5.       Wavelength Allocation       21         3.3.7.       Dimensioning       22         3.3.7.       Dimensioning       23         4.       Other telecommunication problems       25         4.1.       Node configur	2.3. When to use EC	10
2.5.       Alternatives to Evolutionary Computing.       11         2.5.1.       Tabu Search       11         2.5.2.       Simulated Annealing       11         2.5.3.       Other nature-inspired algorithms       11         2.5.4.       Others       11         2.6.       Memetic algorithms       11         2.6.       Memetic algorithms       11         3.1.       Different kinds of network design       12         3.1.1.       Optical networks       12         3.1.2.       Radio networks       12         3.1.3.       Computer networks       12         3.1.4.       General networks       12         3.1.3.       Computer network design       13         3.3.1.       Node Location       13         3.3.2.       Topology design       14         3.3.3.       Trees       16         3.3.4.       Routing, restoration and call admission       18         3.3.5.       Wavelength Allocation       21         3.3.7.       Dimensioning       23         4.       Other telecommunication problems       25         4.1.       Node configuration & link tuning (power management, etc.)       25 <t< td=""><td>2.4. Multiple objectives</td><td> 10</td></t<>	2.4. Multiple objectives	10
2.5.1.       Tabu Search       11         2.5.2.       Simulated Annealing       11         2.5.3.       Other nature-inspired algorithms       11         2.5.4.       Others       11         2.5.4.       Others       11         2.6.       Memetic algorithms       11         3.7.       Different kinds of network design       12         3.1.1.       Optical networks       12         3.1.2.       Radio networks       12         3.1.3.       Computer networks       12         3.1.4.       General networks       12         3.1.5.       Different steps in network design       12         3.3.1.       Node Location       13         3.3.2.       Topology design       14         3.3.3.1.       Node Location       18         3.3.4.       Routing, restoration and call admission       18         3.3.5.       Wavelength Allocation       21         3.3.6.       Frequency assignment       22         3.3.7.       Dimensioning       23         4.       Other telecommunication problems       25         4.3.       Hardware design       25         4.3.       Integrated circuits manufac	2.5. Alternatives to Evolutionary Computing	11
2.5.2.       Simulated Annealing       11         2.5.3.       Other nature-inspired algorithms       11         2.5.4.       Others.       11         2.6.       Memetic algorithms       11         3.6.       Memetic algorithms       11         3.7.       Different kinds of network design       12         3.1.1.       Optical networks       12         3.1.2.       Radio networks       12         3.1.3.       Computer networks       12         3.1.4.       General networks       12         3.1.3.       Computer networks       12         3.1.4.       General network design       12         3.3.1.       Node Location       13         3.3.2.       Topology design       14         3.3.3.       Trees       16         3.3.4.       Routing, restoration and call admission       18         3.3.5.       Wavelength Allocation       21         3.3.6.       Frequency assignment       22         3.3.7.       Dimensioning       25         4.1.       Node configuration & link tuning (power management, etc.)       25         4.2.       Protocol validation & design       25         4.3.1.	2.5.1. Tabu Search	11
2.5.3.       Other nature-inspired algorithms       11         2.5.4.       Others       11         2.6.       Memetic algorithms       11         3.       Telecommunication network design       12         3.1.       Different kinds of networks       12         3.1.1.       Optical networks       12         3.1.2.       Radio networks       12         3.1.3.       Computer networks       12         3.1.4.       General networks       12         3.1.5.       Nation entwork design       12         3.1.4.       General network design       12         3.1.5.       Node Location       13         3.3.1.       Node Location       13         3.3.2.       Topology design       14         3.3.3.       Trees       16         3.3.4.       Routing, restoration and call admission       18         3.3.5.       Wavelength Allocation       22         3.3.7.       Dimensioning       23         4.       Other telecommunication problems       25         4.1.       Node configuration & link tuning (power management, etc.)       25         4.2.       Protocol validation & design       25	2.5.2. Simulated Annealing	11
2.5.4.       Others.       11         2.6.       Memetic algorithms       11         3.       Telecommunication network design       12         3.1.       Different kinds of networks       12         3.1.1.       Optical networks       12         3.1.2.       Radio networks       12         3.1.3.       Computer networks       12         3.1.4.       General network design       12         3.1.3.       Computer network design       12         3.1.4.       General network design       12         3.1.3.       Node Location       13         3.3.1.       Node Location       13         3.3.2.       Topology design       14         3.3.3.       Trees       16         3.3.4.       Routing, restoration and call admission       21         3.3.6.       Frequency assignment       22         3.3.7.       Dimensioning       23         4.       Other telecommunication problems       25         4.1.       Node configuration & link tuning (power management, etc.)       25         4.2.       Protocol validation & design       25         4.3.1.       Antenna design       25         4.3.1. <td>2.5.3. Other nature-inspired algorithms</td> <td> 11</td>	2.5.3. Other nature-inspired algorithms	11
2.6. Memetic algorithms       11         3. Telecommunication network design       12         3.1. Different kinds of networks       12         3.1.1. Optical networks       12         3.1.2. Radio networks       12         3.1.3. Computer networks       12         3.1.4. General networks       12         3.2. Different steps in network design       12         3.3. Articles on network design       13         3.3.1. Node Location       13         3.3.2. Topology design       14         3.3.3.1. Node Location       18         3.3.2. Topology design       14         3.3.3. Routing, restoration and call admission       18         3.3.4. Routing, restoration and call admission       21         3.3.5. Wavelength Allocation       21         3.3.6. Frequency assignment       22         3.3.7. Dimensioning       23         4. Other telecommunication problems       25         4.1. Node configuration & link tuning (power management, etc.)       25         4.2. Protocol validation & design       25         4.3.1. Antenna design       25         4.3.2. Integrated circuits manufacturing       25         4.3.3. Evolutionary hardware       25         4.4. Provider selectio	2.5.4.  Others.	11
3. Telecommunication network design       12         3.1. Different kinds of networks       12         3.1.1. Optical networks       12         3.1.2. Radio networks       12         3.1.3. Computer networks       12         3.1.4. General networks       12         3.1.5. Optime networks       12         3.1.4. General networks       12         3.2. Different steps in network design       12         3.3. Articles on network design       13         3.3.1. Node Location       13         3.3.2. Topology design       14         3.3.3. Trees       16         3.3.4. Routing, restoration and call admission       18         3.3.5. Wavelength Allocation       21         3.3.6. Frequency assignment       22         3.3.7. Dimensioning.       23         4. Other telecommunication problems       25         4.1. Node configuration & link tuning (power management, etc.)       25         4.3. Hardware design       25         4.3. Hardware design       25         4.3. Hardware design       25         4.3. Hardware design       25         4.3. Provider selection/Least cost routing       26         4.5. Distributed databases & web caching       26	2.6. Memetic algorithms	11
3.1       Different kinds of networks       12         3.1.1       Optical networks       12         3.1.2       Radio networks       12         3.1.3       Computer networks       12         3.1.4       General networks       12         3.1.5       Different steps in network design       12         3.1.4       General networks       12         3.1.5       Object on the test of the test of the test of test	3. Telecommunication network design	12
3.1.1.       Optical networks       12         3.1.2.       Radio networks       12         3.1.3.       Computer networks       12         3.1.4.       General networks       12         3.2.       Different steps in network design       12         3.3.       Articles on network design       13         3.3.1.       Node Location       13         3.3.2.       Topology design       14         3.3.3.       Trees       16         3.4.       Routing, restoration and call admission       18         3.3.5.       Wavelength Allocation       21         3.3.6.       Frequency assignment       22         3.3.7.       Dimensioning       23         4.       Other telecommunication problems       25         4.1.       Node configuration & link tuning (power management, etc.)       25         4.2.       Protocol validation & design       25         4.3.1.       Antenna design       25         4.3.2.       Integrated circuits manufacturing       25         4.3.       Hardware design       25         4.3.1.       Antenna design       25         4.3.2.       Integrated circuits manufacturing       25	3.1 Different kinds of networks	12
3.1.2.       Radio networks       12         3.1.3.       Computer networks       12         3.1.4.       General networks       12         3.2.       Different steps in network design       12         3.3.       Articles on network design       13         3.3.1.       Node Location       13         3.3.2.       Topology design       14         3.3.3.       Trees       16         3.3.4.       Routing, restoration and call admission       18         3.3.5.       Wavelength Allocation       21         3.3.6.       Frequency assignment       22         3.3.7.       Dimensioning       23         4.       Other telecommunication problems       25         4.1.       Node configuration & link tuning (power management, etc.)       25         4.2.       Protocol validation & design       25         4.3.1.       Antenna design       25         4.3.2.       Integrated circuits manufacturing       25         4.3.3.3.       Evolutionary hardware       25         4.3.1.       Antenna design       26         4.5.       Distributed databases & web caching       26         4.5.       Distributed computing & Grid Computation N	3 1 1 Ontical networks	12
3.1.3.Computer networks123.1.4.General networks123.2.Different steps in network design123.3.Articles on network design133.3.1.Node Location133.3.2.Topology design143.3.3.Trees163.3.4.Routing, restoration and call admission183.3.5.Wavelength Allocation213.3.6.Frequency assignment223.3.7.Dimensioning234.Other telecommunication problems254.1.Node configuration & link tuning (power management, etc.)254.2.Protocol validation & design254.3.1.Antenna design254.3.2.Integrated circuits manufacturing254.3.3.Evolutionary hardware254.4.Provider selection/Least cost routing264.5.Distributed databases & web caching264.6.Distributed computing & Grid Computation Networks264.8.Registration area planning for mobile networks26	3.1.2. Radio networks	12
3.1.4.General networks123.2.Different steps in network design123.3.Articles on network design133.3.1.Node Location133.3.2.Topology design143.3.3.Trees163.3.4.Routing, restoration and call admission183.3.5.Wavelength Allocation213.3.6.Frequency assignment223.3.7.Dimensioning234.Other telecommunication problems254.1.Node configuration & link tuning (power management, etc.)254.2.Protocol validation & design254.3.1.Antena design254.3.2.Integrated circuits manufacturing254.3.3.Evolutionary hardware254.4.Provider selection/Least cost routing264.5.Distributed databases & web caching264.6.Distributed computing & Grid Computation Networks264.8.Registration area planning for mobile networks26	3.1.3. Computer networks	12
3.2. Different steps in network design       12         3.3. Articles on network design       13         3.3.1. Node Location       13         3.3.2. Topology design       14         3.3.3. Trees       16         3.3.4. Routing, restoration and call admission       18         3.3.5. Wavelength Allocation       21         3.3.6. Frequency assignment       22         3.3.7. Dimensioning       23         4. Other telecommunication problems       25         4.1. Node configuration & link tuning (power management, etc.)       25         4.2. Protocol validation & design       25         4.3.1. Antenna design       25         4.3.2. Integrated circuits manufacturing       25         4.3.3. Evolutionary hardware       25         4.4. Provider selection/Least cost routing       26         4.5. Distributed databases & web caching.       26         4.6. Distributed computing & Grid Computation Networks       26         4.7. Ad Hoc Networks       26         4.8. Registration area planning for mobile networks       26	3.1.4. General networks	12
3.3. Articles on network design       13         3.3.1. Node Location       13         3.3.2. Topology design       14         3.3.3. Trees       16         3.3.4. Routing, restoration and call admission       18         3.3.5. Wavelength Allocation       21         3.3.6. Frequency assignment       22         3.3.7. Dimensioning       23         4. Other telecommunication problems       25         4.1. Node configuration & link tuning (power management, etc.)       25         4.2. Protocol validation & design       25         4.3.1. Antenna design       25         4.3.2. Integrated circuits manufacturing       25         4.3.3. Evolutionary hardware       25         4.4. Provider selection/Least cost routing       26         4.5. Distributed databases & web caching       26         4.6. Distributed computing & Grid Computation Networks       26         4.7. Ad Hoc Networks       26         4.8. Registration area planning for mobile networks       26	3.2. Different steps in network design	12
3.3.1.       Node Location       13         3.3.2.       Topology design       14         3.3.3.       Trees       16         3.4.       Routing, restoration and call admission       18         3.5.       Wavelength Allocation       21         3.6.       Frequency assignment       22         3.7.       Dimensioning       23         4.       Other telecommunication problems       25         4.1.       Node configuration & link tuning (power management, etc.)       25         4.2.       Protocol validation & design       25         4.3.       Hardware design       25         4.3.       Hardware design       25         4.3.       Hardware design       25         4.3.       Integrated circuits manufacturing       25         4.3.1.       Antenna design       25         4.3.2.       Integrated circuits manufacturing       25         4.3.3.       Evolutionary hardware       25         4.4.       Provider selection/Least cost routing       26         4.5.       Distributed databases & web caching       26         4.6.       Distributed computing & Grid Computation Networks       26         4.7.       Ad Hoc Networks<	3.3. Articles on network design	13
3.3.2.Topology design143.3.3.Trees163.3.4.Routing, restoration and call admission183.3.5.Wavelength Allocation213.3.6.Frequency assignment223.7.Dimensioning234.Other telecommunication problems254.1.Node configuration & link tuning (power management, etc.)254.2.Protocol validation & design254.3.Hardware design254.3.Integrated circuits manufacturing254.3.1.Antenna design254.3.2.Integrated circuits manufacturing254.3.3.Evolutionary hardware254.4.Provider selection/Least cost routing264.5.Distributed databases & web caching264.6.Distributed computing & Grid Computation Networks264.7.Ad Hoc Networks264.8.Registration area planning for mobile networks26	3.3.1. Node Location	13
3.3.3.Trees163.3.4.Routing, restoration and call admission183.3.5.Wavelength Allocation213.3.6.Frequency assignment223.3.7.Dimensioning234.Other telecommunication problems254.1.Node configuration & link tuning (power management, etc.)254.2.Protocol validation & design254.3.Hardware design254.3.1.Antenna design254.3.2.Integrated circuits manufacturing254.3.3.Evolutionary hardware254.4.Provider selection/Least cost routing264.5.Distributed databases & web caching264.6.Distributed computing & Grid Computation Networks264.7.Ad Hoc Networks264.8.Registration area planning for mobile networks26	3.3.2. Topology design	14
3.3.4.Routing, restoration and call admission183.3.5.Wavelength Allocation213.3.6.Frequency assignment223.3.7.Dimensioning234.Other telecommunication problems254.1.Node configuration & link tuning (power management, etc.)254.2.Protocol validation & design254.3.Hardware design254.3.Integrated circuits manufacturing254.3.1.Antenna design254.3.2.Integrated circuits manufacturing254.3.3.Evolutionary hardware254.4.Provider selection/Least cost routing264.5.Distributed databases & web caching.264.6.Distributed computing & Grid Computation Networks264.7.Ad Hoc Networks264.8.Registration area planning for mobile networks26	3.3.3. Trees	16
3.3.5.Wavelength Allocation213.3.6.Frequency assignment223.3.7.Dimensioning234.Other telecommunication problems254.1.Node configuration & link tuning (power management, etc.)254.2.Protocol validation & design254.3.Hardware design254.3.1.Antenna design254.3.2.Integrated circuits manufacturing254.3.3.Evolutionary hardware254.4.Provider selection/Least cost routing264.5.Distributed databases & web caching264.6.Distributed computing & Grid Computation Networks264.7.Ad Hoc Networks264.8.Registration area planning for mobile networks26	3.3.4. Routing, restoration and call admission	18
3.3.6.Frequency assignment223.3.7.Dimensioning234.Other telecommunication problems254.1.Node configuration & link tuning (power management, etc.)254.2.Protocol validation & design254.3.Hardware design254.3.1.Antenna design254.3.2.Integrated circuits manufacturing254.3.3.Evolutionary hardware254.4.Provider selection/Least cost routing264.5.Distributed databases & web caching264.6.Distributed computing & Grid Computation Networks264.7.Ad Hoc Networks264.8.Registration area planning for mobile networks26	3.3.5. Wavelength Allocation	21
3.3.7.Dimensioning	3.3.6. Frequency assignment	22
4. Other telecommunication problems254.1. Node configuration & link tuning (power management, etc.)254.2. Protocol validation & design254.3. Hardware design254.3.1. Antenna design254.3.2. Integrated circuits manufacturing254.3.3. Evolutionary hardware254.4. Provider selection/Least cost routing264.5. Distributed databases & web caching264.6. Distributed computing & Grid Computation Networks264.7. Ad Hoc Networks264.8. Registration area planning for mobile networks26	3.3.7. Dimensioning	23
4.1.Node configuration & link tuning (power management, etc.)254.2.Protocol validation & design254.3.Hardware design254.3.1.Antenna design254.3.2.Integrated circuits manufacturing254.3.3.Evolutionary hardware254.4.Provider selection/Least cost routing264.5.Distributed databases & web caching264.6.Distributed computing & Grid Computation Networks264.7.Ad Hoc Networks264.8.Registration area planning for mobile networks26	4 Other telecommunication problems	25
4.2.Protocol validation & design254.3.Hardware design254.3.1.Antenna design254.3.2.Integrated circuits manufacturing254.3.3.Evolutionary hardware254.4.Provider selection/Least cost routing264.5.Distributed databases & web caching.264.6.Distributed computing & Grid Computation Networks264.7.Ad Hoc Networks264.8.Registration area planning for mobile networks26	4.1 Node configuration & link tuning (nower management etc.)	25
4.3. Hardware design254.3. Hardware design254.3.1. Antenna design254.3.2. Integrated circuits manufacturing254.3.3. Evolutionary hardware254.4. Provider selection/Least cost routing264.5. Distributed databases & web caching264.6. Distributed computing & Grid Computation Networks264.7. Ad Hoc Networks264.8. Registration area planning for mobile networks26	4.2 Protocol validation & design	25
4.3.1Antenna design254.3.2Integrated circuits manufacturing254.3.3Evolutionary hardware254.4Provider selection/Least cost routing264.5Distributed databases & web caching264.6Distributed computing & Grid Computation Networks264.7Ad Hoc Networks264.8Registration area planning for mobile networks26	4.2. Hardware design	25
4.3.1.Integrated circuits manufacturing254.3.2.Integrated circuits manufacturing254.3.3.Evolutionary hardware254.4.Provider selection/Least cost routing264.5.Distributed databases & web caching264.6.Distributed computing & Grid Computation Networks264.7.Ad Hoc Networks264.8.Registration area planning for mobile networks26	4.3.1 Antenna design	25
4.3.3.Evolutionary hardware254.4.Provider selection/Least cost routing264.5.Distributed databases & web caching.264.6.Distributed computing & Grid Computation Networks264.7.Ad Hoc Networks264.8.Registration area planning for mobile networks26	4.3.2 Integrated circuits manufacturing	25
4.4.Provider selection/Least cost routing	4.3.3. Evolutionary hardware	25
4.5.Distributed databases & web caching	4.4. Provider selection/Least cost routing	
4.6.       Distributed computing & Grid Computation Networks       26         4.7.       Ad Hoc Networks       26         4.8.       Registration area planning for mobile networks       26	4.5. Distributed databases & web caching	
4.7.       Ad Hoc Networks       26         4.8.       Registration area planning for mobile networks       26	4.6 Distributed computing & Grid Computation Networks	26
4.8. Registration area planning for mobile networks	4.7. Ad Hoc Networks	
	4.8. Registration area planning for mobile networks	26

	4.9.	Error correction codes, data equalization & CDMA multi-user detection	27
	4.10.	Satellites	27
	4.11.	Others	27
	4.11	.1. Traffic mapping	
	4.11	.2. Electronic marketplaces	
	4.11	.3. Intrusion detection	
	4.11	.4. Switch rollout planning	
	4.11	.5. Service Application Software design	
5.	Fut	ure Research	29
6.	Cor	nclusions	31
7.	Ref	erences	
	7.1.	Available online (linked)	
	7.2.	Only abstract available at VU (IEEE Xplore)	
	7.3.	Only abstract available online	
	7.4.	Not online	58
A	ppend	ix I. Network design papers overview	61
A	ppend	ix II. References not used (not online)	63

### 1. Introduction

### 1.1. Introduction

Evolutionary Computation (EC) has many uses in the world of telecommunications. There are many problems in the area of telecommunications that can be solved by evolutionary computation. Evolutionary computation is used when locating antenna's, designing networks, routing data in networks, and all kinds of other combinatorial optimization problems. Telecommunications is one of the most active application areas of EC. If we for example look to the number of individuals by subject from EvoWeb [6], we see telecommunications is at the top:

<b>EvoWeb sorted list of individuals by</b> <b>application area</b> [7.24] Telecommunications 58							
[7.04] Aerospace industry 37 [7.07] Biology and chemistry 37							
[7.06] Business planning and operations							
research 34							
[7.13] Electronic and electrical engineering 32							
[7.05] Automobile industry 31							
[7.16] Finance 31							
[7.03] Art and music 30							
[7.19] Manufacturing 30							
[7.21] Medicine 30							
[7.25] Transportation 25							
[7.08] Chemical engineering 22							
[7.11] Education 20							
[7.14] Energy and utilities 20							
[7.20] Mechanical engineering 18							
[7.01] Applications - general 16							
[7.17] Internet 16							
[7.10] Earth sciences and the environment 14							
[7.02] Agriculture, farming and food 9							
[7.09] Civil engineering 9							
[7.12] Physics 9							
[7.15] Entertainment and media 8							
[7.23] Social science 8							
[7.99] Miscellaneous applications 8							
[7.18] Linguistics language and speech 5							
[7.22] Psychology 5							
[7.26] Architecture 4							

The first papers on the use of evolutionary computation for telecommunication problems began to arise already in 1987. The number of papers on this subject grows steadily, as shown in the following graph:



### 1.2. Further content

In chapter 2, the working of an evolutionary algorithm is explained. In chapter 3 'classic' design of telecommunication networks is described. In chapter 4 some other problem in telecommunications are tackled using evolutionary computing. In chapter 5 describes likely future research. Finally, in chapter 6 some conclusions are drawn. Chapter 7 contains all references to evolutionary telecommunication articles. There are over 400 references, which makes this chapter quite large. The references have been ordered by availability (from 'available online', 'available online but the Vrije Universiteit has no subscription to it', 'only abstract available online' to 'not available'). Appendix I contains a table with references to the network design papers by category. Appendix II contains some articles that are not discussed for various reasons, but seem related to evolutionary telecommunications.

### 2. Evolutionary computing explained

This chapter includes an (short) explanation of what evolutionary algorithms are. For more information, the reader is referred to the book by Eiben & Smith [1].

### 2.1. The basics of evolutionary computing

The basic idea of evolution is that you always have some sort of population of solutions to a problem, and then try to make that population better and better. At some point you have a solution that is good enough for you, or you have already done lots of attempts. At that point you select the best individual solution, which will be your end solution.

At each point in time during the solving of a problem, there is therefore a solution available. This is called the anytime behavior of an evolutionary algorithm. Normally the starting population with the starting solutions is generated at random. An evolutionary algorithm usually soon gives pretty good solutions. Therefore, most of the time, using an advanced algorithm for the initialization is not worth it.



The still open question is of course how to make the population better. If we zoom in, in pseudo code, an evolutionary algorithm usually works as follows:

```
INITIALIZE population with random candidate solutions
EVALUATE each candidate
Repeat until (TERMINATION CONDITION) is satisfied
SELECT parents
RECOMBINE pairs of parents
MUTATE the resulting offspring
EVALUATE new candidates
SELECT individuals for new population
```

Loop

The words in CAPITALS are things that can change from algorithm to algorithm. Next to that a representation has to be chosen. This representation can for example be a string of bits (bitstring), an array of integers, a floating-point number, etc. By using the representation, each individual in the population can be mapped to a certain solution to the problem.

INITIALIZE is usually done by generating random individuals (solutions). At EVALUATE, the fitness of the individuals (=quality of the solutions) is determined. The TERMINATION CONDITION can be anything like doing a certain number of generations (iterations) or stopping if there are no improvements seen for some time. The SELECTing of pairs of parents is usually done at random or at random proportional to the fitness of the individuals. RECOMBINATION is usually done by selecting values for the children between the parents. MUTATATION can be done by randomly changing some variables of a child. Finally, SELECTing individuals for the new population can for example simply be done by throwing away all parents and keeping only the best offspring. To avoid degradation, the best parent is may be kept.

### 2.2. Example: Antenna placement

Let us look at the problem of selecting antenna sites for a new GSM network. Lets say we have 10 possible locations and want to select some sites to give us the coverage needed. A good representation can be 10 Booleans, a Boolean for each site, that determines whether the site is used or not. So there are  $2^{10}$  possible solutions.

A population, of size 2, can look like:

Individual	Site 1	Site 2	Site 3	Site 4	Site 5	Site 6	Site 7	Site 8	Site 9	Site 10
1	Т	F	F	F	Т	Т	F	F	Т	Т
2	F	F	F	Т	Т	F	Т	Т	F	Т

Each individual will be given a certain fitness value, for example individual 1 might have value 321.95. The fitness function is probably based on the radio coverage of the antenna's and the costs (rental, placing). This fitness will be used to determine whether an individual is better or worse than another individual.

Initially, we simply generate a random population. We randomly assign the Booleans. Then we may recombine individuals. For example, we can take two individuals, and randomly take values from the first individual or from the second individual. We can make a second child at the same time that just has the other values. Based on the two individuals above, we might generate the following children (the gray values are values from the first parent):

Individual	Site 1	Site 2	Site 3	Site 4	Site 5	Site 6	Site 7	Site 8	Site 9	Site
										10
Child 1	F	F	F	F	Т	F	F	Т	F	Т
Child 2	Т	F	F	Т	Т	Т	Т	F	Т	Т

Then we might also use some mutation on the children, for example by randomly switching two values or by randomly switching the value of a site.

Finally, some selection will have to take place, to give better individuals an advantage.

Lets say we have a population of 10 individuals. We might generate 60 children, and then throw away all parents. Of these 60 children we select the best 10 and have a new, and hopefully better, population. We can repeat this process until we get a very good antenna placement (or until we give up).

### 2.3. When to use EC

Evolutionary computing is usually used for really hard optimization problems. It is usually well suited under the following conditions:

- Large solution space If the solution space is not too large, exhausted search is probably to be preferred. Evolutionary Computing is able to tackle really large problems and it is simple to use in combination with parallel computing.
- No need to find the best solution Evolutionary computing usually finds a good solution, but it is not guaranteed that this solution is the best solution possible. It also does not provide information on how far away the found solution is from the global optimum.
- No very fast results needed Evolutionary computing algorithms may take up some time.
- No exact heuristics available Evolutionary computing is well suited for little understood problems, as no problemspecific knowledge is required to use them. If good, fast and exact heuristics that lead to an optimum value are available, they should be used instead.
- Constraints or multiple objectives are present Evolutionary Computing is well able to handle difficult problems with constraints and multiple objectives.
- Robust solutions are needed Evolutionary Computing can be used in combination with noise and dynamic environments. The algorithm usually adapts well to a new environment.

### 2.4. Multiple objectives

Many problems in telecommunications are fundamentally multi-objective problems. For example, we want to:

- Minimize the costs
- Maximize the reliability
- Maximize the throughput
- Minimize the delay



We usually want to know the relation between the different objectives. We usually want to find solutions on a **Pareto front**, the solutions that are the best based on multiple objectives (see figure).

### 2.5. Alternatives to Evolutionary Computing

Evolutionary computing strategies are not the only global search algorithms. Especially tabu search and simulated annealing are well known alternatives.

### 2.5.1. Tabu Search

Tabu search is another general combinatorial optimization technique. The idea is basically the same as with local search, but when a local optimum is found, the algorithm does not stop. Instead the scheduling might continue, by disallowing points previously visited (hence 'tabu').

### 2.5.2. Simulated Annealing

Simulated annealing is also quite similar to local search. It is a very well known algorithm and is described in the literature. Technically, simulated annealing can be seen as an evolutionary algorithm with population size 1, but it is generally seen as another class of algorithms.

The main difference between local search is that neighbors are inspected in a random fashion and that sometimes worse neighbor solutions are accepted. We want to find a global optimum instead of a local optimum. By accepting worse solutions, we are hoping that we leave a local optimum so we can head for a global one. Over the time, the chance of accepting a worse solution goes down to zero. The chance is also related to the difference in the value of the new solution. The chance of picking a slightly worse solution is higher than the chance of picking a much worse solution. As the last solution seen certainly does not have to be the best solution seen, the best solution is always kept.

### 2.5.3. Other nature-inspired algorithms

A well-known algorithm is Ant colony optimization, which is derived from the structured behavior of ants. It works especially well for routing. Other nature-inspired algorithms are for example based on the behavior of bees and the working of the immune system.

### 2.5.4. Others

There are many other alternatives, like Greedy Algorithms, Hill climbers, scatter search, path relinking methods, grasp algorithms, problem-specific algorithms, and so on.

### 2.6. Memetic algorithms

Mixing evolutionary computing with other heuristics leads to memetic algorithms (or hybrid genetic algorithms). For example, initialization can be done by using well-known solutions. During evolution, local search might be used first to find better solutions. According to 'no free lunch'-theorems, no non-revisiting algorithm can be best for all possible problems. The idea is that only by incorporating problem-specific information, better algorithms can be created.

### 3. Telecommunication network design

Most, if not all, of the early work in the field of evolutionary telecommunications, falls in to this category. An exhaustive 1998 survey by Marc C. Sinclair in [2] forms the basis of this chapter.

### 3.1. Different kinds of networks

### 3.1.1. Optical networks

Optical networks have the special property that the connections between the nodes consist of optical fibers. The capacity of an optical fiber depends on the number of wavebands that go over the fiber. Of course, the higher the number of wavebands, the more the connection will cost.

Another interesting aspect of optical networks is that routing a stream of data, without changing its waveband, is usually cheaper than with changing wavebands.

### 3.1.2. Radio networks

Radio or wireless networks do not need wires. Examples are the well known GSM, UMTS and WLAN networks. Krishnamachari & Wicker [4] made an interesting book chapter on the use of global search techniques for radio networks. They identified the following problems:

- Design of a fixed network topology (discussed in 3.3.2)
- Channel allocation (frequency assignment in 3.3.6)
- Optimal base station location (discussed in 3.3.1)
- Mobility management (discussed in 4.8)
- Call management (see call admission in 3.3.4)
- Optimal multi-user detection in CDMA networks (see 4.9)
- TDMA Frame Pattern Design (see traffic scheduling in 3.3.4)

### 3.1.3. Computer networks

Computer networks differ from 'general networks', by the fact that the data in the network is from communication between computers. Normally certain known protocols for communication between computers, like TCP or UDP on the Internet, are used. These protocols have certain properties, which could be used for solving a certain problem. For example, TCP communication from computer A to computer B is impossible if there is no connection from B to A. UDP communications require only a connection from A to B to work.

#### 3.1.4. General networks

If there are no special properties of a network used for a certain method, we say it applies to general networks. A general network usually consists of some nodes, and some connections between these nodes with a certain capacity (bandwidth) for each connection.

### 3.2. Different steps in network design

Traditionally, the problem of designing a network is divided into different problems, like:

- 1. Designing the network topology and/or locating network nodes
- 2. Allocating network capacities for the links (dimensioning)
- 3. Developing the routing used and/or assigning wavelengths and frequencies.

Only a few papers consider all aspect at once, like the article by White and others [271], to avoid local optimization but global sub-optimization. Because many times there already is some existing technology and/or infrastructure, global optimization may not always be possible.

Articles on these different steps are described into different subchapters. An Exception to this are articles on tree structures, which have their own section, because these articles usually tackle the same problem (encoding trees).

### 3.3. Articles on network design

This part describes all articles found on network design. As there are lots of articles, it is mainly meant as a reference. Appendix I contains a table with all references by subject.

### 3.3.1. Node Location

Node location is the problem of finding locations for certain nodes in a network. For example, in radio networks it is evident that antennas must be positioned.

Some of the papers covering node location for optical networks [24][402][387] and wireless networks [289] also cover topology and are discussed there. One paper [346] tackles both base station placement and frequency assignment and is discussed at frequency assignment.

In 1992, Potter and others [326] studied the design of military networks, using a hybrid genetic algorithm with several representations and problem-specific operators. Their work included battlefield location and network element selection. Later they [398][427][400] improved this work further with enhancements to the objective function, efficiency gains and additional heuristics.

Already in 1994, Routen [321] showed that genetic algorithms could be used to place concentrators in local access network design. He used some problem-specific operators and an integer representation. In 1995, among other problems, Chardaire and others in [15] also covered this problem, this time for concentrators for computer terminals, among others.

Celli and others [322] also positioned concentrators with a genetic algorithm, this time for metropolitan area networks, to demonstrate that parallelization and a proper choice of variables speed up the algorithm used. A metropolitan area network (MAN) typically is a network, which lies qua size between a local area network (LAN, with local computers), and a world area network (WAN, like the internet backbone).

Webb and others [327][401] employed a genetic algorithm with heuristic repair for the selection of backbone nodes in a ring/star transport network. They showed the topologies resulting from true economic cost models, instead of distance-cost models.

Levitin [264] positioned retransmitters in a transmission network with vulnerable nodes using genetic algorithms. In 2004, Livramento and others [108] studied the partitioning of a city network into service sections, which can be controlled by a single standard communication switch. They also positioned the switches. Tests of their genetic algorithm with real instances showed promising results.

For radio networks, the most natural problem is of course selecting the sites for antennas/receivers. In 1997, Calégari and others [36][238][279] showed that their parallel genetic algorithm with multiple populations outperformed a single population algorithm for this problem. They [37] also found some other heuristics to be less performing.

Gondim [328] tackled the problem of associating cells to switching centers with a genetic algorithm. Zimmermann and others [188][189] used evolutionary algorithms for the antenna placement problem. Tang and others [388][282] used a multi-objective genetic algorithm for determining the number of locations and their places in a wireless local area network (WLAN). Lieska and others [347] used three different approaches to locate base stations to show the behavior of genetic algorithms differs quite a bit depending on the approach.

Krzanowski & Raper [237] used hybrid genetic algorithms to select base stations.

In 2004, Jedidi and others [107] tackled node location not using radiographic criteria, but using geometric criteria instead to enable theories on these geometric structures to be used by network designers. They used an evolutionary algorithm to accomplice this. Raisanen and others [172][173], Meunier and others [174], Watanabe and others [175] and Ozugur and others [176] used multi-objective genetic algorithms for base station location. Multiple good solutions (on a Pareto front) were produced. Reininger and others [362] investigated base station placement taking into account multiple periods of use. Chan and others [193] and Li and others [194] investigated base station placement in CDMA personal communication networks. Alba and others [217] used a parallel evolutionary algorithm to select base stations. Maple and others [293] also used a parallel multi-objective evolutionary algorithm to select base stations for third generation networks.

Hei and others [170], Siregar and others [180], Chan and others [255] and Vijayanand and others [223] studied wavelength converter placement and routing in optical networks using genetic algorithms.

### 3.3.2. Topology design

Some of the papers studied discuss the problem of the placement of concentrators [321][108] or network expansion [327][401][330][399] and have been discussed already at Node location. Some others [331][403][404] discuss topology based on routing, and are discussed in that paragraph.

Kumar and others [52][53] used a genetic algorithm, with some problem-specific repair and crossover functions, to develop topologies for computer networks. They mainly aimed at reliability and the paper was published already in 1991. Michalewicz [390] also developed tree topologies for computer networks, also using a problem-specific crossover and mutation operator. Later, Kumar and others [330] developed a genetic algorithm to tackle computer network expansion. Their genetic algorithm selects new nodes to be added and determines their link to the existing network. Srivastava and others [399] revised that work to demonstrate their distributed genetic algorithm.

In 1992, Sobotka [405] studied survivable military communication networks, assuming a fixed number of satellite links. He also used a problem-specific recombination and tried to reduce the impact of damages.

In 1997, Deeter & Smith [39] studied reliable topology design with genetic algorithms for small networks, while minimizing costs. Later, Deeter, Smith & Konak [220][221][11][17] designed (backbone) network topologies with capacities taking into account both economics and reliability. Dengiz and others [40] in the same period also studied this subject with a genetic algorithm, but their links all had the same reliability and fixed, known costs. Later they refined their fitness function to give a more accurate assessment for the fitter individuals [41][42], in order to study (even) larger networks. With a hybrid genetic algorithm, they [43][44] obtained much better results by using local search optimization and repair, among

other things. Bayan and others [34] used a team of solvers including genetic algorithms to create reliable networks. Sayoud and others [265] also found that genetic algorithms were able to create better network designs faster for small test networks.

In 2001, Reichelt and others [250] created topologies under a reliability constraint using a genetic heuristic with intelligent repair operator. Liu & Iwamura [262] solved network reliability models by a simulation-based genetic algorithm. Ghosh and others [27] used genetic algorithms for backbone network design under a costs constraint.

From 2000 on, Ljubic, Raidl and others [303][304][305][306][307] used evolutionary computing to create biconnectivity graphs, where there are at least two connections between two nodes.

In 1999, Kim and others [364] used genetic algorithms to generate network topologies, using bicriteria optimization, considering both cost and reliability to generate a Pareto front. In 2004, Gen and others [276][396][397] also did bicriteria optimization for network topologies using genetic algorithms with fuzzy logic. In 2000, Kumar and others [234][235] extended their work, using genetic algorithms considering multiple objectives. They generated solutions on a Pareto front. Later they [241][242] extended their work even further, including to distributed evolutionary algorithms.

In 2001, Duarte and others [248] selected links using parallel genetic algorithms to generate a Pareto front considering multiple objectives for network design.

In 1998, Tang and others [270] showed that asynchronous transfer mode (ATM) network design was solved better using genetic algorithms. Thompson [166] compared the performance of genetic algorithms and simulated annealing for topology design of ATM networks. On average, the genetic algorithm solution was better.

McMahon and others [30][31] and Berry and others [32] had success with using genetic algorithms for network design under some constraints. In 2003, Tsuji and others [150] investigated the construction of metropolitan area networks using genetic algorithms, using a special operator for keeping created 'building blocks'. Flores and others [35] used parallel multi-objective evolutionary algorithms to generate Pareto front of solutions for a telecommunication network design problem.

Already in 1994, Abuali and others [57] used a genetic algorithm to assign terminals to concentrators with a permutation encoding. They found the genetic algorithm to work better than a greedy algorithm. Later they [38] solved the subset interconnection problem and produced superior results to some previous known algorithms. The subset interconnection problem is a topology design problem where all nodes in a subset have to be internally connected, as well as the subsets themselves. In 2004, Salcedo & Yao [239] combined genetic algorithms and neural networks to assign terminals to switches.

In 1996, Tanaka & Berlage [47] studied video-on-demand network design with genetic algorithms, by designing a topology and specifying storage nodes for the videos.

Saha & Chakraborty [46] studied ways to add new links to a network with a genetic algorithm. They assumed that both the cost and the profit for a link were known in advance. Nakamura & Oda [45] also studied this problem, but then over multiple planning periods. They used a genetic algorithm combined with a heuristic routing and restoration algorithm. Rothlauf & Grasser [251] used a genetic approach to support network topology planning over multiple use periods. Montana and others [252] studied adaptive reconfiguration of data networks.

For optical networks, Sinclair and others tried to figure out which links to include in the network topology, minimizing costs. They applied a simple genetic algorithm [49], a hybrid algorithm [50] and other genetic programming approaches [48][246] to this problem. Mikac & Inkret [406] extended Sinclair's work with genetic algorithms by also taking minimizing unavailability into account. They used two different fitness functions. In odd generations, the fitness focused on minimum costs, while in even generations it focused on availability. White and others [271] not only determined ring structures, but also determined dimensioning and routing to avoid global sub-optimization. Armory and others [201] developed reliable ring structures for optical networks using genetic algorithms. Karunanithi & Carpenter [227] used a genetic algorithm for determining the size of (SONET) ring structures. Pickavet & Demeester [231] determined SONET topologies and capacities too, using a special zoom-in heuristic. Cortes [244] did global optical topology design using genetic algorithms that explored optimality conditions. Liu and others [367] also optimized logical topologies in optical networks. He and others [272] determined optical ring structures and showed better results with an evolutionary algorithm than a genetic one. Xin and others [22] used genetic algorithms combined with heuristics to design large optical networks.

In 1996, Paul and others [24][402] studied tree topologies for optical networks. They minimized costs for local access networks and used some problem-specific operators. Brittain and others [387] also did this, however they provided full details and also considered non-tree topologies.

For computer networks, Hewitt and others [332] studied minimum cost network design, using connectivity and delay constraints. They used a hybrid genetic algorithm. Later, Ko and others [51] used hybrid genetic algorithms in three stages, to create after each other a topology, routing and link capacities. Pierre & Legault [54][56] also studied minimum cost network design with genetic algorithms, using connectivity and delay constraints. They select network links, but the fitness function used also allocates link capacities. Qin and others [55] designed ISDN networks using a genetic algorithm. Their fitness function used also allocates link capacities, but network delay is not calculated. Habib and others [260] created a computer program for designing hierarchical intranets using genetic algorithms.

Berryman and others [268][269] used evolutionary computing to explore the tradeoffs between network redundancy and pleiotropy ('server duplication') in computer networks. Combining both created robust networks. Gen & Cheng [165] studied network design on various topics including LAN design, and found genetic algorithms quite effective. Altiparmak and others [28] compared various metaheuristics for computer network design and found that memetic algorithms did best.

Hedible [202], Quintero & Pierre [25][203][204][205][206] and Din and others [207][208][209][243] assigned cells to switches in mobile networks using (hybrid) genetic algorithms. Sahhbaz [287] and Krishnamachari & Wicker [288] obtained good result with using a genetic algorithm for the design of a fixed network. Chamberland [289] investigated the expansion of an UMTS network considering the existing network.

### 3.3.3. Trees

The optical networks papers [24][402] have already been discussed at topology, in order to keep them at one place. The work by Routen [321], about placing concentrators in local access network design, has been discussed at Node Location. Some papers that cover routing in tree networks [66][67] are discussed there.

The main problem with trees is probably how to choose a correct representation for the tree. In the papers, different solutions are described to this problem.

Already in 1989, Hesser and others [408] applied a simple genetic algorithm with some heuristics for decoding to determine arbitrary Steiner Ο points. Steiner points are points that are added to a graph, to make the total В length of a network covering all points smaller (see figure). Later, Kapsalis and others [14][15] used a simple genetic algorithm to select Steiner points from a given set of nodes. Julstrom [391] covered the rectilinear Steiner tree problem, where only horizontal and vertical lines are allowed. He used a hybrid genetic algorithm, but previous heuristics seemed to give better results. Later, Esbensen & Mazumder [68] returned to the selection of Steiner points from a given set of nodes. Their hybrid genetic algorithm uses a bitstring encoding and some repair routines. Later they [69] demonstrated that the algorithm performed better than the one Kapsalis made. In 1995 [409] the algorithm was improved further. In 2000, Chu and others [280] found that for the Steiner tree problem genetic algorithms performed comparable to their tabu search algorithm. Kulturel and others [16], Saltouros and others [196], Panadero & Fernández [198] and Presti and others [197] also looked at the Steiner problem and found good results. Galiasso & Wainwright [219] tackled the Steiner routing tree problem with single split paths.

Abuali and others [58] also looked at trees. They investigated minimal spanning trees using several genetic algorithms. Their permutation-encoded genetic algorithms performed better than some heuristics for this problem, especially for large networks. Routen [321] also studied this problem in 1994, but had disappointing results with an integer-based encoding. In 1995, Zhao and others [63] had more success with a hybrid genetic algorithm. They used an objectoriented representation. In 1994 Abuali and others [59] moved to the stochastic version of the problem, where nodes only need to be connected with a certain probability. They used a socalled Prüfer encoding. The same year Palmer & Kershenbaum [70][71] argued against that encoding. They obtained better results than some existing algorithms using a genetic algorithm with a new encoding called node-cost bias encoding. In 2001, Gottlieb and others [311] argued again against Prüfer numbers. In 1995, Abuali and others [60] later designed the so-called determinant-factorization encoding for the stochastic minimum spanning tree problem. They [61] compared Prüfer encoding, node-cost bias encoding and determinant-factorization. They found that determinant-factorization and node-cost bias encoding performed well, but nodecost bias encoding performed better for larger networks. Abuali and others [62] later looked at the more constrained three-star tree problem, where all branches have a chain of three nodes to the root. They concluded the same (determinant-factorization and node-cost bias encoding

performed well, but node-cost bias encoding performed better for larger networks).

In 1995, Berry and others [407] also studied the minimum spanning tree problem. They used predecessor-vector encoding (also deprecated by Palemer & Kershenbaum [70]) with some heuristic mutation and recombination. Walter & Smith [410] also independently developed a hybrid genetic algorithm for (directed) minimum spanning trees.



Unfortunately there seems to be no comparison of these papers with the work of others. In 1997, Soper & McKenzie [365] and Chou and others [366] also studied minimal spanning trees. In 2000, Li & Bouchebaba [218] solved the problem of finding an optimal spanning tree



by working directly on the tree itself without an intermediate encoding. Hsinghua and others [369] tested the effect of various properties of a genetic algorithm for the constrained minimal spanning tree problem. Despite being argued against, in 2002 Haghighat and others [199] still used Prüfer numbers for constrained tree optimization. In 2003, Zhou & Gen [162] showed that their genetic algorithm for generating tree-like networks was highly effective compared to other heuristics.

From 2000 on, Raidl and others [308][309][310][314][315][316] looked at the constrained minimum spanning tree problem. Zeng & Wang [312] & Knowles[313] also looked at constrained minimum spanning trees. In 2001, Gaube & Rothlauf [317] revised the link and node biased encoding for trees. Raidl and others [318][319] proposed the edge set encoding for trees. Later, Tzschoppe and others [320] revised this encoding. In 2002, Rothlauf and others [254] proposed a new encoding for trees: Network Random Keys. In 2004, Delbem [195] proposed Node-depth encoding.

Elbaum & Sidi [64][65] studied the design of local area networks (LANs). The LAN is seen as a tree, and encoded with a hybrid chromosome encoding.

### 3.3.4. Routing, restoration and call admission

Some papers [412][51][271] have already been discussed at dimensioning/topology. The papers on optical routing and wavelength allocation [18][20][323][324][325][21][93][94][95][109][113][23][266] are discussed at wavelength allocation. The papers on wavelength converter placement [170] are placed at node location.

Already in 1991, Cox and others [411] used a permutation-based genetic algorithm for the bandwidth-packing component of a heuristic for routing in a switched network. The same year, Pan & Wang [72] used a genetic algorithm for link capacity assignment and routing in an asynchronous transfer mode (ATM) network.

In 1993, Munakata & Hashier [73] used a hybrid genetic algorithm for the maximum flow problem in a capacitated graph and got good results. However, they were unable to get better results than existing conventional heuristics. Sinclair [74] used a bit-string encoding for the design of static-routing tables for an unreliable circuit-switched network. However, his work also performed worse than some existing heuristics. His genetic algorithm in a 1999 paper [263] for reliable military communication networks also had worse results than another heuristic. Shimamoto and others [75] studied dynamic routing in switched networks. They used a steady-state genetic algorithm to exploit the relatively slow changes in traffic distribution, and obtained a grade-of-service that compared well to a fixed routing algorithm.

In 1995, Mann and others [413] tackled static routing to minimize costs while balancing the traffic load. Later, they [414] made a detailed comparison with different algorithms, both genetic algorithms and simulated annealing. The results where similar, but simulated annealing took less computation effort. Mann & Smith [415] later studied routing in optic ring networks, again minimizing costs while balancing the load. This time, they found a genetic algorithm to be more robust than some simulated annealing algorithms.

In 1998, Munetomo and others [340] used genetic algorithms to discover multiple shortest paths in a network. In 1999, Inagaki [341] tackled the same problem using genetic algorithms. In 2002, Ahn & Ramakrishna [112] improved on these genetic algorithms even further, needing less fitness evaluations. In 2001, Munetomo [342] also improved his algorithm, so the final winner is undetermined.

In 2000, Knowles and others [89] researched static routing for backbone networks. They introduced a new operator that can be used for incremental evaluation to improve the performance of a genetic algorithm. They [179] also researched offline-routing and generated a Pareto front using cost and reliability criteria. In 2001, Luckschandl [132] made a framework in Java to use genetic algorithms for routing optimization. In 2002, Kwong and others [257] used genetic algorithms for use in networks using the virtual path routing concept. Their approach worked for both normal and broadcast traffic. Liang and others [88] investigated a distributed genetic algorithm for dynamic routing. It made good decisions without the need of global information (such as the number of nodes in the network). They improved on an ant colony based algorithm for this problem called AntNet.

In 1996, Hoelting and others [76] studied the problem of finding an investigator tour in a network. This is the problem of finding a tour for fault detecting in a point-to-point telecommunication network. Their genetic algorithm outperformed a deterministic heuristic. Hoelting and others [77] studied broadcasting a message through a network to all nodes in minimum time. Their genetic algorithm with a permutation based encoding outperformed a recent heuristic found in the literature. Almost the same group went on [66] studying point-to-multipoint routing. The work of Cox and others [411] was extended and a permutation-based genetic algorithm with a heuristic Steiner tree algorithm. Compared to previous results, the results appeared to be superior. In 1998, Zhu and others [67] extended that work to also consider subsets of requests handled, if handling all requests is unfeasible.

Servet and others [416] used genetic algorithms to estimate the size of traffic streams between nodes, starting from the offered traffic on the links.

In 1996, Huang and others [403] evolved two routes between (pairs) of nodes in a network, guiding topology selection and link dimensioning. They used heuristic recombination and mutation. Later they [331][404] investigated parallel computing to speed up the process.

In 1997, Bentall and others [333] used a genetic algorithm to find restoration paths for a heavily loaded network (where not all connections could be restored). They used the results as a benchmark, not for real-time computation.

Kozdrowski and others [392] used a hybrid genetic algorithm to assign link capacities and traffic streams in a network with link failures.

Kirkwood and others [78][79] used genetic programming to obtain primary and restoration paths in a network with single link failures. Their approach however did not scale well to larger networks. In 2000, He & Mort [87] developed backup-routing tables (=restoration paths) with a hybrid genetic algorithm, while developing primary tables using shortest path routing. They found solutions that led to less congested nodes and links and a better utilization of network resources.

Call admission, for radio networks, was studied by Yener & Rose. In 1994, they [424] studied a bit-string genetic algorithm to create admission policies for a small network. This leaded to results comparable to a simple 'admit if possible' heuristic. Later they [84][85] studied admission policies based on local instead of global information, to be able to tackle larger networks. By examining the results, a novel heuristic local admission policy was suggested. Sherif and others [358] studied call admission in wireless networks, considering the Quality of Service(QoS) of various multimedia services. Karabudak and others [295] studied call admission for next generation wireless networks, including for multimedia QoS 'calls'.

Abedi & Vadgama [171], Chakraborty [356], Huang & Cheng [177] and Ngo & Li [357] studied the scheduling of wireless broadcasting.

For computer networks, Carse and others [417][418] evolved multi-agent systems for adaptive routing. They had good results with a small network. In 1997, Munetomo and others [425] studied approximately the same problem, but for larger networks. They employed path-based genetic operators to manipulate the routing tables directly. In comparison with existing Internet routing algorithms, their algorithm showed better performance (especially with heavy traffic).

He [337] researched static routing and link capacity allocation in large computer networks. He used a genetic algorithm that accidentally used the same representation as Pan & Wang [72]. In 1996, Tanterdtid and others [338][426] applied Shimamoto's [75] genetic algorithm for reliable circuit-switched networks to the problem of virtual routing in ATM networks. They were able to find some optimal solution to this problem. Later, Pitsillides and others [91][92] compared a genetic algorithm to a classical algorithm for this problem, and concluded that the genetic algorithm produced better results. Swaminathan and others [236] also had good results with genetic algorithms. In 2000, Shazli and others [97] used fuzzy logic with a genetic algorithm to tackle this problem and got reasonable results. Medhi & Tipper [192] selected virtual paths for broadband routing. For larger networks, their hybrid approach worked better.

Lin and others [222] determined link capacities and routing for large-scale computer networks. Markaki and others [339] researched a two-dimensional binary genetic algorithm combined with a hill-climbing algorithm for connection-oriented channel selection in a LAN/MAN. They got better results than a graph-coloring based solution.

Loh & Shaw [216] used genetic algorithms to do fault-tolerant routing in multiprocessor networks.

OSPF is a routing protocol frequently used for Internet connections between Internet Service Providers (ISPs). It requires weights to be set for the connections. Since 2002, a group of overlapping authors consisting of Resende and others [116][117][118][119] examined a (hybrid) genetic algorithm for this task. They showed good or better results than other algorithms in terms of efficiency and robustness. Riedl [139] investigated different service metrics (bandwidth and delay) on this subject. Mulyana & Killat [168] also studied setting OSPF weights using hybrid genetic algorithms, but they minimized utilization as an objective function. In 2004, Kang and others [120] evaluated the Quality of Service (QoS) of their algorithm using simulation.

For optical networks, Pan and others [245] investigated genetic algorithms for message scheduling. They reduced the number of passes required to sent messages.

Currently, **QoS routing and multicast routing** gain interest. In 1998, Leung and others [343] and Xiang and others [249] published a routing algorithm for multicast routing using genetic algorithms. Simulations showed that the algorithm provided reliable high-quality solutions. Ravikumar & Bajpai [159] tackled the same problem taking into account a maximum delay. Zhang and others [344] used genetic algorithms using an experimental orthogonal crossover operation to design multicast trees for routing. Within a moderate number of generations, near-optimal solutions were found. In 2000, Xiawei and others [114] also used a bit string genetic algorithm to develop tree schemas for multicast routing. Jang-Jiin and others [151] researched QoS multicast routing in asynchronous transmission (ATM) networks. Hwang and others [154] also investigated multicast routing. In 2001, Wang and others [160] tackled multicast routing while looking at delay and bandwidth constraints. In 2002 and later, Roy and others

[99][158][153] investigated multicast QoS routing to mobile phones for multimedia applications using a genetic algorithm. Simulation showed that the algorithm worked even with imprecise information. Banerjee and others [348] researched multicast route discovery in wireless networks. Thilakawardana and others [161] investigated QoS packet scheduling in mobile networks. Chen & Dong [115] used a genetic algorithm with fuzzy logic to compensate for inaccurate global information and also showed good results in simulation. In 2003, Haghighat and others [140] and Xingwei and others [141][152] researched multicast routing with QoS requirements using genetic algorithms.

In 2004, Gelenbe and others [100] discussed the use of a genetic algorithm for path finding and maintaining as an extension for their QoS routing protocol called CPN. Cornellas & Dalfo [110] investigated broadcast algorithms for Manhattan street networks using a genetic algorithm. Barolli and others [136][137][138] also did research using genetic algorithms to do QoS routing. Zhengying and others [142] and Haghighat and others [143] investigated least-cost multicast routing with bandwidth constraints using genetic algorithms and multicast trees. Li and others [144], Araujo and others [145], Tsai and others [146], Pan and others [157], Cui and others [147] and Layuan and others [149] also investigated QoS multicast routing with genetic algorithms under various circumstances. Siregar and others [156] and Din and others [155] investigated multicast routing in optical (WDM) networks.

Another interesting development is the use of intelligent agents for routing. In 1999, Nonas & Poulovassilis [102] investigated network routing adaptation by intelligent agents using genetic algorithms. They showed that link failures could be recovered in a dynamic situation.

### 3.3.5. Wavelength Allocation

If wavelength allocation is done, often the algorithm also takes care of routing.

The earliest paper, by Tan & Sinclair [18] in 1995, uses a genetic algorithm for route selection between nodes in the network. The number of wavelengths needed to route traffic from node A to B is assumed to be an integer. A gene describes how this traffic is routed. The number of wavelength required between neighboring nodes C and D is simply the sum of all routes that go through it and the maximum wavelength count is minimized. Sinclair [20][323][324] later extended his research with hybridization and considering cost models.

At the same time, Abed & Ghanta [19] used a genetic algorithm for determining the topology of an optical network or Lightwave Network Architecture (LNA), using wavelength allocation on single optical fiber.

In 2000, Ali & Ramamurthy [113] used genetic algorithms to tackle the wavelength assignment and routing problem taking into account power considerations. Additional time taken by the genetic algorithm seemed to pay off. Saha and others [230] also determined routing and wavelengths for optical networks taking into account reliability. Qin and others [23][266] solved the routing and wavelength assignment problem with limited range wavelength conversions. In 2003, Banjeree and others [93][94][95] investigated the routing and wavelength assignment problem using multi-objective genetic algorithms, for presenting a number of combinations to network operators. The objectives used were things like average flow, average delay and expected blocking. Better results than with simulated annealing were seen. In 2004, Cagatay Talay & Oktug [109] independently tackled this problem using a hybrid genetic algorithm to minimize costs. Their results looked promising compared to recent heuristics. Recently, the area of **traffic grooming** is starting to get more attention. Traffic grooming is the science of combining multiple low-bandwidth traffic streams into one waveband, in order to minimize the number of waveband stoppers needed. Lee & Park [325] and Xu and others [21][229] used genetic algorithms on this problem for some specific topologies.

### 3.3.6. Frequency assignment

Almost all papers here can be found in the database on frequency assignment problems at <u>http://fap.zib.de/biblio/</u>.

A lot of work in this area has been done by Crompton. In 1993, Crompton and others [419] used a parallel genetic algorithm to minimize interference for frequency assignment for air to ground to air problems using an integer representation. Later [420] they improved this algorithm using heuristics and another representation. In [393] they compared their results with a backtracking heuristic. The genetic algorithm turned out to be better when using the same execution time. However, Hurley & Smith [334] demonstrated that simulated annealing, using incremental fitness evaluation, showed even better results. Tabu search [80] was also better than the genetic algorithm (but worse than simulated annealing).

Valenzuela and others [394] studied the same problem, but minimized the number of frequencies required if no interference is allowed. They [81] however showed that their genetic algorithm was better than simulated annealing or tabu search.

In 1994, Cuppini [421] used a bit-string genetic algorithm with no crossover for small frequency assignment problems. Kapsalis and others [15][423] also used a bit-string genetic algorithm. They tested a wide range of operators and fitness functions and tried to minimize both interference and the number of frequencies required. Later, Kapsalis and Smith [422] used a meta-genetic algorithm to select an even larger number of operators and tweak the parameters for their algorithm. In 1997, Ngo and Li [335] used a bit-string genetic algorithm with heuristic operators and local search to minimize interference.

Kaminsky [83] studied the hourly assignment of military frequencies using a genetic algorithm. Sandalidis and others [336] used bit-string evolutionary strategies for dynamic frequency assignment for cellular mobile radio. Their algorithm showed better results than four other (problem-specific) algorithms, but was not tested for real-time operations.

In 1995, Hao & Dorne [121][122] tackled the frequency assignment problem (FAP) with a hybrid genetic algorithm and showed that their hybrid approach is promising compared to other global search methods. In 1997, Renaud & Caminada [128] tested various methods and operators for the frequency assignment problem. In 1998, Crisan & Mühlenbein [82] used a so-called breeder genetic algorithm for the frequency assignment in digital cellular networks, to minimize interference. Their problem formulation is more complex than the others. Later they [124] study the fitness landscape of the function that is optimized to explain the performance of previous evolutionary algorithms. Valenzuela [125] improved on the previous methods by exploring different operators and hybridization with a greedy algorithm. Weinberg and others [123] combined multiple local search methods and introduced two new operators to tackle larger problems. Also in 2001, Cotta & Troya [126] compared different evolutionary algorithms and others [127] dynamically allocated frequencies. In 2004, Matsui and others [130] studied fixed frequency assignment with a bandwidth constraint.

In a 2002 article, Aardal and others [129] describe the CALMA project. In this project, a big evaluation of global search methods for frequency assignment was undertaken. The algorithm with the best results is best described as a hybrid genetic algorithm.

In 2003, Weicker and others [346] studied station placement and frequency assignment together. A multi-objective genetic algorithm was used.

Beckmann and others [349] Ghosh and others [350], Funabiki and others [167], Li and others [182], Yoshino & Ohtomo[183], Lau & Coghill [359], Jaimes-Romero and others [360], Zomaya [361] and Sandalidis and others [186][187] tested various evolutionary algorithms for the channel assignment problem. Kwok [351] used a Linux cluster to solve channel assignment problems using genetic algorithms. Kassotakis and others [352] studied channel re-allocation using genetic algorithms. Matsui and others [184] [185] researched channel assignment in case of limited bandwidth. Mabed and others [181] tackled the channel assignment problem considering multiple periods.

### 3.3.7. Dimensioning

Many papers on dimensioning handle dimensioning among other subjects. Most of the optical papers [50][48][415][406][24][402][49][231][271] have been dealt with already. Many general network papers [39][403][404][331][392][73][70][71][47][410][220][221][265] and computer network papers [337][332][51][54][55][56][72][222] also meanly consider other aspects. The papers of Potter and others [326][398][427][400] on military radio networks are discussed at node location.

Already in 1987, Davis & Coombs [428][429][430] chose link capacities for packet-switched wide-area networks using an integer genetic algorithm with problem-specific operators. They also tackled the problem of incorporating constraints into their model.

In 1993, Davis and others [412] minimized cost under a reliability constraint in a network. They used an integer genetic algorithm to select link capacities and traffic routes in a network, using problem-specific operators and local repair. In 1997, Ahuja [368] dimensioned computer networks to optimize reliability. In 1998, Garcia and others [86] tackled network expansions over multiple periods. They tried to determine when to increase link sizes to meet forecasted demand. They used a steady-state integer genetic algorithm combined with local search. Heegaard and others [261] used genetic algorithms for dimensioning full service access networks, including both unicast and multicast traffic. In 2000, Mostafa & Eid [345] used a genetic algorithm combined shortest path routing to determine link capacities in a packetswitched network. A 3.17% reduction in costs was made over a previous method. Al-Rumaih and others [214] determined spare capacities for survivable mesh networks. In 2001, Arabas and Kozdrowski [371] used an evolutionary algorithm to find good backbone capacities. In 2003, Runggeratigul [133] showed a memetic algorithm for the link capacity problem in packet-switched networks, while taking into consideration the existing network facilities. Podnar & Skorin [370] used genetic algorithms to solve a problem of minimizing link costs, for the case that the costs for link usage are discounted if the usage exceeds a certain threshold. Atzori and others [258] used genetic algorithms to determine network capacities for multicast traffic.

For optical networks, Chen & Zheng [228] studied the capacity allocation for optical ring structures. Chong & Kwong [256] had very favorable results with using genetic algorithms to allocate spare capacities. Mutafungwa [298] designed link redundancy enhancements for optical cross-connected nodes.

### 4. Other telecommunication problems

### 4.1. Node configuration & link tuning (power management, etc.)

In 2001, Moustafa and others [96] optimized the amount of power and bit rate used by mobile phones using genetic algorithms. A significant enhancement in signal quality and power level was noticed throughout several experiments. In 2004, Hu & Goodman [372] used genetic algorithms to configure wireless access points. Zhou and others [169][191] studied setting transmission power in wireless (CDMA) networks. Genetic algorithms generated optimal results.

In 2003, Withall and others [90] investigated a genetic algorithm for optimizing the parameters for sending data that a single computer on the Internet can change. For some situations, they reported better performance than gained with using a fixed package size (standard). Yang and others [274][275] used genetic algorithms to generate a Pareto front between the criteria throughput and delay for an optical link.

### 4.2. Protocol validation & design

Evolutionary Computing can be used to design and test telecommunication protocols. In 1999, Corno and others [103][104] used genetic algorithms to verify the implementation of protocols. The genetic algorithm with a simulator was able to provide reliable testing results. Alba & Troya [224] and Baldi and others [225][226] also showed the benefits of using genetic algorithms for protocol validation.

In 2000, Sharples & Wakeman [106] designed communication protocols using genetic algorithms. In 2004, Araujo and others [111] also designed communication protocols using evolutionary algorithms.

### 4.3. Hardware design

This part contains some examples, but might not be exhaustive as hardware design is not really unique to telecommunications.

### 4.3.1. Antenna design

Edwards and others [385] designed a spiral antenna using multi-objective genetic algorithms. Edwards & Cook [178] used genetic algorithms to design an antenna for triple band devices (for the current European GSM bands and the new UMTS band). For three different criteria, a Pareto front for is generated. Himdi & Daniel [386] used genetic algorithms to design multi band antennas.

### 4.3.2. Integrated circuits manufacturing

For the design of integrated circuits, evolutionary methods can be used. This is for example the design of integrated circuitry in switches and other network components.

The design of hardware by itself is not really unique to telecommunications, so it won't be covered here in detail.

### 4.3.3. Evolutionary hardware

An interesting area is also evolutionary hardware. The outcome of evolving hardware is hard to determine, and could therefore be better for security.

The design of hardware by itself is not really unique to telecommunications, so it won't be covered here in detail.

### 4.4. Provider selection/Least cost routing

The telecommunication industry is subject to all kinds of agreements and regulations, which lead to new and interesting problems. One example of this is regulation that allows callback facilities. This means that a call from A to B, may be immediately terminated, and followed by a call from B to A. The reason for this is usually that there are cheaper rates from B to A, than from A to B. According to the ECTELNET Report [3], some work has already been done by Alain Sutter, but by using tabu search (see 2.5.1) instead of an evolutionary algorithm.

Pressmar [164] studied the optimization of a rented network topology using genetic algorithms. Ahuja [431] probably already used genetic algorithms to select the cheapest Internet service providers. As I could not locate any other public papers, this area might be open to future research.

### 4.5. Distributed databases & web caching

Deciding the distribution and duplication of the data in distributed databases is a problem that can be tackled with evolutionary methods.

Knowles and others [179][296][12][13] researched this problem and generated a Pareto front considering cost and reliability criteria. Ahmad and others [215] also created a genetic algorithm for allocating data in distributed databases. Oates [253] showed how genetic algorithms can be used for optimizing distributed database performance.

Yanxiang and others [259] used genetic algorithms to support distributed information retrieval systems built upon mobile agent technology.

Vakali [210][211][212][213] used genetic algorithms to tune Internet file caches. Tang and others [363] investigated the best placement of videos in a broadcasting network with multiple servers, minimizing in-between server downloading and server usage. Knowles and others [297] investigated web server load balancing using evolutionary computing.

### 4.6. Distributed computing & Grid Computation Networks

In 1998, Kumar [247] studied the use of genetic algorithms to make distributed computer networks more reliable. Applications are seen in Martino & Mililotti [7][8], Dey & Majumder [29] and Yu [9] used genetic algorithms to schedule computer tasks in a grid network. Comellas & Gimenez [294] looked at broadcasting information in grid computer networks. Pierre & Legault [382] designed distributed computer networks using evolutionary computing.

### 4.7. Ad Hoc Networks

Ad Hoc Networks are a hot topic in telecommunications research. The basic idea is that normal nodes are also forwarders and routers in the network.

Barolli and others [134][135] did some research on using genetic algorithms to incorporation quality of service requirements into ad hoc routing. Mao and others [148][292] investigated multicast routing for video. Turgut and others [281] optimized the clustering of nodes in an ad hoc network. Marwaha and others [373] and Liu and others [300] did routing in ad hoc networks using fuzzy logic considering multiple objectives. Montana & Redi [301] optimized parameters for an ad hoc routing protocol using genetic algorithms.

### 4.8. Registration area planning for mobile networks

Subrata & Zomaya [33], Wang and others [381], Das & Sen [290] and Junping & Lee [291] used genetic algorithms for mobile registration area planning. As mobile phones travel, the phone is not always registered at the nearest base station or the current cell they are in. Instead, travel patterns are investigated. This approach saves costs, bandwidth and power, as less re-

registration has to take place. Demestichas and others [26] compared taboo search, simulated annealing and genetic algorithms for fixed location area planning and found similar results between the heuristics.

# 4.9. Error correction codes, data equalization & CDMA multi-user detection

Chen and others [240] used parallel genetic algorithms combined with simulated annealing to create error-correcting codes. Alba & Chicano [395][217] used hybrid genetic algorithms to tackle the problem of finding error correcting codes. Cotta [233] used a memetic approach to tackle the error correcting code problem.

White [286] used a genetic adaptive algorithm for data equalization. Sharman & Esparcia [378] also did digital filtering using evolutionary methods. Alkanhal & Alshebeili [380] and Chen and others [379] used genetic algorithms for blind channel identification, thus for data equalization without prior knowledge.

Khuri and others [232] used genetic algorithms to hand out unique codes to nodes in a wireless (CDMA) network. Lim and others [374], Juntti and others [375], Wu and others [376], Abedi and others [377], Yen & Hanzo [283][284] and Ng and others [285] investigated the use of these codes to do multi-user detection using all kinds of evolutionary computation algorithms.

### 4.10. Satellites

In 1999, George D. Smith [302] pointed out the possible advantages of evolutionary computing for satellite communications. He identified the following (non-independent) configuration issues:

- The number of orbits
- The number of satellites per orbit
- The types of orbits (polar, rosette, equatorial, polyhedral, mixed)
- The trajectories (circle, elliptic)
- The power management systems
- The number and types of antennas used for direct user communication
- The routing methodology (ground-based, space-based or both)
- Maintenance and upgrade

In 2002, Confessore [277] and others used genetic algorithms and simulation to try to find Pareto-optimal satellite constellations for regional coverage, minimizing the number of satellites and maximizing the coverage. Barbulescu and others [278] compared genetic algorithms with heuristics for satellite range scheduling, and concluded that genetic algorithms yielded the best performance on difficult problems. Asvial and others [353][354][355] researched the design of satellite constellation using genetic algorithms. They also researched radio resource management for satellites. Genetic algorithms seemed to be able to provide good and robust results.

Hassan and others [383] and Linden [384] used evolutionary computing to process (GPS) satellite signals.

### 4.11. Others

This section contains some other papers found that do not really fall in the previous categories but seem related to evolutionary telecommunications.

### 4.11.1. Traffic mapping

Hoang & Zorn [190] were able to map traffic in real time with the help of genetic algorithms.

### 4.11.2. Electronic marketplaces

In 2001, Hammamet and others [131] created a framework for using mobile agents and genetic algorithms for a multi-operator marketplace for resource allocation.

### 4.11.3. Intrusion detection

In 2000, Neri [105] used data mining with genetic algorithms to detect TCP/IP network intrusions.

### 4.11.4. Switch rollout planning

Davis and others [273] minimized the costs involved for adding switch modules to a switch station using genetic algorithms.

### 4.11.5. Service Application Software design

Martin [101] used a genetic algorithm to design service applications for telecommunication providers.

### 5. Future Research

Evolutionary telecommunications is an active area of research where much more research is to be expected. As no area of this field is researched exhaustively, new articles are to be expected in any area previously described. According to the following graph, there currently is no area with more than 55 papers for network design:



For example, this year there is a special issue on Nature-inspired approaches to networks and telecommunications. The list of subjects [5] gives a good view of expected upcoming research. It contains almost all subjects that have been discussed and some new technologies:

- General network design problems
  - Physical topology design problems
  - o Survivability and reliability
  - Quality of service
  - $\circ$  Protection and restoration
  - Network management
  - o Congestion control
  - Simulation and queuing models
- Optical networks
  - Routing and wavelength assignment
  - Traffic grooming
  - Placement of wavelength converters
  - Placement of optical amplifiers
  - Protection and restoration on optical layer
- Fixed networks design problems

- Cellular and wireless networks
- Satellite communications networks
- Other topics
  - Ad hoc networks
  - o Bluetooth/Personal area networks
  - o IP/WDM
  - GMPLS and MPLS
  - Internet applications

Following the current trend, future research will tackle more complicated problems. The algorithms used will probably be multi-objective orientated, so that the resulting solutions are on a Pareto front. The algorithms used will be hybrid genetic algorithms or memetic algorithms and able to run parallel on multiple computers. Papers will not tackle only topology for new networks, but also dimensioning and routing to avoid local sub-optimization. The evaluation function will be more complicated, but more accurate. On the other hand, as there is lots of existing infrastructure, there will also be a focus on adapting this infrastructure to new requirements. Also, the papers will be on newer techniques like mobile network papers on GMPLS and MPLS instead of GSM (or the newer UMTS). Papers on routing will consider Quality of Service and multicast traffic. For example, I would not be surprised to see a paper on multimedia content delivery server placement in 200 mbit power line networks.

### 6. Conclusions

Evolutionary computing is used in a wide variety of telecommunication problems. Over 400 scientific papers on this area have been identified, covering all sorts of problems. Problems tackled are network design things like node location, topology design, routing and restoration, call admission, wavelength allocation, frequency assignment and dimensioning (capacity assignment). Some other, emerging problems seen are for ad hoc networks, node configuration, automated protocol & hardware design, satellites, distributed databases & distributed computing.

It appears that hybrid evolutionary computing is very well suited for solving combinatorial optimization problems in telecommunications. When comparisons are made, almost for every problem, hybrid evolutionary algorithms (memetic algorithms) do best, compared to problem-specific heuristics, simulated annealing, tabu search, etc. A nice example is the CALMA project for frequency assignment.

As heuristics can be incorporated into evolutionary computing resulting in memetic algorithms, even if a heuristic produces better results than an evolutionary computing algorithm, combining both might be very worthwhile.

Note that evolutionary computing also has its disadvantages. For example, finding the global optimum is not guaranteed, the distance to the optimum remains unknown and the results may take some time to generate (see 2.3).

It is hard to estimate the exact added value of evolutionary computing in telecommunications. The 1999 ECTELNET report [3] mentions that an estimated operation cost reduction of 5-15% can be made by using evolutionary computing instead of classic heuristics.

For hard global optimization problems in telecommunications, I therefore think it is a very good idea to look to hybrid evolutionary computing.

### 7. References

#### 7.1. Available online (linked)

- Eiben, A.E., & Smith, J.E., <u>Introduction to Evolutionary Computing</u>, 2003, Springer-Verlag, New York, ISBN 3-540-40184-9
- [2] Sinclair, Marc C., <u>Evolutionary Algorithms for Optical Network Design: A Genetic-algorithm/Heuristic Hybrid Approach</u>, July 2001, University of Essex
- [3] The ECTELNET Working Group Compiled by George D. Smith, <u>ECTELNET –</u> <u>Application of Evolutionary Algorithms in the Telecommunications Domain: A</u> <u>Preliminary Report</u>
- [4] Krishnamachari, Bhaskar & Wicker, Stephen B., <u>Global Search Techniques for</u> <u>Problems in Mobile Communications</u>, Cornell University, Feb 1999
- [5] International journal of computational intelligence and applications (IJCIA), Special issue on Nature-inspired approaches to networks and telecommunications, <u>http://www.cercia.ac.uk/news/events/IJCIA\_Special\_Issue.htm</u>
- [6] EvoWeb, Browse individuals by subject category, http://evonet.lri.fr/evoweb/membership/bbsubcat.php
- [7] Martino, Vincenzo Di & Mililotti, Marco, <u>Scheduling in a Grid Computing</u> <u>Environment Using Genetic Algorithms</u>, April 15 - 19, 2002, International Parallel and Distributed Processing Symposium: IPDPS 2002 Workshops
- [8] Martino, Vincenzo Di, <u>Sub Optimal Scheduling in a Grid Using Genetic Algorithms</u>, April 22 - 26, 2003, International Parallel and Distributed Processing Symposium (IPDPS'03)
- [9] Yu, Han, Marinescu, Dan C., Wu, Annie S. & Siegel, Howard Jay, <u>A genetic</u> <u>approach to planning in heterogeneous computing environments</u>, In the Proceedings of the 17th International Parallel and Distributed Processing Symposium, p. 97 (12th Heterogeneous Computing Workshop) Nice, France, April 22, 2003.
- [10] Konak, Abdullah & Smith, Alice E., <u>Multiobjective Optimization of Survivable Networks Considering Reliability</u>, Proceedings of The 10th International Conference on Telecommunication Systems, Modeling and Analysis (ICTSM10), Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, CA, October 3-6, 2002
- [11] Konak, Abdullah & Smith, Alice E., <u>A Hybrid Genetic Algorithm Approach for Backbone Design of Communication Networks</u>, Proceedings of the 1999 Congress on Evolutionary Computation, Washington D.C., IEEE, 1999, 1817-1823.
- [12] Oates, Martin J & Corne, David, <u>Investigating Evolutionary Approaches to Adaptive</u> <u>Database Management Against Various Quality of Service Metrics</u>, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Volume 1498, Jan 1998, Page 775
- [13] Oates, M., Corne, D., Loader, R. <u>Multimodal Performance Profiles on the Adaptive</u> <u>Distributed Database Management Problem</u>, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Volume 1803, Jan 2000, Pages 224-234
- [14] Kapsalis, A., Rayward-Smith, V.J. & Smith, G.D., <u>Solving the graphical Steiner tree</u> problem using genetic algorithms, Journal of the Operational Research Society v44 n4, April 1993, pp. 397-406
- [15] Chardaire, P., Kapsalis, A., Mann, J.W., Rayward-Smith, V.J. & Smith, G.D., <u>Applications of genetic algorithms in telecommunications</u>, Proc. 2nd Intl. Workshop on Applications of Neural Networks to Telecommunications (IWANNT'95), Stockholm, Sweden, May 1995, pp. 290-299
- [16] Kulturel-Konak, Sadan, Konak, Abdullah & Smith, Alice E., <u>Minimum Cost 2-Edge-Connected Steiner Graphs in Rectilinear Space: An Evolutionary Approach</u>,

Proceedings of the 2000 Congress on Evolutionary Computation, San Diego, 97-103, 2000

- [17] Konak, Abdullah & Smith, Alice E., <u>A Hybrid Genetic Algorithm Approach for</u> <u>Backbone Design of Communication Networks</u>, Proceedings of the 1999 Congress on Evolutionary Computation, Washington D.C., IEEE, 1999, 1817-1823.
- [18] Tan, L.G. & Sinclair, M.C., <u>Wavelength assignment between the central nodes of the COST 239 European optical network</u>, Proc. 11th UK Performance Engineering Workshop, Liverpool, UK, September 1995, pp.235-247
- [19] Abed, M.A.A. & Ghanta, S., <u>Optimizing logical topology of lightwave network</u> <u>architecture (LNA) using genetic algorithms</u>, Proc. 1996 IEEE 15th Annual Intl. Phoenix Conf. on Computers and Communications, Scottsdale, Arizona, USA, March 1996, pp. 501-507
- [20] Sinclair, M.C., <u>Minimum cost routing and wavelength allocation using a</u> <u>genetic-algorithm/heuristic hybrid approach</u>, Proc. 6th IEE Conf. on Telecommunications, Edinburgh, UK, March/April 1998, pp. 67-71
- [21] Xu, Yong, Xu, Shen-Chu & Wu, Bo-Xi, <u>Strictly nonblocking grooming of dynamic traffic in unidirectional SONET/WDM rings using genetic algorithms</u>, Computer Networks, The International Journal of Computer and Telecommunications Networking, v.41 n.2, p.227-245, 5 February 2003
- [22] Xin, Yufeng, Rouskas, George N. & Perros, Harry G., <u>Design of Large-Scale Optical</u> <u>Networks</u>, In Proceedings of the 14th IASTED International Conference on Parallel and Distributed Computing and Systems, pp. 822-827, November 4-6, 2002, Cambridge, MA
- [23] Qin, Yang, Siew, Chee-Kheong & Li, Bo, <u>Effective Routing and Wavelength</u> <u>Assignment Algorithms in a Wavelength-Routed Network</u>, Optical Networks Magazine Vol. 4, Issue 2, March - April, 2003
- [24] Paul, H. & Tindle, J., <u>Passive optical network planning in local access networks an optimisation approach utilising genetic algorithms</u>, BT Technology Journal v14 n2, April 1996, pp. 110-115
- [25] Quintero, Alejandro & Pierre, Samuel, <u>Evolutionary approach to optimize the assignment of cells to switches in personal communication networks</u>, Computer Communications, Volume 26, Issue 9, 2 June 2003, Pages 927-938
- [26] Demestichas, P., Georgantas, N., Tzifa, E., Demesticha, V., Striki, M., Kilanioti, M. & Theologou, M., <u>Computationally efficient algorithms for location area planning in future cellular systems</u>, Computer Communications Journal, Vol. 23, pp. 1263-1280, July 2000
- [27] Ghosh, Loknath, Mukherjee, Amitava, Saha, Debashis, Ghosh, L., Mukherjee, A. & Saha, D., <u>Design of 1-FT Communication Network under Budget Constraint</u>, Lecture Notes in Computer Science (LNCS 2571): Proc. 4th International Workshop on Distributed Computing (IWDC'2002), Calcutta, December 2002, pp. 471-485 (alternative title: <u>Optimal design of backbone topology for a communication network under cost constraint</u>)
- [28] Altiparmak F., Dengiz B. & Smith A.E., <u>Optimal Design of Reliable Computer</u> <u>Networks: A Comparison of Metaheuristics</u>, Journal of Heuristics, December 2003, v9, n6, pp. 471-487(17)
- [29] Dey, Soumen & Majumder, Subhodip, <u>Task Allocation in Heterogeneous Computing Environment by Genetic Algorithm</u>, Lecture Notes in Computer Science Volume 2571 / 2002, Distributed Computing. Mobile and Wireless Computing: 4th International Workshop, IWDC 2002 Calcutta, India, December 28-31, pp. 348-352, student paper

- [30] McMahon, G., S. Sugden, et al., <u>Fast Network Design for Telecommunications</u>, Asia Pacific Operations Research Society 2000 Conference (APORS 2000), CD ROM, Paper 17-02, Singapore
- [31] McMahon, G., Sugden, S., Berry, L., Murtagh B., Randall M., <u>Network Design with a Genetic Algorithm</u>, International Federation Of Operational Research Societies IFORS '99, Beijing, 1999
- [32] Berry, L., Murtagh, B., McMahon, G., Sugden, S. and Welling, L., <u>An Integrated GA-LP Approach to Communication Network Design</u>, Telecommunication Systems Journal, 1999, v12 pp. 265-280
- [33] Subrata, Riky & Zomaya, Albert Y., <u>Evolving Cellular Automata for Location</u> <u>Management in Mobile Computing Networks</u>, IEEE Transactions on parallel and distributed systems, January 2003 (Vol. 14, No. 1), pp. 13-26
- [34] Baran, Benjamin & Laufer, Fabian, <u>Topological optimization of reliable networks</u> <u>using a-teams</u>, In Proceedings of World Multiconference on Systemics, Cybernetics and Informatics - SCI '99 and ISAS '99, volume 5,1999
- [35] Flores, Susana Duarte, Cegla, Benjamín Barán & Cáceres, Diana Benítez, <u>Telecommunication Network Design with Parallel Multi-objective Evolutionary</u> <u>Algorithms</u>, IFIP/ACM Latin America Networking Conference 2003 - Towards a Latin American Agenda for Network Research, IFIP - TC6 and ACM\_SIGCOMM, La Paz, Bolivia October 3 - 5, 2003
- [36] Calégari, P., Guidec, F., Kuonen, P. & Kobler, D., <u>Parallel island-based genetic</u> <u>algorithm for radio network design</u>, Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing v47 n1, November 1997, pp. 86-90
- [37] Calégari, Patrice, Guidec, Frédéric, Kuonen, Pierre & Nielsen, Frank, <u>Combinatorial optimization algorithms for radio network planning</u>, Theoretical Computer Science, v.263 n.1-2, p.235-265, July 28 2001
- [38] Abuali, F.N., Wainwright, R.L. & Schoenefeld, D.A., <u>Solving the subset</u> <u>interconnection design problem using genetic algorithms</u>, Proc. 1996 ACM Symp. on Applied Computing (SAC'96), Philadelphia, PA, USA, February 1996, pp. 299-304
- [39] Deeter, D.L. & Smith, A.E., <u>Heuristic optimization of network design considering all-terminal reliability</u>, Proc. Annual Reliability and Maintainability Symposium, Philadelphia, Pennsyl-vania, USA, 1997, pp. 194-199
- [40] Dengiz, B., Altiparmak, F. & Smith, A.E., <u>A genetic algorithm approach to optimal topological design of all terminal networks</u>, Intelligent Engineering Systems Through Artificial Neural Network v5, 1995, pp. 405-410
- [41] Dengiz, B., Altiparmak, F. & Smith, A.E., <u>Genetic algorithm design of networks</u> <u>considering all-terminal reliability</u>, Proc. 6th Industrial Engineering Research Conference (IERC6), Miami Beach, Florida, USA, May 1997, Ch. 168, pp. 30-35
- [42] Dengiz, B., Altiparmak, F. & Smith, A.E., <u>Efficient optimization of all-terminal</u> <u>reliable networks using an evolutionary approach</u>, IEEE Transactions on Reliability v46 n1, March 1997, pp. 18-26
- [43] Dengiz, B., Altiparmak, F. & Smith, A.E., <u>Local search genetic algorithm for optimization of highly reliable communications networks</u>, Proc. 7th Intl. Conf. on Genetic Algorithms (ICGA'97), July 1997, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan, USA, pp. 650-657
- [44] Dengiz, B., Altiparmak, F. & Smith, A.E., <u>Local search genetic algorithm for optimal design of reliable networks</u>, IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation v1 n3, September 1997, pp. 179-188 (also on <u>IEEE explore</u>)
- [45] Nakamura, H. & Oda, T., Optimization of facility planning and circuit routing for survivable transport networks--an approach based on genetic algorithm and

incremental assignment, IEICE Transactions on Communications vE80-B n2, February 1997, pp. 240-251

- [46] Saha, D. & Chakraborty, U.K., <u>An efficient link enhancement strategy for computer</u> <u>networks using genetic algorithm</u>, Computer Communications v20 n9, 1997, pp. 798-803
- [47] Tanaka, Y. & Berlage, O., <u>Application of genetic algorithms to VOD network</u> <u>topology optimization</u>, IEICE Transactions on Communications vE79-B n8, Aug 1996, pp. 1046-1053
- [48] Aiyarak, P., Saket, A.S. & Sinclair, M.C., <u>Genetic programming approaches for minimum cost topology optimisation of optical telecommunication networks</u>, Proc. 2nd IEE/IEEE Intl. Conf. on Genetic Algorithms in Engineering Systems: Innovations and Applications (GALESIA'97), Glasgow, September 1997, pp. 415-420
- [49] Sinclair, M.C., <u>Minimum cost topology optimisation of the COST 239 European</u> <u>optical network</u>, Proc. 2nd Intl. Conf. on Artificial Neural Networks and Genetic Algorithms (ICANNGA'95), Al'es, France, April 1995, pp. 26-29
- [50] Sinclair, M.C., <u>NOMaD: Applying a genetic-algorithm/heuristic hybrid approach to optical network topology design</u>, Proc. 3rd Intl. Conf. on Artificial Neural Networks and Genetic Algorithms (ICANNGA'97), University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK, April 1997, pp. 299-303
- [51] Ko, K.-T., Tang, K.-S., Chan, C.-Y., Man, K.-F. & Kwong, S., <u>Using genetic</u> algorithms to design mesh networks, Computer v30 n8, August 1997, pp. 56-61
- [52] Kumar, A., Pathak, R.M., Gupta, M.C. & Gupta, Y.P., <u>Genetic algorithm based</u> <u>approach for designing computer network topology</u>, Proc. 21st ACM Annual Comput. Sci. Conf. Indianapolis, USA, 1992, pp. 358-365
- [53] Kumar, A., Pathak, R.M., Gupta, Y.P. & Parsaei, H.R., <u>A genetic algorithm for</u> <u>distributed system topology design</u>, Computers & Industrial Engineering v28 n3, 1995, pp. 659-670
- [54] Pierre, S. & Legault, G., <u>An evolutionary approach for configuring economical packet</u> <u>switched computer networks</u>, Artificial Intelligence in Engineering v10 n2, 1996, pp. 127-134
- [55] Qin, Z., Wu, F.F. & Law, N., <u>Designing B-ISDN network topologies using the genetic algorithm</u>, Proc. Fifth Intl. Symp. on Modelling, Analysis & Simulation of Computer & Telecommunication Systems (MASCOTS'97), Ch. 36, 1997, pp. 140-145
- [56] Pierre, S.& Legault, G., <u>A genetic algorithm for designing distributed computer</u> <u>network topologies</u>, IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics--Part B: Cybernetics v28 n2, April 1998, pp. 249-258 (also on <u>IEEE Explore</u>)
- [57] Abuali, F.N., Schoenefeld, D.A. & Wainwright, R.L., <u>Terminal assignment in a communications network using genetic algorithms</u>, Proc. 22nd Annual ACM Computer Science Conf. (CSC'94), March 1994, Phoenix, Arizona, USA, pp. 74-81 (<u>DOI link</u>)
- [58] Abuali, F.N., Schoenefeld, D.A. & Wainwright, R.L., <u>The design of a multipoint line</u> <u>topology for a communication network using genetic algorithms</u>, Proc. 7th Oklahoma Conf. on Artificial Intelligence, Stillwater, Oklahoma, November 1993, pp. 101-110
- [59] Abuali, F.N., Schoenefeld, D.A. & Wainwright, R.L., <u>Designing telecommunications</u> <u>networks using genetic algorithms and probabilistic minimum spanning trees</u>, Proc. 1994 ACM Symp. Applied Computing (SAC'94), Phoenix, Arizona, USA, March 1994, pp. 242-246
- [60] Abuali, F.N., Wainwright, R.L. & Schoenefeld, D.A., <u>Determinant factorization and</u> cycle basis: Encoding schemes for the representation of spanning trees on incomplete

graphs, Proc. 1995 ACM Symp. on Applied Computing, Nashville, TN, USA, February 1995, pp. 305-312

- [61] Abuali, F.N., Wainwright, R.L. & Schoenefeld, D.A., <u>Solving the three-star tree</u> isomorphism problem using genetic algorithms, Proc. 1995 ACM Symp. on Applied Computing (SAC'95), Nashville, TN, USA, February 1995, pp. 337-343
- [62] Abuali, F.N., Wainwright, R.L. & Schoenefeld, D.A., <u>Determinant factorization: A</u> <u>new encoding scheme for spanning trees applied to the probabilistic minimum</u> <u>spanning tree problem</u>, Proc. 6th Intl. Conf. on Genetic Algorithms (ICGA'95), University of Pittsburgh, USA, July 1995, pp. 470-477
- [63] Zhao, J., McKenzie, S. & Soper, A.J., <u>Genetic algorithm for the design of multipoint</u> <u>connections in a local access network</u>, Proc. 1st IEE/IEEE Intl. Conf. on Genetic Algorithms in Engineering Systems: Innovations and Applications (GALESIA'95), University of Sheffield, UK, September 1995, pp. 30-33 (alternative title: A genetic algorithm for the design of multipoint connections in a local access network)
- [64] Elbaum, R. & Sidi, M., <u>Topological design of local area networks using genetic</u> <u>algorithms</u>, Proc. IEEE INFOCOM'95, Boston, Massachusettes, USA, April 1995, v1, pp. 64-71
- [65] Elbaum, R. & Sidi, M., <u>Topological design of local-area networks using genetic algorithms</u>, IEEE/ACM Transactions on Networking v4 n5, October 1996, pp. 766-778
- [66] Christensen, H.L., Wainwright, R.L. & Schoenefeld, D.A., <u>A hybrid algorithm for the point to multipoint routing problem</u>, Proc. 1997 ACM Symp. on Applied Computing (SAC'97), February/March 1997, San Jose, California, USA, pp. 263-268
- [67] Zhu, L., Wainwright, R.L. & Schoenefeld, D.A., <u>A genetic algorithm for the point to</u> <u>multipoint routing problem with varying number of requests</u>, Proc. 1998 IEEE Intl. Conf. on Evolutionary Computation (ICEC'98), Anchorage, Alaska, USA, May 1998, pp. 171-176
- [68] Esbensen, H. & Mazumder, P., <u>A genetic algorithm for the Steiner problem in a graph</u>, European Conf. on Design Automation (EDAC'94), Paris, France, February 1994, pp. 402-406 (also on IEEE Explore)
- [69] Esbensen, H., <u>Computing near-optimal solutions to the Steiner problem in a graph</u> <u>using a genetic algorithm</u>, Networks v26 n4, 1995, pp. 173-185
- [70] Palmer, C.C. & Kershenbaum, A., <u>Representing trees in genetic algorithms</u>, Proc. IEEE Intl. Conf. on Evolutionary Computation (ICEC'94), Orlando, Florida, USA, June 1994, pp. 379-384
- [71] Palmer, C.C. & Kershenbaum, A., <u>An approach to a problem in network design using genetic algorithms</u>, Networks v26 n3, 1995, pp. 151-163
- [72] Pan, H. & Wang, I.Y., <u>The bandwidth allocation of ATM through genetic algorithms</u>, IEEE Global Telecom. Conf. (GLOBECOM'91), v1, 1991, pp. 125-129
- [73] Munakata, T. & Hashier, D.J., <u>A genetic algorithm applied to the maximum flow</u> problem, Proc. 5th Intl. Conf. on Genetic Algorithms (ICGA'93), University of Illinois at Urbana Champaign, USA, July 1993, pp. 488-493
- [74] Sinclair, M.C., <u>The application of a genetic algorithm to trunk network routing table optimisation</u>, Proc. 10th UK Teletraffic Symposium, Martlesham Heath, UK, April 1993, pp. 2/1- 2/6
- [75] Shimamoto, N., Hiramatsu, A. & Yamasaki, K., <u>A dynamic routing control based on a genetic algorithm</u>, Proc. IEEE Intl. Conf. on Neural Networks (ICNN'93), San Francisco, California, USA, March/April 1993, v2, pp. 1123-1128 (also on <u>IEEE Xplore</u>)

- [76] Hoelting, C.J., Schoenefeld, D.A. & Wainwright, R.L., <u>Finding investigator tours in telecommunication networks using genetic algorithms</u>, Proc. 1996 ACM Symp. on Applied Computing (SAC'96), Philadelphia, PA, USA, February 1996, pp. 82-87 (<u>DOI link</u>)
- [77] Hoelting, C.J., Schoenefeld, D.A. & Wainwright, R.L., <u>A genetic algorithm for the minimum broadcast time problem using a global precendence vector</u>, Proc. 1996 ACM Symp. on Applied Computing (SAC'96), Philadelphia, PA, USA, February 1996, pp. 258-262 (<u>DOI link</u>)
- [78] Kirkwood, I.M.A., Shami, S.H. & Sinclair, M.C., <u>Discovering simple fault-tolerant</u> routing rules using genetic programming, Proc. 3rd Intl. Conf. on Artificial Neural Networks and Genetic Algorithms (ICANNGA'97), University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK, April 1997, pp. 285-288
- [79] Shami, S.H., Kirkwood, I.M.A. & Sinclair, M.C., <u>Evolving simple fault-tolerant</u> routing rules using genetic programming, Electronics Letters v33 n17 August 1997 pp. 1440-1441 (also on <u>IEEE Xplore</u>)
- [80] Hurley, S., Thiel, S.U. & Smith, D.H., <u>A comparison of local search algorithms for</u> radio link frequency assignment problems, Proc. 1996 ACM Symp. on Applied Computing (SAC'96), Philadelphia, PA, USA, February 1996, pp. 251-257
- [81] Valenzuela, C., Hurley, S. & Smith, D., <u>A permutation based genetic algorithm for minimum span frequency assignment</u>, Proc. 5th Intl. Conf. on Parallel Problem Solving from Nature (PPSN V), Amsterdam, The Netherlands, September 1998, pp. 907-916
- [82] Crisan, C. & Mühlenbein, H., <u>The breeder genetic algorithm for frequency assignment</u>, Proc. 5th Intl. Conf. on Parallel Problem Solving from Nature (PPSN V), Amsterdam, The Netherlands, September 1998, pp. 897-906
- [83] Kaminsky, A.R., <u>A fuzzy genetic algorithm for automatic channel assignment for tactical HF radio networks</u>, Proc. 7th Intl. Conf. on HF Radio Systems and Techniques, Nottingham, UK, July 1997, pp. 236-241 (also on <u>IEEE Xplore</u>)
- [84] Yener, A. & Rose, C., <u>Local call admission policies for cellular networks using genetic algorithms</u>, Proc. 29th Annual Conf. on Information Sciences and Systems (CISS'95), Baltimore, USA, March 1995
- [85] Yener, A. & Rose, C., <u>Genetic algorithms applied to cellular call admission: Local policies</u>, IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology v46 n1, February 1997, pp. 72-79 (also on <u>IEEE Xplore</u>)
- [86] Garcia, B.-L., Mahey, P., LeBlanc, L.J., <u>Iterative improvement methods for a multiperiod network design problem</u>, European Journal of Operational Research v110 n1, 1998, pp. 150-165
- [87] He, L. & Mort, M., <u>Hybrid Genetic Algorithms for Telecommunications Network</u> <u>Back-Up Routeing</u>, BT Technology Journal, Volume 18, Issue 4, October 2000, Pages 42 – 50
- [88] Liang S., Zincir-Heywood A.N., Heywood M.I., <u>Intelligent Packets for Dynamic Network Routing using Distributed Genetic Algorithm</u>, GECCO-2002, Proceedings of the Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference, July 2002
- [89] Knowles, J.D. and Corne, D.W., <u>Heuristics for Evolutionary Off-line Routing in</u> <u>Telecommunications Networks</u>, In Whitley et al. (editors), Proceedings of the Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference(GECCO-2000), pages 574-581, Morgan Kaufmann, CA.
- [90] Withall, Mark, Hinde, Chris, Stone, Roger and Cooper, Jason, <u>Packet Transmission</u> <u>Optimisation Using Genetic Algorithms</u>, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Volume 2718, Jan 2003, Pages 653-661

- [91] Pitsillides, A., Stylianou, G., Pattichis, C.S., Sekercioglu, A. & Vasilakos, A., Bandwidth allocation for virtual paths (BAVP): Investigation of performance of classical constrained and genetic algorithm based optimization techniques, IEEE INFOCOM 2000 - The Conference on Computer Communications, no. 1, March 2000, pp. 1501-1510
- [92] Pitsillides, A., Stylianou, G., Pattichis, C.S., Sekercioglu, A. & Vasilakos, A., <u>Aggregated bandwidth allocation: investigation of performance of classical</u> <u>constrained and genetic algorithm based optimisation techniques</u>, Computer Communications, Volume 25, Issue 16, 1 October 2002, Pages 1443-1453
- [93] Banerjee, Nilanjan, Pandey, Sugam & Mehta, Vaibhav, <u>A Genetic Algorithm Approach for Solving the Routing and Wavelength Assignment Problem in WDM Networks</u>, In Proc. IEEE(ISBN no. 0-86341-326-9), International Conference on Networking, Pointe-à-Pitre, Guadeloupe, French Caribbean, February 29 March 4, 2004.
- [94] Banerjee, Nilanjan, Pandey, Sugam & Mehta, Vaibhav, <u>Effective Wavelength</u> <u>Assignment in WDM networks</u>, In Proc. Techniche, Annual Technical meet of IIT Guwahati, Techniche, August 29 - August 31, 2003
- [95] Pandey, Sugam, Banerjee, Nilanjan, Mehta, Vaibhav & Sajith, G., <u>A Genetic Algorithm Approach for Solving the Dynamic Routing and Wavelength Assignment Problem in WDM Networks</u>, In LNCS Springer, 7th IEEE International Conference on High Speed Networks and Multimedia Communications (HSNMC'04), Toulouse, France, June 30 July 2, 2004
- [96] Moustafa, M., Habib, I. & Naghshineh, M., <u>Wireless resource management using genetic algorithm for mobiles equilibrium</u>, Proceedings of the Sixth IEEE Symposium on Computers and Communications (ISCC'01), July 03 05, 2001, Hammamet, Tunisia, pp. 586-591
- [97] Shazli, Syed Z., Khan, Salman A. and Khan, Junaid A., <u>A Fuzzy Genetic Algorithm</u> for Dynamic Routing in Homogeneous ATM Networks, First Saudi Technical Conference and Exhibition (STCEX2000), Riyadh, November 2000
- [98] Ye, Jian & Papavassiliou, Symeon, <u>Dynamic market-driven allocation of network</u> resources using genetic algorithms in a competitive electronic commerce marketplace, Int. J. Netw. Manag., v 11, no 6, pp. 375-385, 2001
- [99] Roy, Abhishek & Das, Sajal K., Optimizing QoS-Based Multicast Routing in Wireless Networks: A Multi-objective Genetic Algorithmic Approach, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Proc. of Second International IFIP-TC6 Networking Conference, Volume 2345, Jan 2002, Page 28
- [100]Gelenbe, Erol, Lent, Ricardo, Gellman, Michael, Liu, Peixiang & Su, Pu, <u>CPN and QoS Driven Smart Routing in Wired and Wireless Networks</u>, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Volume 2965, Apr 2004, Pages 68-87
- [101]Martin, Peter, <u>Genetic Programming for Service Creation in Intelligent Networks</u>, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Genetic Programming: European Conference, EuroGP 2000, Edinburgh, Scotland, UK, April 15-16, 2000, Proceedings, Volume 1802, May 2004, Pages 106 – 120
- [102]Nonas, Evaggelos & Poulovassilis, Alexandra, Optimising Self Adaptive Networks by Evolving Rule-Based Agents, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Volume 1596, Pages 203-214, 1999 (common misspelling: Optimising Seld Adaptive Networks by Evolving Rule-Based Agents)
- [103]Corno, Fulvio, Sonza Reorda, Matteo & Squillero, Giovanni, <u>Approximate</u> <u>Equivalence Verification for Protocol Interface Implementation via Genetic</u> <u>Algorithms</u>, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Volume 1596, Pages 182-192, 1999

- [104]Corno, Fulvio, Sonza Reorda, Matteo & Squillero, Giovanni, <u>Automatic Validation of</u> <u>Protocol Interfaces Described in VHDL</u>, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Volume 1803, Jan 2000, Pages 205-214
- [105]Neri, Filippo, <u>Evolutive Modeling of TCP/IP Network Traffic for Intrusion Detection</u>, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Volume 1803, Jan 2000, Pages 214-223
- [106]Sharples, Nicholas & Wakeman, Ian, Protocol Construction Using Genetic Search <u>Techniques</u>, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Volume 1803, Jan 2000, Pages 235-246
- [107] Jedidi, Adel, Caminada, Alexandre & Finke, Gerd, <u>2-Objective Optimization of Cells</u> <u>Overlap and Geometry with Evolutionary Algorithms</u>, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Volume 3005, Mar 2004, Pages 130-139
- [108] Livramento, Silvana, Moura, Arnaldo V., Miyazawa, Flavio K., Harada, Mario M. & Miranda, Rogerio A., <u>A Genetic Algorithm for Telecommunication Network Design</u>, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Volume 3005, Mar 2004, Pages 140-149
- [109]Cagatay Talay, A. & Oktug, Sema, <u>A GA/Heuristic Hybrid Technique for Routing</u> and Wavelength Assignment in WDM Networks, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Volume 3005, Mar 2004, Pages 150-159
- [110]Comellas, Francesc & Dalfo, Cristina, <u>Using Genetic Programming to Design</u> <u>Broadcasting Algorithms for Manhattan Street Networks</u>, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Volume 3005, Mar 2004, Pages 170-177
- [111]Araujo, Sergio G., Mesquita, Antonio C. & Pedroza, Aloysio C.P., <u>A Scenario-Based</u> <u>Approach to Protocol Design Using Evolutionary Techniques</u>, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Volume 3005, Mar 2004, Pages 178-187
- [112]Ahn, Chang Wook & Ramakrishna, R.S., <u>A genetic algorithm for shortest path</u> routing problem and the sizing of populations, IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation, Volume 6, Issue: 6, Pages 566-579, Dec 2002 (also on <u>IEEE Xplore</u>)
- [113]Ali, M., Ramamurthy, B. and Deogun, J.S., <u>Routing and wavelength assignment with</u> power considerations in optical networks, Computer Networks 32, pp. 539–555, 2000
- [114]Xiawei, Z., Changjia, C., & Gang, Z., <u>A genetic algorithm for multicasting routing</u> problem, Cn Proc. Int. Conf. Communication Technology (WCC-ICCT 2000), 2000, pp. 1248–1253
- [115]Chen, Ping & Dong, Tian-lin, <u>A fuzzy genetic algorithm for QoS multicast routing</u>, Computer Communications, Volume 26, Issue 6, 15 April 2003, Pages 506-512
- [116]Buriol, L.S., M.G.C. Resende, Celso C. Ribeiro, and Mikkel Thorup, <u>A hybrid genetic</u> <u>algorithm for the weight setting problem in OSPF/IS-IS routing</u>, Networks, 2004, Submitted
- [117]Ericsson, M., Resende, M.G.C. & Pardalos, P.M., <u>A genetic algorithm for the weight</u> setting problem in OSPF routing, J. of Combinatorial Optimization, vol. 6, pp. 299-333, 2002
- [118]Buriol, L.S., França, P.M., Resende, M.G.C. & Thorup, M., <u>Network design for OSPF</u> routing, Proceedings of Mathematical Programming in Rio, pp. 40-44, Búzios, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, 2003
- [119]Buriol, L.S., Resende, M.G.C. & Thorup, Mikkel, <u>Survivable IP network design with</u> <u>OSPF routing</u>, Networks, 2004, Submitted
- [120] Tang, Lenny, Wiese, Kay & Kumar, Vive, <u>Genetic Algorithm Based OSPF Network</u> <u>Routing Using LEDA</u>, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Volume 3060, Apr 2004, Pages 571-572
- [121]Dorne, R. & Hao, J.K., <u>An evolutionary approach for frequency assignment in cellular radio networks</u>. Proc. of IEEE Intl. Conf. on Evolutionary Computation, Perth, Australia, Nov.-Dec. 1995, IEEE Press., pp 539-544

- [122]Hao, J.-K. & Dorne, R., <u>Study of Genetic Search for the Frequency Assignment</u> <u>Problem</u>, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 1063, pp. 333-344, 1996
- [123]Weinberg, Benjamin, Bachelet, Vincent & Talbi, El-Ghazali, <u>A Co-evolutionist Meta-heuristic for the Assignment of the Frequencies in Cellular Networks</u>, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Volume 2037, Jan 2001, Page 140
- [124]Crisan, Christine & Mühlenbein, Heinz, <u>The Frequency Assignment Problem: A Look at the Performance of Evolutionary Search</u>, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Volume 1363, Jan 1998, Page 263
- [125]Valenzuela, Christine L., <u>A Study of Permutation Operators for Minimum Span</u> <u>Frequency Assignment Using an Order Based Representation</u>, Journal of Heuristics, Volume 7, Issue 1, Jan 2001, Page 5
- [126]Cotta, Carlos & Troya, Jose M., <u>A Comparison of Several Evolutionary Heuristics for</u> <u>the Frequency Assignment Problem</u>, Proceedings of the 6th International Work-Conference on Artificial and Natural Neural Networks: Connectionist Models of Neurons, Learning Processes and Artificial Intelligence-Part I, pp. 474-481, 2001
- [127]Mabed, H., Caminada, A., Hao, J.-K. & Renaud, D., <u>A Dynamic Traffic Model for</u> <u>Frequency Assignment</u>, Parallel Problem Solving from Nature VII (PPSN-2002), pp. 779-788
- [128]Renaud, D., & Caminada, A., <u>Evolutionary methods and operators for the frequency</u> <u>assignment problem</u>, SpeedUp, Vol. 11 No. 2, pp. 27-32, 1997
- [129] Aardal, Karen, Hurkens, Cor, Lenstra, Jan Karel, Tiourine, Sergey, <u>Algorithms for</u> <u>Radio Link Frequency Assignment: The Calma Project</u>, Operations Research, Vol. 50, No. 6, Nov-Dec 2002, pp. 968-980
- [130]Matsui, Shouichi, Watanabe, Isamu, Tokoro, Ken-ichi, <u>An efficient genetic algorithm</u> for a fixed frequency assignment problem with limited bandwidth constraint, Systems and Computers in Japan, Vol. 35, No. 10, pp. 32-39, 2004
- [131]Hammamet, Tunisia, Symeon Papavassiliou, Jian Ye, Puliafito, Antonio & Tomarchio, Orazio, <u>Integration of Mobile Agents and Genetic Algorithms for</u> <u>Efficient Dynamic Network Resource Allocation</u>, Sixth IEEE Symposium on Computers and Communications (ISCC'01), July 03 - 05, 2001
- [132]Luckschandl, E., <u>Evolving Routing Algorithms with Genetic Programming</u>, In Agent Technology for Communication Infrastructures, 2001
- [133]Runggeratigul, Suwan, <u>A memetic algorithm for communication network design</u> <u>taking into consideration an existing network</u>, In: M.G.C. Resende and J.P. Sousa (eds.), Metaheuristics: Computer Decision-Making, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston MA, pp. 615-626, 2003
- [134]Barolli, Leonard, Koyama, Akio & Shiratori, Norio, <u>A QoS Routing Method for Ad-Hoc Networks Based on Genetic Algorithm</u>, DEXA Workshops 2003, pp. 175-179
- [135]Barolli, Leonard, Koyama, Akio, Suganuma, Takuo & Shiratori, Norio, <u>GAMAN: A</u> <u>GA Based QoS Routing Method for Mobile Ad-Hoc Networks</u>, Journal of Interconnection Networks, Vol. 4, No. 3, 2003, pp. 251-270
- [136]Koyama, Akio, Barolli, Leonard, Matsumoto, Kazunori & Apduhan, Bernady O., <u>A</u> <u>GA-based Multi-purpose Optimization Algorithm for QoS Routing</u>, 18th International Conference on Advanced Information Networking and Applications (AINA'04), Volume 1, 2004, pp. 23-28
- [137]Barolli, Leonard, Koyama, Akio, Sawada, H., Suganuma, Takuo & Shiratori, Norio, <u>A New QoS Routing Approach for Multimedia Applications Based on Genetic</u> <u>Algorithms</u>, First International Symposium on Cyber Worlds, 2002, pp. 289-295

- [138]Barolli, Leonard, Koyama, Akio, Matsumoto, Kazunori, Suganuma, Takuo & Shiratori, Norio, <u>A Genetic Algorithm Based Routing Method Using Two QoS</u> <u>Parameters</u>, DEXA Workshops 2002, pp. 7-11
- [139]Riedl, Anton, <u>A Hybrid Genetic Algorithm for Routing Optimization in IP Networks</u> <u>Utilizing Bandwidth and Delay Metrics</u>, Anton 2002 IEEE Workshop on IP Operations and Management (IPOM), Dallas, October 2002
- [140]Haghighat, A. T., Faez, K., Dehghan, M., Mowlaei, A. & Ghahremani, Y., <u>GA-Based</u> <u>Heuristic Algorithms for QoS Based Multicast Routing</u>, Knowledge-Based Systems, Volume 16, Issues 5-6, July 2003, Pages 305-312
- [141]Xingwei, Wang, Hui, Cheng, Ludi, Zheng, Jia, Li & Min, Huang, <u>A multi-population-parallel-genetic-simulated-annealing-based QoS routing and wavelength assignment integration algorithm for multicast in DWDM networks</u>, Network Research Workshop Paper in Busan Meeting, Asia-Pacific Advanced Network, 2003
- [142]Zhengying, Wang, Bingxin, Shi & Erdun, Zhao, <u>Bandwidth-delay-constrained least-cost multicast routing based on heuristic genetic algorithm</u>, Computer Communications, Volume 24, Issues 7-8, 1 April 2001, Pages 685-692.
- [143]Haghighat, A. T., Faez, K., Dehghan, M., Mowlaei, A.& Ghahremani, Y., <u>GA-based</u> <u>heuristic algorithms for bandwidth-delay-constrained least-cost multicast routing</u>, Computer Communications, Volume 27, Issue 1, 1 January 2004, Pages 111-127.
- [144]Li, Layuan & Li, Chunlin, <u>Genetic Algorithm-Based QoS Multicast Routing for</u> <u>Uncertainty in Network Parameters</u>, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Volume 2642, Jan 2003, Pages 430 – 441
- [145]Araujo, PT de & Oliveira, GMB de, <u>Multicast Routing with Quality of Service and Traffic Engineering Requirements in the Internet, Based On Genetic Algorithm</u>, VII Brazilian Symposium on Neural Networks (SBRN'02), November 11 14, 2002, Pernambuco, Brazil, Pages 194
- [146]Tsai, Cheng-Fa, Tsai, Chun-Wei & Chen, Chi-Ping, <u>A novel algorithm for</u> <u>multimedia multicast routing in a large scale network</u>, Journal of Systems and Software, Volume 72, Issue 3, August 2004, Pages 431-441
- [147]Cui, Xunxue, Lin, Chuang, Wei, Yaya, <u>A Multiobjective Model for QoS Multicast</u> <u>Routing Based on Genetic Algorithm</u>, Proceedings of the 2003 International Conference on Computer Networks and Mobile Computing, Page 49, 2003
- [148]Mao, Shiwen, Cheng, Xiaolin, Hou, Y. Thomas & Sherali, Hanif D., <u>Multiple</u> <u>description video multicast in wireless ad hoc networks</u>, to appear, ACM/Kluwer Mobile Networks and Applications Journal (MONET), 2005
- [149]Layuan, L., Chunlin, L., <u>QoS multicast routing in networks with uncertain parameter</u>, Proceedings of the International Parallel and Distributed Processing Symposium, 2003, 22-26 April 2003 (also on <u>IEEE Xplore</u>)
- [150]Tsuji, Miwako, Munetomo, Masaharu & Akama, Kiyoshi, <u>Metropolitan Area</u> <u>Network Design Using GA Based on Hierarchical Linkage Identification</u>, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Volume 2724, Jan 2003, Pages 1616 – 1617
- [151]Wu, Jang-Jiin, Hwang, Ren-Hung & Lu, Hsueh-I, <u>Multicast routing with multiple</u> <u>QoS constraints in ATM network</u>, Information Sciences, Vol.124, No.1-4, pp.29-57, May 2000
- [152]Wang, Xingwei, Cheng, Hui, Cao, Jiannong, Wang, Zhijun & Huang, Min, <u>QoS-Driven Multicast Tree Generation Using Genetic Algorithm</u>, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Volume 2834, Sep 2003, Pages 404 413
- [153]Roy, Abhishek & Das, Sajal K., <u>QM<sup>2</sup>RP: a QoS-based mobile multicast routing</u> protocol using multi-objective genetic algorithm, Wireless Networks, Vol. 10, No. 3, pp. 271-286, May 2004

- [154]Hwang, R.H., Do, W.Y. and Yang, S.C., <u>Multicast routing based on genetic algorithms</u>, Journal of Information Science and Engineering, Volume 16, Issue 5, pp. 885–901, 2000
- [155]Din, Der-Rong, <u>Genetic algorithms for multiple multicast on WDM ring network</u>, Computer Communications, Volume 27, Issue 9, June 2004, Pages 840-856
- [156]Siregar, Johannes Hamonangan, Zhang, Yongbing & Takagi, Hideaki, <u>Optimal</u> <u>multicast routing using genetic algorithm for WDM optical networks</u>, IEICE Trans. on Communications, Vol. E88-B, No. 1, pp. 219-226, Jan. 2005
- [157]Pan, Daru, Du, Minghui, Wang, Yukun & Yuan, Yanbo, <u>A Hybrid Neural Network</u> and <u>Genetic Algorithm Approach for Multicast QoS Routing</u>, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Volume 3174, Jul 2004, Pages 269-274
- [158]Roy, Abhishek, Banerjee, Nilanjan & Das, Sajal K., <u>An Efficient Multi-Objective</u> <u>QoS Routing Algorithm for Real-Time Wireless Multicasting</u>, in Preston Jackson (editor), IEEE Semiannual Vehicular Technology Conference, Vol. 3, pp. 1160--1164, IEEE, Birmingham, Alabama, May 2002.
- [159]Ravikumar, C. P. & Bajpai, Rajneesh, <u>Source-based delay-bounded multicasting in</u> <u>multimedia networks</u>, Computer Communications, Volume 21, Issue 2, 1 March 1998, Pages 126-132.
- [160]Wang, Z., Shi, B. & Zhao, E., <u>Bandwidth-delay-constrained least-cost multicast</u> <u>routing based on heuristic genetic algorithm</u>, Computer Communications, Vol. 24, pp. 685-692, 2001.
- [161] Thilakawardana, S., Tafazolli, R., Hale, B. & Trachtman, E., <u>Intelligent GA</u> <u>Scheduling Techniques for QoS Management of Mobile Packet Data Service</u> (MPDS), 2nd Advanced Satellite Mobile Systems Conference (ASMS 2004), September 2004, Noordwijk, Netherlands
- [162]Zhou, G. & Gen, M., <u>A genetic algorithm approach on tree-like telecommunication</u> <u>network design problem</u>, J. of the Operational Research Society, Vol. 54, No. 3, pp.248-254, 2003
- [163]Gen, Mitsuo, Cheng, Runwei and Oren, Shumuel S., <u>Network Design Techniques</u> <u>using Adapted Genetic Algorithms</u>, Advances in Engineering Software, vol 32, no 9, pp, 731-744, 2001
- [164]Pressmar, D.B., <u>Optimization of Network Topologies for Service Providers in the</u> <u>Telecommunications Market</u>, International Transactions in Operational Research, November 2001, vol. 8, no. 6, pp. 635-645(11)
- [165]Gen, M. & Cheng, R., Evolutionary network design: Hybrid genetic algorithms approach, International Journal of Computational Intelligence & Applications, Vol. 3, No. 4, pp. 357-380, Dec. 2003
- [166] Thompson, D. R. & Bilbro, G. L., <u>Comparison of a genetic algorithm with a simulated annealing algorithm for the design of an ATM network</u>, IEEE Communications Letters, vol. 4, no. 8, pp. 267-269, 2000
- [167]Funabiki, Nobuo, Nakanishi, Toru, Yokohira, Tokumi, Tajima, Shigeto & Higashino, Teruo, <u>A Proposal of a Quasi-Solution State Evolution Algorithm for Channel</u> <u>Assignment Problems</u>, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Volume 2344, Jan 2002, Page 32
- [168]Mulyana, E. & Killat, U., <u>A Hybrid Genetic Algorithm Approach for OSPF Weight Setting Problem</u>, Proceedings of the 2nd Polish-German Teletraffic Symposium PGTS 2002, Gdansk Poland, pp. 39-46, September 2002
- [169]Zhou, Jie, Shiraishi, Yoichi, Yamamoto, Ushio & Onozato, Yoshikuni, <u>Dynamic Allocation of Transmitter Power in a DS-CDMA Cellular System Using Genetic Algorithms</u>, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Volume 2093, Jan 2001, Page 579

- [170]Hei, Xiaojun, Zhang, Jun, Bensaou, Brahim & Cheung, Chi-Chung, <u>Wavelength</u> <u>converter placement in least-load-routing-based optical networks using genetic</u> <u>algorithms</u>, Journal of Optical Networking, Vol. 3, No. 5, Pp. 363 – 378, 2004
- [171] Abedi, S. & Vadgama, S., <u>A genetic approach for downlink packet scheduling in</u> <u>HSDPA system</u>, Soft Computing - A Fusion of Foundations, Methodologies and Applications, Volume 9, Issue 2, Jan 2005, Pages 116 - 127
- [172]Raisanen, L., Whitaker, R.M. & Hurley, S., <u>A comparison of randomized and evolutionary approaches for optimizing base station site selection</u>, Proceedings of the 2004 ACM symposium on Applied computing, pp. 1159-1165, 2004
- [173]Raisanen, L. & Whitaker, R.M., <u>Multi-objective optimization in area coverage</u> problems for cellular communication networks: evaluation of an elitist evolutionary <u>strategy</u>, Proceedings of the ACM Syposium on Applied Computing 2003, pages 714-720, ISBN 1-58113-624-2
- [174]Meunier, Herve, Talbi, El-Ghazali & Reininger, Philippe, <u>A multiobjective genetic</u> <u>algorithm for radio network optimization</u>. In 2000 Congress on Evolutionary Computation, volume 1, pages 317–324, July 2000 (also on <u>IEEE Xplore</u>)
- [175]Watanabe, Shinya, Hiroyasu, Tomoyuki & Miki, Mitsunori, <u>Parallel Evolutionary</u> <u>Multi-Criterion Optimization for Mobile Telecommunication Networks Optimization</u>, in K.C. Giannakoglou, D.T. Tsahalis, J. Periaux, K.D. Papailiou and T. Fogarty (eds), Evolutionary Methods for Design, Optimization and Control with Applications to Industrial Problems. Proceedings of the EUROGEN'2001, pp. 167-172,International Center for Numerical Methods in Engineering(CIMNE), Barcelona, Spain, 2001
- [176]Ozugur, Timucin, Bellary, Anand & Sarkar, Falguni, <u>Multiobjective Hierarchical</u> <u>2G/3G Mobility Management Optimization: Niched Pareto Genetic Algorithm</u>, in Global Telecommunications Conference, IEEE, Vol. 6, pp. 3681--3685, 2001.
- [177]Huang, J.-L. & Chen, M.-S., <u>Dependent Data Broadcasting for Unordered Queries in</u> <u>a Multiple Channel Mobile Environment</u>, IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering, Vol. 16, No. 9, pp. 1143-1156, September 2004 (also on <u>IEEE Xplore</u>)
- [178]Edwards, R.M. & Cook, G.G., <u>3G Tri Band Probe Fed Printed Eccentric Spiral Antenna for Nomadic Wireless Devices Using Optimal Convergence for Pareto Ranked Genetic Algorithm</u>, in Eleven International Conference on Antennas and Propagation, Vol. 2, IEEE, pp. 537-541, 2001
- [179]Knowles, Joshua D., Oates, Martin J. & Corne, David W., <u>Multiobjective</u> <u>Evolutionary Algorithms Applied to two Problems in Telecommunications</u>, BT Technology Journal, 18(4):51-64, October 2000
- [180]Siregar, Johannes Hamonangan, Zhang, Yongbing & Takagi, Hideaki, <u>Optimal</u> <u>wavelength converter placement in optical networks by a genetic algorithm</u>, IEICE Trans. on Communications, Vol. E85-B, No. 6, pp. 1075-1082, Jun. 2002
- [181]Mabed, Hakim, Caminada, Alexandre & Hao, Jin-Kao, <u>Multi-period Channel</u> <u>Assignment</u>, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Volume 2775, Sep 2003, Pages 541-554
- [182]Li, S., La, S.C., Yu, W.H. & Wang, L., <u>Minimizing Interference in Mobile</u> <u>Communications Using Genetic Algorithms</u>, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Volume 2329, Jan 2002, Page 960
- [183]Yoshino, Junichi & Ohtomo, Isao, <u>Study on efficient channel assignment method</u> <u>using the genetic algorithm for mobile communication systems</u>, Soft Computing - A Fusion of Foundations, Methodologies and Applications, Volume 9, Issue 2, Jan 2005, Pages 143 - 148

- [184]Matsui, Shouichi, Watanabe, Isamu & Tokoro, Ken-ichi, <u>An Efficient Hybrid Genetic</u> <u>Algorithm for a Fixed Channel Assignment Problem with Limited Bandwidth</u>, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Volume 2724, Jan 2003, Pages 2240 – 2251
- [185]Matsui, Shouichi, Watanabe, Isamu & Tokoro, Ken-ichi, <u>A Parameter-Free Genetic</u> <u>Algorithm for a Fixed Channel Assignment Problem with Limited Bandwidth</u>, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Volume 2439, Jan 2002, Pages 789 - 799
- [186]Sandalidis, H.G., Stavroulakis, P.P., Rodriguez-Tellez, J., <u>An efficient evolutionary algorithm for channel resource management in cellular mobile systems</u>, IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation, Vol. 2, No. 4, pp. 125-137, Nov 1998
- [187]Sandalidis, Harilaos G., Stavroulakis, Peter P. & Rodriguez-Tellez, Joe, <u>Comparison of two novel heuristic dynamic channel allocation techniques in cellular systems</u>, International Journal of Communication Systems, Vol. 11, No. 6, Pages 379-386, 1998
- [188]Zimmermann, Jörg, Höns, Robin & Mühlenbein, Heinz, <u>ENCON: an evolutionary</u> <u>algorithm for the antenna placement problem</u>, Computers and Industrial Engineering, v.44 n.2, p.209-226, February 2003
- [189]Zimmermann, Jörg, Höns, Robin & Mühlenbein, Heinz, <u>The Antenna Placement</u> <u>Problem: An Evolutionary Approach</u>, Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Telecommunication Systems, pp. 358-366, 2000
- [190]Hoang, T.T. Mai & Zorn, W., <u>Simulation of Traffic Engineering in IP-Based</u> Networks, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Volume 2093, Jan 2001, Page 743
- [191]Zhou, Jie, Chen, Jinu, Kikuchi, H., Sasaki, S. & Muramatsu, S., <u>Convergence rate evaluation of a DS-CDMA cellular system with centralized power control by genetic algorithms</u>, 2002 IEEE Wireless Communications and Networking Conference (WCNC2002), 17-21 Mar 2002, vol. 1, pp. 177-182
- [192]Medhi, D. & Tipper, D., <u>Some approaches to solving a multihour broadband network</u> <u>capacity design problem with single-path routing</u>, Telecommunication Systems, Volume 13, Issue 2 - 4, Jun 2000, Pages 269-291
- [193]Chan, T. M., Kwong, S., Man, K. F. & Tang, K. S., <u>Hard handoff minimization using genetic algorithms</u>, Signal Process, Vol. 82, No. 8, pp. 1047-1058, 2002
- [194]Li, Juan, Guan, Yong Liang & Soong, Boon Hee, <u>Effect of genetic algorithm</u> <u>parameters on PCS network planning</u>, 25th Annual IEEE Conference on Local Computer Networks (LCN'00), November 08 - 10, 2000, p. 400
- [195]Delbem, A.C.B., Carvalho, Andre de, Policastro, Claudio A., Pinto, Adriano K.O., Honda, Karen & Garcia, Anderson C., <u>Node-Depth Encoding for Evolutionary</u> <u>Algorithms Applied to Network Design</u>, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Volume 3102, May 2004, Pages 678 – 687
- [196]Saltouros, Marios-Phaedon P., Theologou, Michael, Angelopoulos, Michalis K & Ricudis, Christos S., <u>An Efficient Evolutionary Algorithm for (Near-) Optimal Steiner</u> <u>Trees Calculation: An Approach to Routing of Multipoint Connections</u>, Third International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Multimedia Applications, September 23 - 26, p. 448, 1999
- [197]Presti, Giuseppe Lo, Re, Giuseppe Lo, Pietro Storniolo, Alfonso Urso, <u>A Grid</u> <u>Enabled Parallel Hybrid Genetic Algorithm for SPN</u>, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Volume 3036, May 2004, Pages 156 – 163
- [198]Panadero, R., Fernández-Villacañas, J.L., <u>A Hybridised GA for the Steiner Minimal</u> Tree Problem, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Volume 2464, Jan 2002, Page 234
- [199]Haghighat, A.T., Faez, K., Dehghan, M., Mowlaei, A., Ghahremani, Y., <u>A Genetic</u> <u>Algorithm for Steiner Tree Optimization with Multiple Constraints Using Prüfer</u>

Number, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Volume 2510, Jan 2002, Pages 272 – 280

- [200]Bendtsen, C.N. & Krink, T., <u>Phone Routing using the Dynamic Memory Model</u>, Proceedings of the Fourth Congress on Evolutionary Computation (CEC-2002), p. 992-997, 2002
- [201]Armony, Mor, Klincewicz, John G., Luss, Hanan, Rosenwein, Moshe B., <u>Design of Stacked Self-Healing Rings Using a Genetic Algorithm</u>, Journal of Heuristics, Volume 6, Issue 1, Apr 2000, Page 85
- [202]Hedible, C., Pierre, S., <u>A Genetic Algorithm for Assigning Cells to Switches in</u> <u>Personal Communication Networks</u>, IEEE Canadian Review, No. 44, Summer 2003, pp. 21-24.
- [203]Quintero, A., Pierre, S., <u>A Multi-population Memetic Algorithm for Assigning Cells</u> to Switches in Cellular Mobile Networks, IEEE Communications Letters, Vol. 6, No. 11, November 2002, pp. 484-486.
- [204]Quintero, A., Pierre, S., <u>A Memetic Algorithm for Assigning Cells to Switches in</u> <u>Cellular Mobile Networks</u>, IEEE Communications Letters, Nov. 2002, Vol. 6, No. 11, pp. 1-3
- [205]Quintero, A., Pierre, S., <u>Sequential and Multi-population Memetic Algorithms for Assigning Cells to Switches in Cellular Mobile Networks</u>, Computer Networks, Vol. 43, No. 3, October 2003, pp. 247-261
- [206]Quintero, Alejandro & Pierre, Samuel, <u>Assigning cells to switches in cellular mobile</u> <u>networks: a comparative study</u>, Computer Communications, Volume 26, Issue 9, 2 June 2003, Pages 950-960
- [207]Din, Der-Rong & Tseng, S. S., <u>Genetic algorithms for optimal design of two-level</u> wireless <u>ATM network</u>, Proceeding of National Science Council, R. O. C. Part A: Physical Science and Engineering, Vol. 25, No. 3, pp. 151-162, 2001
- [208]Din, Der-Rong & Tseng, S. S., <u>A genetic algorithm for solving dual-homing cell</u> <u>assignment problem of the two-level wireless ATM network</u>, Computer Communication 25, pp. 1536-1547, 2002
- [209]Din, Der-Rong & Tseng, S. S., Jiang, Mon-Fong, <u>Genetic Algorithm for Extended</u> <u>Cell Assignment Problem in Wireless ATM Network</u>, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Volume 1961, Jan 2000, Page 69
- [210] Vakali, A., <u>A Web-based Evolutionary Model for Internet Data</u>, 2nd International Workshop on Network-based Information Systems, Lecture Notes in Computer Science (LNCS) Series, pp. 650-654, Springer Verlag, Florence, Aug. 1999 (also on <u>IEEE Xplore</u>)
- [211] Vakali, A., <u>A Genetic algorithm scheme for Web Replication and Caching</u>, Proceedings of the 3rd International Multi-Conference on Circuits, Systems, Communications and Computers (IMACS/IEEE), pp. 50-55, Athens, Jul. 1999
- [212] Vakali, A., <u>An Evolutionary Scheme for Web Replication and Caching</u>, Proceedings of the 4th International Web Caching Workshop, Work in progress session, San Diego, California, Mar.-Apr. 1999
- [213] Vakali, Athena, <u>Evolutionary Techniques for Web Caching</u>, Distributed and Parallel Databases, Volume 11, Issue 1, Jan 2002, Page 93
- [214]Al-Rumaih, Adel, Tipper, David, Liu, Yu & Norman, Bryan A., <u>Spare Capacity</u> <u>Planning for Survivable Mesh Networks</u>, NETWORKING '00: Proceedings of the IFIP-TC6 / European Commission International Conference on Broadband Communications, High Performance Networking, and Performance of Communication Networks, pp. 957-968, 2000

- [215]Ahmad, Ishfaq, Karlapalem, Kamalakar, Kwok, Yu-Kwong, So, Siu-Kai, <u>Evolutionary Algorithms for Allocating Data in Distributed Database Systems</u>, Distributed and Parallel Databases, Volume 11, Issue 1, Jan 2002, Page 5
- [216]Loh, Peter K. K. & Shaw, Venson, <u>A genetic-based fault-tolerant routing strategy for</u> <u>multiprocessor networks</u>, Future Generation Computer Systems, Volume 17, Issue 4, January 2001, Pages 415-423
- [217] Alba, E., Cotta, C., Chicano, F. & Nebro, A.J., <u>Parallel Evolutionary Algorithms in</u> <u>Telecommunications: Two Case Studies</u>, CACIC'02, Buenos Aires (ARG), 2002
- [218]Li, Yu & Bouchebaba, Y., <u>A new genetic algorithm for the optimal communication</u> <u>spanning tree problem</u>, A new genetic algorithm for the optimal communication spanning tree problem, Artificial Evolution, 4th European Conference, AE'99, Selected Papers Lecture Notes in Computer Science 1829, Springer 2000
- [219]Galiasso, Pablo & Wainwright, Roger L., <u>A Hybrid Genetic Algorithm for the Point</u> to <u>Multipoint Routing Problem with Single Split Paths</u>, Proceedings of the 2001 ACM/SIGAPP Symposium on Applied Computing (SAC'01), March 11-14, 2001, pp. 327-332, Las Vegas, Nevada
- [220]Deeter, Darren L. & Smith, Alice E., <u>Economic design of reliable networks</u>, IIE Transactions, Volume 30, Issue 12, Dec 1998, Pages 1161 1174
- [221]Konak, Abdullah & Smith, Alice E., <u>Capacitated Network Design Considering</u> <u>Survivability: An Evolutionary Approach</u>, Engineering Optimization, Vol. 36, No. 2, pp. 189-205, April 2004
- [222]Lin, Xiao-Hui, Kwok, Yu-Kwong & Lau, Vincent K. N., <u>A genetic algorithm based</u> <u>approach to route selection and capacity flow assignment</u>, Computer Communications, Volume 26, Issue 9, 2 June 2003, Pages 961-974
- [223] Vijayanand, C., Kumar, M. Shiva, Venugopal, K. R. & Kumar, P. Sreenivasa, <u>Converter placement in all-optical networks using genetic algorithms</u>, Computer Communications, Volume 23, Issue 13, 15 July 2000, Pages 1223-1234
- [224] Alba, E. & Troya, J.M., <u>Genetic Algorithms for Protocol Validation</u>, Proceedings of the PPSN IV International Conference, H.M. Voigt, W. Ebeling, I. Rechenberg, H.-P. Schwefel (eds), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp 870-879, 1996
- [225]Baldi, M., Corno, F., Rebaudengo, M. & Squillero, G., <u>GA-based Performance</u> <u>Analysis of Network Protocols</u>, 9th International Conference on Tools with Artificial Intelligence (ICTAI '97), Page 118, 1997
- [226]Baldi, M., Corno, F., Rebaudengo, M., Prinetto, P., Reorda, M. Sonza, Squillero, G., <u>Simulation-Based Verification of Network Protocols Performance</u>, CHARME97: Advanced Research Working Conference on Correct Hardware Design and Verification Methods, Montréal, Quebec, Canada, October 1997, pp. 236-251
- [227]Karunanithi, Nachimuthu & Carpenter, Tamra, <u>Sonet ring sizing with genetic</u> <u>algorithms</u>, Computers & Operations Research, Volume 24, Issue 6, June 1997, Pages 581-591
- [228]Chen, Wenxia & Zheng, Junli, <u>Capacity design of ATM rings with genetic</u> <u>algorithms</u>, The 2000 IEEE Asia-Pacific Conference on Circuits and Systems (APCCAS 2000), pp. 883-886, 2000
- [229]Xu, Yong, Xu, Shen-Chu & Wu, Bo-Xi, <u>Traffic grooming in unidirectional WDM</u> <u>ring networks using genetic algorithms</u>, Computer Communications, Volume 25, Issue 13, 1 August 2002, Pages 1185-1194
- [230]Saha, D., Purkayastha, M. D. & Mukherjee, A., <u>An approach to wide area WDM optical network design using genetic algorithm</u>, Computer Communications, Volume 22, Issue 2, 25 January 1999, Pages 156-172

- [231]Pickavet, Mario & Demeester, Piet, <u>A Zoom-In Approach to Design SDH Mesh</u> <u>Restorable Networks</u>, Journal of Heuristics, Volume 6, Issue 1, Apr 2000, Pages 107 – 130
- [232]Khuri, S., Moh, M., Chung, F., <u>Code Assignments in CDMA Networks: Distributed Algorithms and Genetic Algorithm Heuristics</u>, Proceedings of the IEEE Singapore International Conference on Networks (SICON), Singapore, July 1998, pp. 91-105
- [233]Cotta, Carlos, <u>Scatter Search and Memetic Approaches to the Error Correcting Code</u> <u>Problem</u>, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Volume 3004, Mar 2004, Pages 51-61
- [234]Kumar, Rajeev, Prasanth, S. & Sudarshan, M.S., <u>Topological Design of Mesh</u> <u>Communication Networks using Multiobjective Genetic Optimisation</u>, In PPSN/SAB Workshop on Multiobjective Problem Solving from Nature (MPSN), Paris, France, September 2000
- [235]Kumar, Rajeev, Parida, Prajna P. & Gupta, Mohit, <u>Topological Design of</u> <u>Communication Networks using Multiobjective Genetic Optimization</u>, In Congress on Evolutionary Computation (CEC'2002), Vol. 1, pp. 425-430, May 2002
- [236]Swaminathan, N., Srinivasan, J. & Raghavan, S. V., <u>Bandwidth-demand prediction in virtual path in ATM networks using genetic algorithms</u>, Computer Communications, Volume 22, Issue 12, 25 July 1999, Pages 1127-1135.
- [237]Krzanowski, R.M. & Raper, J., <u>Hybrid genetic algorithm for transmitter location in</u> <u>wireless networks</u>, Computer Environment and Urban Systems, Vol. 23, pp. 359-382, 1999
- [238]Calégari, P., Guidec, F. & Kuonen, P., <u>A Parallel Genetic Approach to Transceiver</u> <u>Placement Optimisation</u>, In Proceedings of the SIPAR Workshop'96: Parallel and Distributed Systems, Pages 21-24, Oct 1996
- [239]Salcedo-Sanz, S. & Yao, X., <u>A Hybrid Hopfield Network Genetic Algorithm</u> <u>Approach for the Terminal Assignment Problem</u>, IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics - Part B: Cybernetics, volume 34, issue 6, pages 2343-2353, December 2004 (also on <u>IEEE Xplore</u>)
- [240]Chen, H., Flann, N.S. & Watson, D.W., <u>Parallel genetic simulated annealing: a</u> <u>massively parallel SIMD algorithm</u>, IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems, Vol. 9, No. 2, pp. 126-136, Feb. 1998
- [241]Kumar, Rajeev & Banerjee, Nilanjan, <u>Multicriteria Network Design Using</u> <u>Evolutionary Algorithm</u>, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Volume 2724, Jan 2003, Pages 2179–2190
- [242]Kumar, Rajeev, <u>Multicriteria Network Design Using Distributed Evolutionary</u> <u>Algorithm</u>, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Volume 2913, Nov 2003, Pages 343-352
- [243]Din, Der-Rong & Tseng, Shian-Shyong, <u>Genetic Algorithms for Optimal Design of the Two-Level Wireless ATM Network</u>, Proc. Natl. Sci. Counc. ROC(A), Vol. 25, No. 3, pp. 151-162, 2001
- [244]Cortes, P., Larrañeta, J., Onieva, Luis, García, J. M. & Caraballo, M. S., <u>Genetic</u> <u>algorithms for planning cable telecommunication networks</u>, Applied Soft Computing, Article 3, pp 1-13, 2001
- [245]Pan, Y., Ji, C., Lin, X. & Jia, X., Evolutionary Approach for Message Scheduling in Optical Omega Networks, Fifth International Conference on Algorithms and Architectures for Parallel Processing (ICA3PP'02), p. 9, 2002
- [246]Sinclair, M.C., <u>Optical Mesh Topology Design using Node-Pair Encoding Genetic</u> <u>Programming</u>, Proc. Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference (GECCO-99), Orlando, Florida, USA, July 1999, pp.1192-1197

- [247]Kumar, A., Elmaghraby, A.S., Ahuja, S.P., <u>Performance Based Reliability</u> <u>Enhancement of Distributed Computing Systems</u>, Third IEEE Symposium on Computers & Communications, Page 611, 1998
- [248]Duarte, S. & Barán, B., <u>Multiobjective Network Design Optimisation Using Parallel</u> <u>Evolutionary Algorithms</u>, XXVII Conferencia Latinoamericana de Informática CLEI'2001. Mérida - Venezuela. 2001
- [249]Xiang, F., Junzhou, L., Jieyi, W., Guanqun, G., <u>QoS routing based on genetic</u> algorithm, Computer Communications, Vol. 22, pp. 1394-1399, 1999
- [250]Reichelt, Dirk, Rothlauf, Franz & Gmilkowsky, Peter, <u>Designing Reliable</u> <u>Communication Networks with a Genetic Algorithm Using a Repair Heuristic</u>, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Volume 3004, Mar 2004, Pages 177 – 187
- [251]Rothlauf, F. & Grasser, C., <u>A Note on Using Genetic and Evolutionary Algorithms</u> for <u>Multi-Period Communication Network Optimization</u>, Proceedings Workshop on Parallel and Emergent Computation in Telecommunications, Paris, France, September 2000
- [252]Montana, D., Hussain, T. & Saxena, T., <u>Adaptive Reconfiguration of Data Networks</u> <u>Using Genetic Algorithms</u>, Proceedings of the Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference (GECCO), 2002
- [253]Oates, M.J., <u>Evolutionary Algorithm Performance Profiles on the Adaptive</u> <u>Distributed Database Management Problem</u>, BT Technology Journal, Volume 18, Issue 4, Oct 2000, Pages 66 – 77
- [254]Rothlauf, Franz, Goldberg, David E. & Heinzl, Armin, <u>Network random keys: a tree</u> representation scheme for genetic and evolutionary algorithms, Evolutionary Computation, v.10 n.1, p.75-97, Spring 2002
- [255]Chan, Tak-Ming, Kwong, Sam, Man & Kim-Fung, <u>Solving the Converter Placement</u> <u>Problem in WDM Ring Networks using Genetic Algorithms</u>, Computer Journal, Vol. 46, No. 4, pp. 427-448, 2003
- [256]Chong, H. W. & Kwong, Sam, <u>Optimization of Spare Capacity in Survivable WDM</u> <u>Networks</u>, GECCO 2003, pp. 2396-2397
- [257]Kwong, S., Chan, T. M., Man, K. F. & Chong, H. W., <u>The use of multiple objective</u> <u>genetic algorithm in self-healing network</u>, Applied Soft Computing, Volume 2, Issue 2, December 2002, Pages 104-128.
- [258]Atzori, Luigi & Raccis, Alessio, <u>Network capacity assignment for multicast services</u> <u>using genetic algorithms</u>, IEEE Communications Letters, vol. 8, no. 6, Jun 2004, pp. 403-405
- [259]Yanxiang, He & Yifeng, Chen, <u>A GA-based solution to the migration problem of mobile agents in distributed information retrieval systems</u>, 23rd International Conference on Distributed Computing Systems Workshops, Pages 466-471, May 2003
- [260]Habib, Sami J., Parker, Alice C. & Lee, Daniel C., <u>Automated design of hierarchical intranets</u>, Computer Communications, Volume 25, Issues 11-12, 1 July 2002, Pages 1066-1075
- [261]Heegaard, Poul E., Helvik, Bjarne E. & Stol, Norvald, <u>Genetic algorithms for</u> <u>dimensioning of full service access networks</u>, The 14'th Nordic Teletraffic Seminar (NTS-14), Copenhagen, Denmark, 18-20 August 1998
- [262]Liu B. & Iwamura, K., <u>Topological optimization models for communication network</u> <u>with multiple reliability goals</u>, Computers & Mathematics with Applications, 2000, Vol 39, Iss 7-8, pp 59-69

- [263]Moore, S. & Sinclair, M.C., <u>Design of Routing Tables for a Survivable Military</u> <u>Communications Network using Genetic Algorithms</u>, Proc. Congress on Evolutionary Computation (CEC'99), Washington DC, 1999, pp.1788-1795
- [264]Levitin, G., <u>Maximizing survivability of acyclic transmission networks with multistate retransmitters and vulnerable nodes</u>, Reliability Engineering & System Safety, vol. 77, pp.189-199, 2002
- [265]Sayoud, H., Takahashi, K. & Vaillant, B., <u>Designing communication networks</u> <u>topologies using steady-genetic algorithms</u>, IEEE Communications Letters vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 113-115, March 2001
- [266]Qin, Hao, Liu, Zengji, Zhang, Shi & Wen, Aijun, <u>Routing and wavelength assignment</u> <u>based on genetic algorithm</u>, IEEE Communications Letters, vol. 6, no. 10, October 2002, pp. 455 - 457
- [267]Zhou, G. & Gen, M., <u>Genetic Algorithm Approach on Multi-criteria Minimum</u> <u>Spanning Tree Problem</u>, European J. of Operational Research, Vol.114, pp.141-151, 1999
- [268]Berryman, Matthew J., Allison, Andrew & Abbott, Derek, Optimizing genetic algorithm strategies for evolving networks, Proc. SPIE Int. Soc. Opt. Eng. 5473, 122, 2004
- [269]Berryman, Matthew J., Khoo, Wei-Li, Nguyen, Hiep, O'Neill, Erin, Allison, Andrew G., and Abbott, Derek, <u>Exploring tradeoffs in pleiotropy and redundancy using evolutionary computing</u>, Proc. SPIE Int. Soc. Opt. Eng. 5275, 49, 2004
- [270] Tang, Kit-sang, Ko, King-Tim, Man, Kim F. & Kwong, Sam, <u>Topology design and</u> <u>bandwidth allocation of embedded ATM networks using genetic algorithm</u>, IEEE Communications Letters, vol. 2, no. 6, June 1998, pp. 171-173
- [271] White, A.R.P., Mann, J.W. & Smith, G.D., <u>Genetic algorithms and network ring</u> design, Annals of Operations Research, Volume 86, Pages 347-371, Jan 1999
- [272]He, L., Botham, C.P. & O'Shea, C.D., <u>An Evolutionary Design Algorithm for Ringbased SDH optical core networks</u>, BT Technology Journal, Volume 22, Issue 1, Mar 2004, Pages 135-144
- [273]Davis, Lawrence, Cox, Louis Anthony, Kuehner, Warren, Lu, Leonard L. & Orvosh, David, <u>Dynamic Hierarchical Packing of Wireless Switches Using a Seed, Repair and Replace Genetic Algorithm</u>, Journal of Heuristics, Volume 3, Issue 3, Nov 1997, Pages 187 – 205
- [274]Yang, Hyo-Sik, Maier, Martin, Reisslein, Martin & Carlyle, Matthew W., <u>A Genetic Algorithm based Methodology for Optimizing Multi-Service Convergence in a Metro WDM Network</u>, IEEE/OSA Journal of Lightwave Technology, Vol. 21, No. 5, pages 1114-1133, May 2003
- [275] Yang, Hyo-Sik, Maier, Martin, Reisslein, Martin & Carlyle, Matthew W., Erratum to "A genetic algorithm-based methodology for optimizing multiservice convergence in a metro WDM network", IEEE/OSA Journal of Lightwave Technology, Vol. 21, No. 11, pages 2953-2954, November 2003
- [276]Gen, M. & Lin, L., <u>Multiobjective hybrid genetic algorithm for bicriteria network</u> <u>design problem</u>, The 8th Asia Pacific Symposium on Intelligent and Evolutionary Systems, December 2004
- [277]Confessore, G., Gennaro, M. Di & Ricciarelli, S., <u>A genetic algorithm to design</u> <u>satellite constellation for the regional coverage</u>, in B. Fleischmann et al. (eds), Operations Research Proceedings 2000, Springer 2001
- [278]Barbulescu, L., Howe, A.E., Watson, J.P. & Whitley, L.D., <u>Satellite Range</u> <u>Scheduling: A Comparison of Genetic, Heuristic and Local Search</u>, Lecture Notes in

Computer Science, Parallel Problem Solving from Nature 7 (PPSN), Volume 2439, Jan 2002, Pages 611 - 620

- [279]Calégari, P., Kuonen, P., Guidec, F. & Wagner, D., <u>A genetic approach to radio</u> <u>network optimization for mobile systems</u>, Proceedings of the IEEE 47th Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC), Vol. 2, pp. 755-759, May 1997
- [280]Chu, C., Premkumar, G. & Chou, H., <u>Digital data networks design using genetic</u> <u>Algorithms</u>, European Journal of Operational Research, Vol., pp. 140–158, 2000
- [281]Turgut, D., Das, S. K., Elmasri, R. & Turgut, B., <u>Optimizing Clustering Algorithm in</u> <u>Mobile Ad hoc Networks Using Genetic Algorithmic Approach</u>, Proceedings of IEEE Globecom, Taipei, Taiwan, Nov 2002
- [282] Tang, Kit-Sang, Man, Kim-Fung & Kwong, S., <u>Wireless Communication Network</u> <u>Design in IC Factory</u>, IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, Vol. 48, No. 2, pp. 452-459, April 2001
- [283]Yen, Kai & Hanzo, Lajos, <u>Genetic algorithm assisted joint multiuser symbol detection</u> and fading channel estimation for synchronous CDMA systems, IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications, vol. 19, no. 6, June 2001, pp. 985-998
- [284]Yen, K. & Hanzo, L., <u>Antenna-diversity-assisted genetic-algorithm-based multiuser</u> <u>detection schemes for synchronous CDMA systems</u>, IEEE Transactions on Communications, vol. 51, no. 3, Mar 2003, pp. 366-370
- [285]Ng, S. X., Yen, K. & Hanzo, L., <u>M-ary coded modulation assisted genetic algorithm</u> <u>based multiuser detection for CDMA systems</u>, WCNC 2003 - IEEE Wireless Communications and Networking Conference, vol. 4, no. 1, Mar 2003, pp. 779-783
- [286] White, M. S. & Flockton, S. J., <u>A genetic adaptive algorithm for data equalization</u>, Proceedings of the First IEEE Conference on Evolutionary Computation, vol. 2, pp. 665-669, 1994
- [287]Shahbaz, M., <u>Fixed network design of cellular mobile communication networks using</u> <u>Genetic Algorithms</u>, Fourth IEEE International Conference on Universal Personal Communications, pp. 163-7, 1995
- [288]Krishnamachari, B. & Wicker, S.B., <u>Optimization of fixed network design in cellular</u> systems using local search algorithms, 52nd IEEE Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC 2000), Vol. 4, pp. 1632-1638, 2000
- [289]Chamberland, Steven, <u>An efficient heuristic for the expansion problem of cellular</u> <u>wireless networks</u>, Computers & Operations Research, Volume 31, Issue 11, September 2004, Pages 1769-1791
- [290]Das, S. K. & Sen, S. K., <u>A New Location Update Strategy for Cellular Networks and Its Implementation Using a Genetic Algorithm</u>, Proceedings of the Third ACM/IEEE Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking}, Budapest, Hungary, pp. 185-194, Sept 1997
- [291]Junping, S. & Lee, H.C., <u>Optimal mobile location tracking by multilayered model</u> <u>strategy</u>, Third IEEE International Conference on Engineering of Complex Computer Systems, pp. 86-95, 1997
- [292]Mao, S., Hou, Y.T., Cheng, X., Sherali, H.D. & Midkiff, S.F., <u>Multipath routing for</u> <u>multiple description video in wireless ad hoc networks</u>, to appear in Proceedings of IEEE INFOCOM, March 13–17, 2005, Miami, Florida
- [293]Maple, Carsten, Guo, Liang & Zhang, Jie, <u>Parallel Genetic Algorithms for Third</u> <u>Generation Mobile Network Planning</u>, International Conference on Parallel Computing in Electrical Engineering (PARELEC'04), pp. 229-236, Sept 2004
- [294]Comellas, F. & Gimenez, G., <u>Genetic programming to design communication</u> <u>algorithms for parallel architectures</u>, Parallel Processing Letters, Vol. 8, pp. 549–560, 1998

- [295]Karabudak, Dilek, Hung, Chih-Cheng & Bing, Benny, <u>A call admission control</u> <u>scheme using genetic algorithms</u>, Proceedings of the 2004 ACM symposium on Applied computing (SAC '04), pp. 1151-1158, 2004
- [296]Knowles, J.D., Corne, D.W. & Oates, M.J., <u>On the Assessment of Multiobjective</u> <u>Approaches to the Adaptive Distributed Database Management Problem</u>, Proceedings of the Sixth International Conference on Parallel Problem Solving from Nature (PPSN VI), pp. 869-878, Springer, Berlin, 2000.
- [297]Knowles, J.D. & Corne, D.W., <u>Global web server load balancing using evolutionary computational techniques</u>, Soft Computing A Fusion of Foundations, Methodologies and Applications, Volume 5, Issue 4, Pages 297-312, Aug 2001
- [298]Mutafungwa, Edward, <u>GORA: an algorithm for designing optical cross-connect nodes</u> with improved dependability, Computer Communications, Volume 25, Issue 16, 1 October 2002, Pages 1454-1464
- [299]Gong, Ren Hui, Zulkernine, Mohammad & Abolmaesumi, Purang, <u>A Software Implementation of a Genetic Algorithm Based Approach to Network Intrusion Detection</u>, Sixth International Conference on Software Engineering, Artificial Intelligence, Networking and Parallel/Distributed Computing, pp. 246-253, 2005
- [300] Liu, Hui, Li, Jie, Zhang, Yan-Qing & Pan, Yi, <u>An Adaptive Genetic Fuzzy Multi-path</u> <u>Routing Protocol for Wireless Ad Hoc Networks</u>, Sixth International Conference on Software Engineering, Artificial Intelligence, Networking and Parallel/Distributed Computing, pp. 468-475, 2005
- [301]Montana, David & Redi, Jason, <u>Optimizing parameters of a mobile ad hoc network</u> protocol with a genetic algorithm, GECCO '05: Proceedings of the 2005 conference on Genetic and evolutionary computation, 2005, pp. 1993-1998
- [302]George D. Smith, <u>Genetic Algorithms for Mobile and Satellite Telecommunication</u> <u>Systems</u>, Evolutionary Telecommunications: Past, Present, and Future, GECOO 1999, pp. 217-218, 1999
- [303]Kersting, S., Raidl, G. R. & Ljubic, I., <u>A memetic algorithm for vertex-biconnectivity augmentation</u>, In S. Cagnoni et al., editors, Applications of Evolutionary Computing: EvoWorkshops 2002, volume 2279 of LNCS, pages 102-111. Springer, 2002
- [304]Raidl, Günther R. & Ljubic, Ivana, <u>Evolutionary local search for the edge-</u> <u>biconnectivity augmentation problem</u>, Information Processing Letters, Volume 82, Issue 1, 15 April 2002, Pages 39-45
- [305] Ljubic, I. & Raidl, G. R., <u>An evolutionary algorithm with hill-climbing for the edgebiconnectivity augmentation problem</u>, In E. J.-W. Boers et al., editors, Applications of Evolutionary Computing: EvoWorkshops 2001, volume 2037 of LNCS, pages 20-29. Springer, 2001
- [306] Ljubic, I., Raidl, G. R. & Kratica, J., <u>A hybrid GA for the edge-biconnectivity</u> <u>augmentation problem</u>, In K. Deb et al., editors, Parallel Problem Solving from Nature - PPSN VI, volume 1917 of LNCS, pages 641-650, 2000.
- [307] Ljubic, I. & Raidl, G. R., <u>A Memetic Algorithm for Minimum-Cost Vertex-Biconnectivity Augmentation of Graphs</u>, Journal of Heuristics, Volume 9, Issue 5, Nov 2003, Pages 401 427
- [308]Raidl, Günther R. & Julstrom, Bryant A., <u>A weighted coding in a genetic algorithm</u> for the degree-constrained minimum spanning tree problem, Proceedings of the 2000 ACM symposium on Applied computing, p.440-445, March 2000, Como, Italy
- [309]Raidl, G. R., <u>An efficient evolutionary algorithm for the degree-constrained minimum</u> <u>spanning tree problem</u>, In Proceedings of the 2000 Congress on Evolutionary Computation, 2000

- [310] Julstrom, B. A. & Raidl, G. R., <u>A permutation-coded evolutionary algorithm for the bounded-diameter minimum spanning tree problem</u>, In A. Barry, F. Rothlauf, D. Thierens, et al., editors, in 2003 Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference's Workshops Proceedings, orkshop on Analysis and Desgn of Representations, pages 2-7, 2003
- [311]Gottlieb, J., Julstrom, B. A., Rothlauf, F. & Raidl, G. R., <u>Prüfer numbers: A poor</u> representation of spanning trees for evolutionary search, In L. Spector et al., editors, Proceedings of the 2001 Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference, pages 343-350, Morgan Kaufmann, 2001
- [312]Zeng, Yong & Wang, Yu-Ping, <u>A new genetic algorithm with local search method for degree-constrained minimum spanning tree problem</u>, Fifth International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Multimedia Applications (ICCIMA'03), pp. 218-222, 2003
- [313]Knowles, J.D. & Corne, D.W., <u>A New Evolutionary Approach to the Degree</u> <u>Constrained Minimum Spanning Tree Problem</u>, IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation, Volume 4, Issue 2, July 2000, pp. 125-134 (<u>DOI link</u>)
- [314]Raidl, G. R. & Drexel, C., <u>A predecessor coding in an evolutionary algorithm for the capacitated minimum spanning tree problem</u>, In Late Breaking Papers at the 2000 Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference, pages 309-316, Las Vegas, NV, 2000
- [315]Raidl, G. R., <u>An efficient evolutionary algorithm for the degree-constrained minimum</u> <u>spanning tree problem</u>, In C. Fonseca et al., editors, Proceedings of the 2000 IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation, pages 104-111. IEEE Press, 2000
- [316]Raidl, G. R. & Julstrom, B. A, <u>Greedy heuristics and an evolutionary algorithm for</u> <u>the bounded-diameter minimum spanning tree problem</u>, In G. Lamont et al., editors, Proceedings of the 2003 ACM Symposium on Applied Computing, pages 747-752. ACM Press, 2003
- [317]Gaube, Thomas & Rothlauf, Franz, <u>The Link and Node Biased Encoding Revisited:</u> <u>Bias and Adjustment of Parameters</u>, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Volume 2037, Jan 2001, Page 1
- [318]Raidl, G. R. & Julstrom, B. A, <u>Edge-sets: An effective evolutionary coding of spanning trees</u>, IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation, Volume 7, Issue 3, June 2003, pp. 225-239 (<u>DOI link</u>)
- [319]Julstrom, B. A. & Raidl, G. R., <u>Initialization is robust in evolutionary algorithms that</u> <u>encode spanning trees as sets of edges</u>, In G. Lamont et al., editors, Proceedings of the 2002 ACM Symposium on Applied Computing, pages 547-552. ACM Press, 2002.
- [320] Tzschoppe, Carsten, Rothlauf, Franz & Pesch, Hans-Josef, <u>The Edge-Set Encoding</u> <u>Revisited: On the Bias of a Direct Representation for Trees</u>, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Volume 3103, Jan 2004, Pages 258 – 270

#### 7.2. Only abstract available at VU (IEEE Xplore)

- [321]Routen, T., <u>Genetic algorithm and neural network approaches to local access network design</u>, Proc. 2nd Intl. Symp. on Modelling, Analysis & Simulation of Computer & Telecommunication Systems (MASCOTS'94), Durham, N. Carolina, USA, 1994, pp. 239-243
- [322]Celli, G., Costamagna, E. & Fanni, A., <u>Genetic algorithms for telecommunication</u> <u>network optimization</u>, Proc. IEEE Intl. Conf. on Systems, Man and Cybernetics, 1995, v2, pp. 1227-1232

- [323]Sinclair, M.C., <u>Minimum network wavelength requirement design using a genetic-algorithm/heuristic hybrid</u>, Electronics Letters v34 n4 February 1998 pp. 388-389
- [324]Sinclair, M.C., <u>Minimum Cost Wavelength-path Routing and Wavelength Allocation</u> <u>Using a Genetic-algorithm/Heuristic Hybrid Approach</u>, IEE Proceedings Communications v146 n1 February 1999 pp.1-7
- [325]Lee, Chiewon & Park, E. K., <u>A genetic algorithm for traffic grooming in all-optical</u> <u>mesh networks</u>, In 2002 IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man and Cybernetics, volume 7, 6-9, Oct 2002
- [326]Potter, W.D., Pitts, R., Gillis, P., Young, J. & Caramadre, J., <u>IDA-NET: An intelligent</u> decision aid for battlefield communications network configuration, Proc. 8th Conf. Artificial Intelligence for Applications (CAIA'92), Monterey, California, USA, March 1992, pp. 247-253
- [327] Webb, A., Turton, B.C.H. & Brown, J.M., <u>Application of genetic algorithm to a network optimisation problem</u>, Proc. 6th IEE Conf. on Telecommunications, Edinburgh, UK, March/April 1998, pp. 62-66
- [328]Gondim, P.R.L., <u>Genetic algorithms and the location area partitioning problem in cellular networks</u>, Proc. IEEE 46th Vehicular Technology Conf., Atlanta, Georgia, USA, April/May 1996, v3, pp. 1835-1838
- [329]Altiparmak, F., Dengiz, B., Smith, A.E., <u>Reliability optimization of computer</u> <u>communication networks using genetic algorithms</u>, Proceedings of the 1998 IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics-Intelligent Systems For Humans In A Cyberworld, SMC'98, 1998, pp.4676-4681
- [330]Kumar, A., Pathak, R.M & Gupta, Y.P., <u>Genetic-algorithm-based reliability</u> <u>optimization for computer network expansion</u>, IEEE Transactions on Reliability v44 n1, March 1995, pp. 63-72
- [331]Huang, R., Ma, J., Kunii, T.L. & Tsuboi, E., <u>Parallel genetic algorithms for</u> <u>communication network design</u>, Proc. Second Aizu Intl. Symposium on Parallel Algorithms/Architecture Synthesis, 1997, pp. 370-377
- [332]Hewitt, J., Soper, A. & McKenzie, S., <u>CHARLEY: A genetic algorithm for the design of mesh networks</u>, Proc. 1st IEE/IEEE Intl. Conf. on Genetic Algorithms in Engineering Systems: Innovations and Applications (GALESIA'95), University of Sheffield, UK, September 1995, pp. 118-122
- [333]Bentall, M., Turton, B.C.H. & Hobbs, C.W.L., <u>Benchmarking the restoration of heavily loaded networks using a two dimensional order-based genetic algorithm</u>, Proc. 2nd IEE/IEEE Intl. Conf. on Genetic Algorithms in Engineering Systems: Innovations and Applications (GALESIA'97), Glasgow, September 1997, pp. 151-156
- [334]Hurley, S. & Smith, D.H., Fixed spectrum frequency assignment using natural algorithms, Proc. 1st IEE/IEEE Intl. Conf. on Genetic Algorithms in Engineering Systems: Innovations and Applications (GALESIA'95), University of Sheffield, UK, September 1995, pp. 373-378
- [335]Ngo, C.Y. & Li, V.O.K., <u>Fixed channel assignment in cellular radio networks using a</u> <u>modified genetic algorithm</u>, IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology v47 n1, February 1998, pp. 163-171
- [336]Sandalidis, H.G., Stavroulakis, P.P. & Rodriguez-Tellez, J., <u>Combinatorial evolution</u> strategy-based implementation of dynamic channel assignment in cellular <u>communications</u>, Proc. 6th IEE Conf. on Telecommunications, Edinburgh, UK, March/April 1998, pp. 170-174

- [337]He, C., <u>Route selection and capacity assignment in computer communication</u> <u>networks based on genetic algorithm</u>, Proc. 1997 IEEE Intl. Conf. on Intelligent Processing Systems, Beijing, China, October 1997, pp. 548-552
- [338] Tanterdtid, S., Steanputtanagul, W. & Benjapolakul, W., Optimizing ATM network throughput based on virtual path concept by using genetic algorithm, Proc. 1997 IEEE Intl. Conf. on Intelligent Processing Systems, Beijing, China, October 1997, pp. 1634-1639
- [339]Markaki, M. Vasilakos, A. & Kassotakis, I., <u>A hybrid genetic algorithm for the provision of isochronous service in high speed networks</u>, Proc. 1997 IEEE Intl. Conf. on Evolutionary Computation (ICEC'97), Indianapolis, Indiana, USA, April 1997, pp. 133-137
- [340]Munetomo, M., Takai, Y. & Sato, Y., <u>A migration scheme for the genetic adaptive</u> routing algorithm, In Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, 1998, pp. 2774–2779.
- [341]Inagaki, J., Haseyama, M. & Kitajima, H., <u>A genetic algorithm for determining</u> <u>multiple routes and its applications</u>, In Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. Circuits and Systems, 1999, pp. 137–140
- [342]Munetomo, Masaharu, Yamaguchi, Naohiko, Akama, Kiyoshi and Yoshiharu Sato, <u>Empirical Investigations on the Genetic Adaptive Routing Algorithm in the Internet</u>, Proceedings of the Congress on Evolutionary Computation 2001, pp.1236-1243
- [343]Leung, Y., Li, G. & Xu, Z. B., <u>A genetic algorithm for the multiple destination</u> routing problems, IEEE Trans. Evol. Comput., vol. 2, pp. 150-161, Nov. 1998
- [344]Zhang, Q. & Leung, Y.W., <u>An orthogonal genetic algorithm for multimedia multicast</u> routing, IEEE Trans. Evol. Comput., vol. 3, pp. 53–62, Apr 1999
- [345]Mostafa, M. E. & Eid, S. M. A., <u>A genetic algorithm for joint optimization of capacity</u> and flow assignment in packet switched networks, In Proc. 17th National Radio Science Conf., 2000, pp. C5-1–C5-6
- [346]Weicker, N., Szabo, G., Weicker, K. & Widmayer, P., <u>Evolutionary multiobjective</u> <u>optimization for base station transmitter placement with frequency assignment</u>, IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation, Vol. 7, No. 2, pp. 189-203, April 2003
- [347]Lieska, K., Laitinen, E. & Lahteenmaki, J., <u>Radio Coverage Optimization With</u> <u>Genetic Algorithms</u>, IEEE International Symposium on Personal, Indoor and Mobile Radio Communications, vol.1, pp. 318-22, Sept. 1998
- [348]Banerjee, N. &. Das, S. K., <u>Fast Determination of QoS-based Multicast Routes in</u> <u>Wireless Networks using Genetic Algorithm</u>, Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Communications, Helsinki, Finland, Vol. 8, pp. 2588-2592, June 2000
- [349]Beckmann, D. & Killat, U., <u>A New Strategy for the Application of Genetic Algorithms to the Channel-Assignment Problem</u>, IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technol., Vol 48, Nr. 4, S. 1261-1269, Juli 1999
- [350]Ghosh, S.C., Sinha, B.P. & Das, N., <u>Channel assignment using genetic algorithm</u> <u>based on geometric symmetry</u>, IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, July 2003, Vol. 52, No. 4, pp. 860- 875
- [351]Kwok, Yu-Kwong, <u>Quasi-Static Dynamic Channel Assignment Using a Linux PC</u> <u>Cluster</u>, The Fourth International Conference on High-Performance Computing in the Asia-Pacific Region, Volume 1, May 14 - 17, pp. 170, 2000
- [352]Kassotakis, I.E., Markaki, M.E. & Vasilakos, A.V., <u>A hybrid genetic approach for channel reuse in multiple access telecommunication networks</u>, IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications, vol.18, no.2, pp.234-243, 2000.

- [353]Asvial, M., Tafazolli, R. & Evans, B.G., <u>Satellite constellation design and radio</u> resource management using genetic algorithm, IEE Proceedings Communications, 25 June 2004, Vol. 151, No. 3, pp. 204- 209
- [354]Asvial, M., Tafazolli, R., Evans, B.G., <u>Modified GSC for Hybrid Satellite</u> <u>Constellation</u>, IEE Electronic Letters, Volume 38, No. 20, pp. 1216-1217, 26 September 2002
- [355]Asvial, M., Evans, B.G., Tafazolli, R., <u>Evolutionary Genetic DCA for Resource</u> <u>Management in Mobile Satellite Systems</u>, IEE Electronic Letters, Volume 38, No. 20, pp. 1213-11214, 26 September 2002
- [356]Chakraborty, G., <u>Genetic algorithm to solve optimum TDMA transmission schedule</u> <u>in broadcast packet radio networks</u>, IEEE Transactions on Communications., vol.52, no.5, pp.765-777, 2004
- [357]Ngo, C.Y. & Li, V.O.K., <u>Centralized broadcast scheduling in packet radio networks</u> via genetic-fix algorithms, IEEE Transactions on Communications, vol.51, no.9, pp.1439-1441, 2003
- [358]Sherif, M.R., Habib, I.W, Nagshineh, M. & Kermani, P., <u>Adaptive allocation of resources and call admission control for wireless ATM using genetic algorithms</u>, IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications, vol.18, no.2, pp.268-282, 2000
- [359]Lai, W.K. and Coghill, G.C., <u>Channel assignment through evolutionary optimization</u>, IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 45, no. 1, pp. 91 -96, Feb. 1996.
- [360] Jaimes-Romero, F.J., Munoz-Rodriguez, D. & Tekinay, S., <u>Channel assignment in</u> <u>cellular systems using Genetic Algorithms</u>, IEEE 46th Vehicular Technology Conference, vol. 2, 741-5, 1996
- [361]Zomaya, Albert Y., & Wright, Michael, <u>Observations on Using Genetic-Algorithms</u> for <u>Channel Allocation in Mobile Computing</u>, IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems, Vol. 13, No. 9, pp. 948-962, Sept 2002
- [362]Reininger, P., Iksal, S., Caminada, A., Korczak, J.J., <u>Multi-stage optimization for</u> <u>mobile radio network planning</u>, 1999 IEEE 49th Vehicular Technology Conference, vol.3, Jul 1999, pp. 2034-2038
- [363] Tang, Wallace K.S., Wong, Eric W.M., Chan, Sammy & Ko, K.T., <u>Optimal video</u> <u>placement scheme for batching VOD services</u>, IEEE Transactions on Broadcasting, vol. 50, no. 1, pp. 16-25, Mar 2004.
- [364]Kim, J.R. & Gen, M., <u>Genetic algorithm for solving bicriteria network topology</u> <u>design problem</u>, In Proceedings of the 1999 IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation, pp. 2272–2279, 1999
- [365]Soper, A.J., & McKenzie, S., <u>The use of a biased heuristic by a genetic algorithm</u> <u>applied to the design of multipoint connections in a local area network</u>, Third IEE/IEEE International Conference on Genetic Algorithms in Engineering Systems: Innovations & Applications, GALESIA '97, Conference Publication No. 446, pp 113-116, 1997
- [366]Chou, H., Premkumar, G. & Chu, C.H., <u>Genetic algorithms for communications</u> <u>network design - an empirical study of the factors that influence performance</u>, IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation, Vol. 5, No. 3, pp. 236–249, 2001
- [367]Liu, Z., Jaekel, A. & Bandyopadhyay, S., <u>A genetic algorithm for optimization of logical topologies in optical networks</u>, In Proceedings of the International Parallel and Distributed Processing Symposium, Fort Lauderdale, Florida, April 2002
- [368] Ahuja, Sanjay P., <u>Performance Based Reliability Optimization for Computer</u> <u>Networks</u>, Proceedings of the IEEE Southeastcon 97, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA, April 1997.

- [369]Hsinghua, Chou, Premkumar, G. & Chu, Chao-Hsien, <u>Genetic algorithms for</u> <u>communications network design - An empirical study of the factors that influence</u> performance, IEEE Trans. Evolutionary Computation, Vol. 5, No. 3, pp. 236-24, 2001
- [370]Podnar, H., & Skorin-Kapov, J., <u>Genetic Algorithm for Network Cost Minimization</u> <u>Using Threshold Based Discounting</u>, Journal of Applied Mathematics and Decision Sciences, Vol. 7, No. 4, pp. 207-228, 2003
- [371]Arabas, Jaroslaw & Kozdrowski, Stanislaw, <u>Applying an evolutionary algorithm to</u> <u>telecommunication network design</u>, IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation, Vol. 5, No. 4, pp. 309-322, 2001
- [372]Hu, J. & Goodman, E., <u>Wireless Access Point Configuration by Genetic</u> <u>Programming</u>, Proceedings IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation 2004 (CEC2004), Vol. 1, pp. 1178-1184, 2004
- [373]Marwaha, Shivanajay, Srinivasan, Dipti, Tham, Chen Khong & Vasilakos, Athanasios, <u>Evolutionary Fuzzy Multi-Objective Routing For Wireless Mobile Ad</u> <u>Hoc Networks</u>, Proceedings IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation 2004 (CEC2004), Vol. 2, pp. 1964-1971, 2004
- [374]Lim, H.S., Rao, M.V.C., Tan, Alan W.C. & Chuah, H.T., <u>Multiuser Detection for DS-CDMA Systems using Evolutionary Programming</u>, IEEE Communications Letters, vol. 7, 2003, no. 2, 1-3.
- [375]Juntti, M.J., Schlosser, T. & Lilleberg, J. O., <u>Genetic algorithms for multiuser</u> <u>detection in synchronous CDMA</u>, IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory, pp. 492, 1997
- [376] Wu, Xiang, Chuah, T.C., Sharif, B.S. & Hinton, O.R., <u>Adaptive Robust Detection for</u> <u>CDMA Using A Genetic Algorithm</u>, IEE Proceedings Communications, vol. 150, pp. 437-444, 2003
- [377] Abedi, Saied & Tafazolli, Rahim, <u>Genetically modified multiuser detection for code</u> <u>division multiple access systems</u>, IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications, vol. 20, no. 2, Feb 2002 pp. 463-473
- [378]Sharman, Ken & Esparcia-Alcázar, Anna, <u>Evolutionary Methods for Designing</u> <u>Digital Filters</u>, Contemporary Music Review, Volume 22, Number 3, pp. 5-19, September 2003
- [379]Chen, S., Wu, Y. & McLaughlin, S., <u>Genetic algorithm optimization for blind channel</u> <u>identification with higher order cumulant fitting</u>, IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation, vol. 1, no. 4, Nov. 1997
- [380]Alkanhal, M.A. & Alshebeili, S.A., <u>Blind estimation of digital communication</u> <u>channels using cumulants and Genetic Algorithms</u>, International Conference on Consumer Electronics, pp. 386-387, 1997
- [381]Wang, T.P., Hwang, S.Y. & Tseng, C.C., <u>Registration Area Planning for PCS</u> <u>Networks Using Genetic Algorithms</u>, IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 47, no. 3, pp. 987-994, Aug. 1998
- [382]Pierre, S. & Legault, G., <u>An Application of Genetic Algorithms to the Topological Design of Distributed Computer Networks</u>, in Proceedings of Eleventh International Conference on Applications of Artificial Intelligence in Engineering, AIENG 96, 11-13 September, 1996, Clearwater, Florida, USA, pp. 171-181
- [383]Hassan, A., Othman, R. & Tang Kieh Ming, <u>Optimized processing of satellite signal</u> via evolutionary search algorithm, Proceedings TENCON 2000, Volume 1, 24-27 Sept. 2000, pp. 115-121
- [384]Linden, D.S., <u>Wire antennas optimized in the presence of satellite structures using genetic algorithms</u>, 2000 IEEE Aerospace Conference Proceedings, Volume 5, 18-25 March 2000, pp. 91-99

- [385]Edwards, R.M., Cook, G.G., Khamas, S.K., Aidley, R.J. & Chambers, B, <u>Design of circularly polarised printed spiral antenna using dual objective genetic algorithm</u>, Electronics Letters, 2 Apr 1998, Vol. 34, Issue 7, pp. 608-609
- [386]Himdi, M. & Daniel, J., P., <u>Synthesis of slot coupled loaded patch antennas using a genetic algorithm through various examples</u>, Proceedings of the 1997 IEEE Antennas and Propagation, Vol. 3, pp. 1700-1703, 13-18 Jul 1997

### 7.3. Only abstract available online

- [387]Brittain, D., Williams, J.S. & McMahon, C., <u>A genetic algorithm approach to planning the telecommunications access network</u>, Proc. 7th Intl. Conf. on Genetic Algorithms (ICGA'97), July 1997, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan, USA, pp. 623-628
- [388] Tang, K.S., Man, K.F. & Ko, K.T., <u>Wireless LAN design using hierarchical genetic algorithm</u>, Proc. 7th Intl. Conf. on Genetic Algorithms (ICGA'97), July 1997, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan, USA, pp. 629-635
- [389]Altiparmak, F., B. Dengiz, and A.E. Smith, <u>An Evolutionary Approach for Reliability</u> <u>Optimization in Fixed Topology Computer Networks</u>, 2000, Transactions on Operational Research in Turkey 12, 57–75 (in English) (alternative title: An Evolutionary Approach for Reliability Optimized In Fixed Topology Computer Networks)
- [390]Michalewicz, Z., <u>Step towards optimal topology of communications networks</u>, Proc. Conf. on Data Structures and Target Classification, Orlando, Florida, USA, April 1991, pp. 112-122 0-8194-0579-5 (alternative title: A step towards optimal topology of communications networks)
- [391]Julstrom, B.A., <u>A genetic algorithm for the rectilinear Steiner problem</u>, Proc. 5th Intl. Conf. on Genetic Algorithms (ICGA'93), University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, USA, July 1993, pp. 474-480, ISBN 1-55860-299-2
- [392]Kozdrowski, S., Pioro, M., Arabas, J. & Szczesniak, M., <u>Robust design of multicommodity integral flow networks</u>, Proc. 7th Intl. Conf. on Genetic Algorithms (ICGA'97), July 1997, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan, USA, pp. 607-614
- [393]Crompton, W., Hurley, S. & Stephens, N.M., <u>Applying genetic algorithms to</u> <u>frequency assignment problems</u>, Proc. SPIE Conf. Neural and Stochastic Methods in Image and Signal Processing, San Diego, USA, July 1994, v2304, pp. 76-84
- [394] Valenzuela, C.L., Jones, A. & Hurley, S., <u>Breeding permutations for minimum span</u> <u>frequency assignment</u>, Proc. 3rd Intl. Conf. on Artificial Neural Networks and Genetic Algorithms (ICANNGA'97), University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK, April 1997, pp. 308-311
- [395]Alba, E. & Chicano, J. F., <u>Solving the Error Correcting Code Problem with Parallel</u> <u>Hybrid Heuristics</u>, Proceedings of the 2004 ACM symposium on Applied computing, Pages: 985 – 989, 2004
- [396]Gen, M., Lin, L. & Cheng, R., <u>Bicriteria Network Optimization Problem using</u> <u>Priority-based Genetic Algorithm</u>, IEEJ Transactions on Electronics, Information and Systems, Vol. 124-C, No. 10, pp. 1972-1978, Oct 2004
- [397]Altiparmak, F., Gen, M., Dengiz B. & Smith, A. E., <u>A Genetic Algorithm with Fuzzy Logic Controller for Design of Communication Networks</u>, IEEJ Transactions on Electronics, Information and Systems, Vol. 124-C, No. 10, pp. 1979-1985, Oct 2004

#### 7.4. Not online

- [398]Chang, F.L., Potter, W.D., Gillis, P. & Sanders, M., Genetic algorithms in battlefield communications network configuration, Proc. ACM 31st Annual Southeast Conference, Birmingham, Alabama, USA, April 1993, pp. 96-102
- [399]Srivastava, A., Kumar, A. & Pathak, R., Generalized distributed genetic algorithm for optimization problems, Integrated Computer-aided Engineering v4 n4, 1997, pp. 276-289
- [400]Chang, T.F. & Potter, W.D., <u>A genetic algorithm approach to solving the battlefield communication network configuration problem</u>, Proc. 3rd Golden West Intl. Conf. on Intelligent Systems (1994 GWIC), Las Vegas, Nevada, USA, June 1994, pp. 483-496
- [401] Webb, A., Turton, B.C.H. & Brown, J.M., Application of a genetic algorithm to the design and optimisation of a self-healing ring network, Proc. 4th Communication Networks Symposium, Manchester, UK, July 1997, pp. 2-5
- [402]Paul, H., Tindle, J. & Ryan, H.M., Experiences with a genetic algorithm-based optimization system for passive optical network planning in the local access network, Proc. Broadband Superhighway (NOC'96-I), Heidelberg, Germany, June 1996, Ch. 55, pp. 105-112
- [403]Huang, R., Ma, J. & Tsuboi, E., <u>Communication network design via a genetic</u> <u>algorithm based learning algorithm</u>, Proc. IASTED Intl. Conf. on Artificial Intelligence, Expert Systems, and Neural Networks, Honolulu, Hawaii, USA, August 1996, pp. 15-18, ISBN 0-88986-211-7
- [404]Huang, R. & Ma, J., A distributed genetic algorithm over a transputer based parallel machine for survivable network designs, Proc. Intl. Conf. on Parallel and Distributed Processing Techniques and Applications (PDPTA'96), Sunnyvale, California, USA, August 1996, pp. 1202-1211, ISBN 0-9648666-4-1
- [405]Sobotka, M., Network design: An application of genetic algorithms, Proc. 1992 Applied Defense Simulation Conference, 1992, pp. 63-66, ISBN 1-56555-005-6
- [406] Mikac, B. & Inkret, R., <u>Application of a genetic algorithm to the availability-cost optimization of a transmission network topology</u>, Proc. 3rd Intl. Conf. on Artificial Neural Networks and Genetic Algorithms (ICANNGA'97), University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK, April 1997, pp. 304-307, ISBN 3-211-83087-1
- [407]Berry, L., Murtagh, B., Sugden, S. & McMahon, G., Application of a genetic-based algorithm for optimal design of tree-structured communication networks, Proc. Regional Teletraffic Engineering Conference of the International Teletraffic Congress, Pretoria, South Africa, September 1995, pp. 361-370
- [408]Hesser, J., Manner, R. & Stucky, O., <u>Optimization of Steiner trees using genetic algorithms</u>, Proc. 3rd Intl. Conf. on Genetic Algorithms (ICGA'89), George Mason University, Arlington, Virginia, USA, June 1989, pp. 231-236, ISBN 1-55860-066-3
- [409]Esbensen, H., <u>Finding (near-)optimal Steiner trees in large graphs</u>, Proc. 6th Intl. Conf. on Genetic Algorithms (ICGA'95), University of Pittsburgh, USA, July 1995, pp. 485-491, ISBN 1-55860-370-0
- [410] Walters, G.A. & Smith, D.K., Evolutionary design algorithm for optimal layout of tree networks, Engineering Optimization v24, 1995, pp. 261-281
- [411]Cox, L.A., Jr., Davis, L. & Qiu, Y., <u>Dynamic anticipatory routing in circuit-switched</u> <u>telecommunications networks</u>, in Handbook of Genetic Algorithms, Ch. 11, pp. 124-143, ISBN 0442001738, 1991
- [412]Davis, L., Orvosh, D., Cox, A. & Qiu, Y., A genetic algorithm for survivable network design, Proc. 5th Intl. Conf. on Genetic Algorithms (ICGA'93), University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, USA, July 1993, pp. 408-415, ISBN 1-55860-299-2

- [413]Mann, J.W., Rayward-Smith, V.J. & Smith, G.D., Telecommunications traffic routing: A case study in the use of genetic algorithms, Proc. Applied Decision Technologies (ADT'95), London, April 1995, pp. 315-325
- [414]Mann, J.W. & Smith, G.D., <u>A comparison of heuristics for telecommunication traffic</u> routing, in Rayward-Smith, V.J., Osman, I.H., Reeves, C.R. & Smith, G.D. (Eds), Modern Heuristic Search Methods, Wiley, 1996, Ch. 14, pp. 235-254, ISBN 0-471-96280-5
- [415]Mann, J.W. & Smith, G.D., The ring-loading and ring-sizing problem, Proc. 3rd Intl. Conf. on Artificial Neural Networks and Genetic Algorithms (ICANNGA'97), University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK, April 1997, pp. 289-293
- [416]Servet, I., Trav'e-Massuy'es, L. & Stern, D., Evolutionary computation techniques for telephone networks traffic supervision based on a qualitative stream propagation model, Proc. 3rd Intl. Conf. on Artificial Neural Networks and Genetic Algorithms (ICANNGA'97), University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK, April 1997, pp. 294-298
- [417]Carse, B., Fogarty, T.C. & Munro, A., Adaptive distributed routing using evolutionary fuzzy control, Proc. 6th Intl. Conf. on Genetic Algorithms (ICGA'95), University of Pittsburgh, USA, July 1995, pp. 389-396, ISBN 1-55860-370-0
- [418]Fogarty, T.C., Bull, L. & Carse, B., Evolving multi-agent systems, in Winter, G., Periaux, J., Gal'an, M. & Cuesta, P. (Eds), Genetic Algorithms in Engineering and Computer Science, Wiley, 1995, Ch. 1, pp. 3-22
- [419]Crompton, W., Hurley, S. & Stephens, N.M., Frequency assignment using a parallel genetic algorithm, <u>Proc. 2nd IEE/IEEE Workshop on Natural Algorithms in Signal</u> <u>Processing (NASP'93)</u>, Chelmsford, UK, November 1993, pp. 26/1-26/8
- [420]Crompton, W., Hurley, S. & Stephens, N.M., A parallel genetic algorithm for frequency assignment problems, Proc. IMACS/IEEE Intl. Symp. on Signal Processing, Robotics and Neural Networks (SPRANN'94), Lille, France, April 1994, pp. 81-84
- [421]Cuppini, M., A genetic algorithm for channel assignment problems, European Transactions on Telecommunications v5 n2, March/April 1994, pp. 285-294
- [422]Kapsalis, A. & Smith, G.D., A meta-genetic algorithm for the radio link frequency assignment problem, <u>Proc. 1st Intl. ICSC Symp. on Intelligent Industrial Automation</u> <u>and Soft Computing (IIA'96/SOCO'96)</u>, University of Reading, UK, March 1996, pp. B 318-B 324, ISBN 3-906454-01-0
- [423]Kapsalis, A., Rayward-Smith, V.J. & Smith, G.D., Using genetic algorithms to solve the radio link frequency assignment problem, Proc. 2nd Intl. Conf. on Artificial Neural Networks and Genetic Algorithms (ICANNGA'95), Al'es, France, April 1995, pp. 37-40, ISBN 3-211-82692-0
- [424]Yener, A. & Rose, C., Near-optimal admission policies for cellular networks using genetic algorithms, Proc. 6th Intl. Conf. on Wireless Comm. (Wireless'94), Calgary, Canada, July 1994
- [425]Munetomo, M., Takai, Y. & Sato, Y., An Adaptive Network Routing Algorithm Employing Path Genetic Operators, Proc. 7th Intl. Conf. on Genetic Algorithms (ICGA'97), July 1997, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan, USA, pp. 643-649 (alternative title: An adaptive network routing algorithm employing genetic operators)
- [426] Tanterdtid, S., Steanputtanagul, W. & Benjapolakul, W., <u>Optimum virtual paths</u> system based in <u>ATM network using genetic algorithm</u>, Proc. Intl. Conf. on Information, Communications and Signal Processing (ICICS'97), Singapore, September 1997, pp. 596-601, ISBN 3-540-63696-X

- [427] Potter, W.D., Chang, F.L., Gillis, P. & Sanders, M., An ITS expert system module to aid battlefield communications network configuration, Proc. 6th Intl. Conf. on Industrial and Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence and Expert Systems (IEA/AIE'93), Edinburgh, UK, June 1993, pp. 165-174, ISBN 2881246044
- [428]Davis, L. & Coombs, S., Optimizing network link sizes with genetic algorithms, in Elzas, M.S., Oren, T.I. & Zeigler, B.P. (Eds), Modelling and Simulation Methodology, Elsevier Science Publishers B.V. (North-Holland), 1989, Ch. IV.3, pp. 317-331, ISBN 0444880445 (book)
- [429]Davis, L. & Coombs, S., Genetic algorithms and communication link speed design: Theoretical considerations, Proc. 2nd Intl. Conf. on Genetic Algorithms (ICGA'87), Cambridge, MA, USA, July 1987, pp. 252-256, ISBN 0-8058-0158-8
- [430]Coombs, S. & Davis, L., Genetic algorithms and communication link speed design: Constraints and operators, Proc. 2nd Intl. Conf. on Genetic Algorithms (ICGA'87), Cambridge, MA, USA, July 1987, pp. 257-260, ISBN 0-8058-0158-8
- [431] Ahuja, Sanjay P., A Genetic Algorithm Approach to Selecting Internet Service Providers using a Cost Optimization Model, Proceedings of the IASTED International Conference on Internet and Multimedia Systems (IMSA 2000), Las Vegas, NV, November 2000

## Appendix I. Network design papers overview

	Network type						
Problem	General	Optical	Radio	Computer			
Dimensioning	[39] [403] [404] [331] [392] [73] [70] [71] [47] [410] [326] [398] [427] [400] [412] [86] [345] [214] [220] [221] [258] [261] [265] [370] [371]	[50] [48] [415] [406] [24] [402] [49] [228] [231] [256] [271] [298]	[326] [398] [427] [400]	[337] [332] [51] [54] [55] [56] [428] [429] [430] [72] [90] [133] [222] [368]			
Node location	[322] [321] [399] [327] [401] [326] [398] [427] [400] [108] [264]	[24] [402] [387] [170] [180] [223] [255]	[36] [37] [328] [388] [282] [326] [398] [427] [400] [107] [347] [172] [173] [174] [175] [176] [188] [189] [193] [194] [362] [217] [237] [238] [279] [289] [293] [346]	[15] [330]			
Topology	<pre>[11] [17] [34] [27] [30] [31] [32] [35] [38] [39] [40] [41] [42] [43] [44] [45] [46] [47] [57] [321] [327] [331] [399] [403] [404] [401] [405] [108] [150] [162] [165] [166] [220] [221] [234] [235] [241] [242] [364] [248] [250] [251] [262] [265] [270] [276] [396] [397] [303] [304] [305] [306] [307]</pre>	[48] [49] [50] [387] [406] [227] [231] [244] [246] [367] [271] [272] [22]	[202] [203] [204] [25] [205] [206] [207] [208] [209] [243] [287] [288] [289]	[51] [52] [53] [54] [55] [56] [390] [330] [332] [239] [252] [260] [268] [269] [28]			

Trees	[408] [14] [15]	[24] [402]		[64] [65]
11005	[391][68][69]			[01][00]
	[409] [58] [321]			
	[63] [59] [60]			
	[61][62][407]			
	$\begin{bmatrix} 01 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 02 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 407 \end{bmatrix}$			
	[10][70][71]			
	[410][00][07]			
	[303][300][234]			
	[393] [280] [308]			
	[309][310][311]			
	[199]			
Routing, restoration	[411] [73] [74]	[18] [20] [323]	[84] [85] [424] [99]	[72] [417]
& call admission	[75] [413] [414]	[324] [325] [21]	[158] [348] [153]	[418] [425]
	[76] [77] [66]	[415] [93] [94]	[356] [357] [358]	[337] [338]
	[67] [416] [403]	[95] [109] [113]	[171] [177] [295]	[426] [339]
	[331] [404] [333]	[155] [156]		[51] [88] [91]
	[392] [78] [79]	[170] [230]		[92] [97] [116]
	[412] [88] [89]	[245] [23] [266]		[117] [118]
	[100] [102] [110]	[271]		[119] [120]
	[343] [114] [344]			[139] [168]
	[115] [132] [249]			[216] [222]
	[136] [137] [138]			[236]
	[140] [141] [142]			
	[143] [151] [152]			
	[154] [157] [159]			
	[160] [179] [192]			
	[257] [263]			
Wavelength		[18] [19] [20]	[419] [393] [420]	
allocation &		[323] [324] [21]	[334] [80] [394]	
frequency		[229] [325] [93]		
assignment (&		[94] [95] [109]	[423] [422] [82]	
setting transmission		[113] [230]		
power)		[23][266]		
			[124] [125] [126]	
			[167] [349] [350]	
			[187] [350] [360]	
			[361] [127] [128]	
			[120] [127] [120]	
	1	1	[[127][130][340]	

### Appendix II. References not used (not online)

This section contains some references that were not discussed in this paper, mainly because they could not be found online.

- 1. Karabudak, D., Hung, C. C. & Bing, B., GAC: Genetic-Based Intelligent Call Admission Control for Next Generation Wireless Systems, Submitted for publication.
- Karabudak, D., Hung, C. C. & Bing, B., A Comparison of Intelligent Admission Control Schemes for Next Generation Wireless Systems Using Genetic Algorithms, Simulated Annealing and TabuSearch, to appear in ICS International Computer Symposium, Taipei, Taiwan, December 2004.
- 3. Andrew Webb, Brian Turton, John Brown, A Genetic Algorithm for Designing Networks with Desirable Topological Properties, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Volume 1596, Pages 171-181, 1999
- 4. Eduard Lukschandl, Henrik Borgvall, Lars Nohle, Mats G. Nordahl, Peter Nordin: Evolving Routing Algorithms with the JBGP-System, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Volume 1596, Pages 193-202, 1999
- Wang, Zhao-Xia, Chen, Zeng-Qiang & Yuan, Zhu-Zhi, <u>QoS routing optimization</u> strategy using genetic algorithm in optical fiber communication networks, J. Comput. Sci. Technol., Volume 19, Number 2, Pages 213-217, 2004
- 6. Matsui, S. and Tokoro, K., Improving the performance of a genetic algorithm for minimum span frequency assignment problem with an adaptive mutation rate and a new initialization method, In Proc. of GECCO-2001 (Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference), 2001, pages 1359-1366. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers.
- Matsui, S. and Tokoro, K., A new genetic algorithm for minimum span frequency assignment using permutation and clique, In Proc. of GECCO-2000 (Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference), pages 682-689. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, 2000
- 8. Kim, J.R., M. Gen & K. Ida, Bicriteria Network Design using Spanning Tree-based Genetic Algorithm, Artificial Life & Robotics, Vol.3, pp.65-72, 1999
- 9. Kim, J. R. & M. Gen: A Genetic Algorithm for Bicriteria Communication Network Topology Design, Engineering Valuation and Cost Analysis, Vol.3, pp. 351-363, 2000
- Podnar H., Skorin-Kapov J., <u>A Genetic Algorithm for Optimizing Throughput in Non-Broadcast WDM Optical Networks</u>, Hybrid Information Systems, (Eds. A. Abraham and M. Koeppen), Physica Verlag, Heidelberg, pp. 323-338, 2002
- Podnar, H. & Skorin-Kapov, J., <u>An Application of a Genetic Algorithm for Throughput</u> <u>Optimization in Non-broadcast WDM Optical Networks with Regular Topologies</u>, Mathematical Communications, Vol. 7, pp. 45-49, 2002
- Gen, M., G. Zhou, & J. R. Kim: Genetic Algorithms for Solving Network Design Problems: State-of-the-art Survey, Evolutionary Optimization, Vol. 1, No. 2, pp. 121-141, 1999
- 13. Masaharu Munetomo, Miwako Tsuji, Kiyoshi Akama: Metropolitan Area Network Design Using GA Based on Linkage Identification with Epistasis Measures, Proceedings of the 4th Asia-Pacific Conference on Simulated Evolution and Learning, pp.652-656, 2002, ISBN 981-04-7522-5
- 14. Hillermeier, C. & Weber, D., Optimal Routing in Private Networks using Genetic Algorithms, Proceedings of the ISS '97: World Telecommunication Congress, Toronto, 1, 523-531, 1997

- 15. Ryan, M.D.C., Debuse, J.C.W., Smith, G.D. & Whittley, I.M., A hybrid genetic algorithm for the fixed channel assignment problem, In Proceedings of the Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference, pages 1707-1714, 1999
- 16. Hidehiro Kobayashi, Masaharu Munetomo, Kiyoshi Akama, and Yoshiharu Sato: A Distributed Algorithm for Bandwidth Allocation in Multimedia Networks, Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Artificial Evolution, pp. 251-262 (2001) another conference?
- 17. Altiparmak, F., Gen, M., Dengiz B. & Smith, A. E., Genetic Algorithm with Fuzzy Logic Controller for Design of Communication Network, 2003 Asia Pacific Symposium on Intelligent and Evolutionary Systems: Technology and Applications, Novenber 21-22, Kitakyushu, JAPAN, 2003
- Altiparmak, F., Gen, M., Dengiz B. & Smith, A. E., Topological Optimization of Communication Networks with Reliability Constraint by an Evolutionary Approach, KORAS 2003, International Workshop on Reliability and Its Applications, December 3-5, Seoul, KOREA, 2003
- 19. Masaharu Munetomo, Yoshiaki Takai, Yoshiharu Sato: An Intelligent Network Routing Algorithm by a Genetic Algorithm, Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Neural Information Processing, pp.547-550 (1997)
- Altiparmak, F., M. Gen, B. Dengiz & A. E. Smith: A network-based genetic algorithm for design of communication networks, Journal of Society of Plant Engineers Japan, Vol. 15, No, 4, 184-190, Feb. 2004
- 21. Beckmann, D. und Killat, U., Routing and Wavelength Assignment in Optical Networks Using Genetic Algorithms, European Transactions on Telecommunications, eingereicht zur Veröffentlichung, 1999.
- 22. Saha, D. & Mukherjee, A., High speed wide area WDM optical backbone network design with genetic approach, Proc. Int'l Conf. on Inf. Tech. (CIT'98), Bhuvaneswar, India Dec 22-25, 1998
- 23. Oates, M. and Corne, D., QoS based GA Parameter Selection for Autonomously Managed Distributed Information Systems. Proceedings of the 13th European Conference on Artificial Intelligence, John Wiley & Sons, pp. 670-674, 1998
- 24. Beckmann, D. und Killat, U., A Powerful Hybrid Algorithm for the Channel-Assignment Problem Basing on Evolutionary Optimization, 3rd European Personal Mobile Communications Conference (EPMCC), Paris, 09-11. March 1999
- 25. Das, S. K., Banerjee, N. & Roy, A., Resource Optimization in Wireless Mobile Networks using Genetic Algorithms, Handbook on Bioinspired Algorithms and Applications (Eds: S. Olariu and A. Zomaya), 2004
- Beckmann, D. und Killat, U., Minimizing the Number of Fibres in Optical Networks Using Genetic Algorithms, Int. Symposium on Broadband European Networks, Zürich, 18-20. May 1998
- 27. Thilakawardana, S. & Tafazolli, R., Efficient Call Admission Control and Scheduling Technique for GPRS using Genetic Algorithms, IEEE Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC) Spring 2004, Milan, Italy, 17-19 May 2004
- 28. Asvial, M., Tafazolli, R & Evans, B.G., Genetic Dynamic Channel Allocation for MSS Networks, 5th European Workshop on Mobile/Personal Satellite Communications, Baveno-Stresa, Lake Maggiore, Italy, 25-26th September 2002
- 29. Asvial, M., Tafazolli, R. & Evans, B.G., Non-GEO Satellite Constellation Design with Satellite Diversity Using Genetic Algorithm, 20th AIAA Internation Communications Satellite Systems Conference, Montreal Canada, 12-15th May 2002

- 30. Saha, D., Virtual topolgy design in all-optical networks using independent sets and genetic algorithm, Proc. Int'l Conf. on Fibre Optics and Photonics (Photonics-98), IIT Delhi, India, Dec. 14-18, 1998 (note the misspelling)
- Abedi, S. & Tafazolli, R., A Genetic Multiuser Receiver for Code Division Multiple Access Communications, IEE Electronic Letters, vol. 36, no. 23, pp. 1957-1958, 09 November 2000
- 32. Siregar, Johannes Hamonangan, Zhang, Yongbing & Takagi, Hideaki, Optimal wavelength converter placement in optical networks by using a genetic algorithm, Proc. Symp. Perf. Models for Inf. Commun. Net., Kyoto, pp. 40-49, Jan. 2001
- 33. Siregar, Johannes Hamonangan, Zhang, Yongbing & Takagi, Hideaki, Optical multicast routing using genetic algorithm for wavelength-routed network, Proc. Queueing Symp. Stochastic Models and Their Appl., pp. 41-50, Jan. 2004
- 34. Matsui, S., Watanabe, I. & Tokoro, K.-I., An Efficient Genetic Algorithm For Fixed Channel Assignment Problem With Limited Bandwidth Constraint, GECCO 2002: Proceedings of the Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference, p. 1269, 2002
- 35. L. Davis, D. Orvosh, A. Cox and Y. Qui, A genetic algorithm for survival network design, in Proc. 5th Int Conf. Genetic Algorithms, 1993, pp. 408-415.
- 36. Kumar, A., Ahuja, Sanjay, and Sundaram, C., Reliability Optimization of Distributed Computing Systems and Computer Networks using Genetic Algorithm, Phoenix International Conference on Computer Networking, Phoenix, AZ, March 1993
- 37. F. N. Abuali, D. A. Schoenefeld and R. L. Wainwright, Terminal assignment in communication network using genetic algorithm, in Proc. ACM Computer Science Conf., 1994, pp. 74-81
- Chong, H. W. & Kwong, Sam, A Genetic Algorithm For Joint Optimization Of Spare Capacity And Delay In Self-healing Network. GECCO 2002, pp. 1260
- 39. Ho, Alex C. H. & Kwong, Sam & H. W. Chong, An Evolutionary Approach for Optimizing CDMA Sequences, Proceedings of the 4th Asia-Pacific Conference on Simulated Evolution And Learning (SEAL 2002), Vol 1, Singapore, 18-22 November 2002, pp 380-384,
- 40. Ho, Alex C. H. & Kwong, Sam, Optimization Of CDMA Based Wireless System. GECCO 2002, pp. 1266
- 41. Kwong, Sam & Chan, S. S., A Fault-tolerant Multicast Routing Algorithm in ATM Networks. GECCO 2000, pp. 582-589
- 42. Bäck, Thomas, Hillermeier, Claus & Ziegenhirt, Jörg, <u>Routing optimization in</u> <u>corporate networks by evolutionary algorithms</u>, Advances in evolutionary computing: theory and applications, Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., New York, NY, 2003
- 43. Ahuja, Sanjay P. & Kumar, A., A Genetic Algorithm Approach for Performance Based Reliability Enhancement of Distributed Systems, ISCA Seventh International Conference on Parallel and Distributed Computing Systems, pp. 664-669, Las Vegas, NV, October 1994
- 44. T. Haghighat , K. Faez , M. Dehghan , A. Mowlaei , Y. Ghahremani, <u>Multicast routing</u> with multiple constraints in high-speed networks based on genetic algorithms, Proceedings of the 15th international conference on Computer communication, p.181-192, August 12-14, 2002, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India
- 45. E. Alba, F. Chicano, On the Behavior of Parallel Genetic Algorithms for Optimal Placement of Antennae in Telecommunications, International Journal of Foundations of Computer Science, 2005 (to appear) <u>http://polaris.lcc.uma.es/~eat/publi.html</u>
- 46. Jörg Zimmermann, Robin Höns, Heinz Mühlenbein, From theory to practice: an evolutionary algorithm for the antenna placement problem, Advances in evolutionary

computing: theory and applications, Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., New York, NY, 2003

- 47. Din, Der-Rong, Tsai, Meng-Kai & Tseng, S. S., <u>A Genetic Algorithm for Finding</u> <u>Minimal Wavelength on WDM Ring</u>, submitted to High Speed Networks. (SCI) (2002/4).
- 48. Der-Rong Din, Meng-Kai Tsai and S. S. Tseng, <u>A Genetic Algorithm for Finding Minimal Wavelength on WDM Ring</u>, NCS2001, National Computer Symposium, Chinese Culture University, Taiwan, Republic of China, Dec. 20-21, 2001, Workshop on Computer Networks & Mobile Computing, pp. E382-E393
- 49. Bishop, J.A. & Striz, A.G., Design of Vibration Suppression System in Space Trusses using a Genetic Algorithm. Proceedings of the 1996 37<sup>th</sup> AIAA/ASME/ASCE/AHS Structures, Structural Dynamics and Material Conference Apr 15-17 1996 v3 1996 Salt Lake City p 1868-1873.
- 50. Linden, Derek S., <u>Innovative antenna design using genetic algorithms</u>, Creative evolutionary systems, 2002, ISBN 1-55860-673-4, pp. 487-510
- 51. Gage, P.J., Kroo, I.M., <u>Interplanetary Trajectory Optimization using a Genetic Algorithm</u>, Journal of the Astronautical Sciences v 43 n 1 Jan-Mar 1995 American Astronautical Soc San Diego CA USA p 59-75.
- 52. Benton, Ryan Gene, Using Genetic Algorithms to Improve Interpretation of Satellite Data, Proceeding of the Annual Southeast Conference, Mar 17-18 1995 Clemson, CA p 143-145
- 53. Gelenbe, Erol, Xu, Zhiguang & Wu, Annie S., Network Routing with Extended Genetic Algorithms, In the Proceedings of the IASTED International Conference on Networks, Parallel and Distributed Processing, and Applications, 2002
- 54. Colombel, F., Himdi, M. & Daniel, J. P., Genetic algorithm optimization of dual polarized and large bandwidth printed antenna, In Proceedings of the 1996 International Symposium on Antennas and Propagation, volume 4, pages 1021-1024, Chiba (Japan), 24-27 September 1996. Inst. Electr. Inf. & Propagation, Chiba, Japan
- 55. Ljubic, I. & Kratica, J., A genetic algorithm for biconnectivity augmentation problem, In Proc. of the 2000 IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation, pages 89-96. IEEE Press, 2000
- 56. Himdi, M. & Daniel, J., P., <u>Optimization of various printed antennas using genetic</u> <u>algorithm</u>, Applied Computational Electromagnetics Symposium Digest (Conference paper), 1997
- 57. M. Baldi, F. Corno, M. Rebaudengo, M. Sonza Reorda, G. Squillero, <u>GA-Based</u> <u>Verification of Network Protocols Performance</u>, in Corne, D.W., Oates, M.J. & Smith, G.D. (Ed.), Telecommunications Optimization: Heuristic and Adaptive Techniques, Wiley, 2000, Ch.6, pp.185-198
- 58. Sinclair, M.C., Node-Pair Encoding Genetic Programming for Optical Mesh Network Topology Design, in Corne, D.W., Oates, M.J. & Smith, G.D. (Ed.), Telecommunications Optimization: Heuristic and Adaptive Techniques, Wiley, 2000, Ch.6, pp.99-114